

References

- Abbott, A. (1992). From causes to events: Notes on narrative positivism. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 20(4), 428–55.
- Abbott, A. (1993). Measure for measure: Abell's narrative methods. *The Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 18(2–3), 203–14.
- Abbott, A. (2001). *Time Matters: On Theory and Method*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Abell, P. (1984). Comparative narratives: Some rules for the study of action. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 14(3), 309–31.
- Abell, P. (2004). Narrative explanation: An alternative to variable-centered explanation? *Annual Review of Sociology*, 30(1), 287–310.
- Adam, B. (1990). *Time and Social Theory*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Adam, B. (1995). *Timewatch: The Social Analysis of Time*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Adler, P.A., and P. Adler (1998). Observational techniques. In N.K. Denzin and Y.S. Lincoln (eds), *Collecting and Interpreting Qualitative Materials* (pp. 77–109). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- A-Lanes A15 (2010). Final press release: Rijkswaterstaat has named A-Lanes A15 preferred bidder for PPP project to increase capacity of motorway A15. *Bloomberg*.
- A-Lanes A15 (2013). Verbreding A15 tussen Maasvlakte-Vaanplein: Brughefinstallatie nieuwe Botlekbrug. *A-Lanes A15*.
- Amenta, E., and J.D. Poulsen (1994). Where to begin: A survey of five approaches to selecting independent variables for qualitative comparative analysis. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 23(1), 22–53.
- Aminzade, R. (1992). Historical sociology and time. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 20(4), 456–80.
- Anguera, R. (2006). The Channel Tunnel: An ex post economic evaluation. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 40(4), 291–315.
- Arnstein, S.R. (1971). Eight rungs on the ladder of citizen participation. In E.S. Cahn and B.A. Passet (eds), *Citizen Participation: Effecting Community Change* (pp. 69–91). New York: Praeger.
- Ashby, W.R. (1962). Principles of the self-organizing system. In H. Von Foerster and G.W. Zopf (eds), *Principles of Self-Organization*:

- Transactions of the University of Illinois Symposium* (pp.255–78). London: Pergamon Press.
- Atkinson, R. (1999). Project management: Cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(6), 337–42.
- Aus, J.P. (2007). Conjunctural causation in comparative case-oriented research. *Quality and Quantity*, 43(2), 173–83.
- Babbie, E. (2004). *The Practice of Social Research*. Belmont: Wadsworth.
- Baccarini, D. (1996). The concept of project complexity: A review. *International Journal of Project Management*, 14(4), 201–4.
- Bakker, R.M., B. Cambré, L. Korlaar, and J. Raab (2011). Managing the project learning paradox: A set-theoretic approach toward project knowledge transfer. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(5), 494–503.
- Barnes, M., E. Matka, and H. Sullivan (2003). Evidence, understanding and complexity: Evaluation in non-linear systems. *Evaluation*, 9(3), 265–84.
- Basurto, X. (2013). Linking multi-level governance to local common-pool resource theory using fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis: Insights from twenty years of biodiversity conservation in Costa Rica. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(3), 573–87.
- Basurto, X., and J. Speer (2012). Structuring the calibration of qualitative data as sets for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). *Field Methods*, 24(2), 155–74.
- Beach, D., and I. Rohlfing (2018). Integrating cross-case analyses and process tracing in set-theoretic research: Strategies and parameters of debate. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 47(1), 3–36.
- Befani, B. (2013). Between complexity and generalization: Addressing evaluation challenges with QCA. *Evaluation*, 19(3), 269–83.
- Befani, B., and F. Sager (2006). QCA as a tool for realistic evaluations: The case of the Swiss environmental impact assessment. In B. Rihoux and H. Grimm (eds), *Innovative Comparative Methods for Policy Analysis: Beyond the Quantitative-Qualitative Divide* (pp. 263–84). New York: Springer.
- Befani, B., S. Ledermann, and F. Sager (2007). Realistic evaluation and QCA: Conceptual parallels and an empirical application. *Evaluation*, 13(2), 171–92.
- Beitsch, O.M., and W.C. Lawther (2015). Avoiding public–private partnership failure: Linking transportation infrastructure with economic development. In W.C. Lawther and L.L. Martin (eds), *Private Financing of Public Transportation Infrastructure: Utilizing Public Private Partnerships* (pp.211–28). London: Lexington Books.

- Benjamin, D., J. Berger, M. Johannesson, B. Nosek, E. Wagenmakers, R. Berk, . . . V. Johnson (2017). *Redefine Statistical Significance*. The Field Experiments Website. Retrieved from <http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/febartefa/00612.htm>.
- Berg, B.L. (2007). *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences*. Boston: Pearson.
- Berg, B.L., and H. Lune (2011). *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences*. Boston: Pearson.
- Berg-Schlosser, D., and G. De Meur (2009). Comparative research design: Case and variable selection. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 19–32). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Berg-Schlosser, D., G. De Meur, B. Rihoux, and C.C. Ragin (2009). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) as an approach. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp.1–18). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Bertelsen, S. (2003). Construction as a complex system. *Proceedings of the International Group for Lean Construction, 11*.
- Bijker, W.E. (1997). *Of Bicycles, Bakelites, and Bulbs: Toward a Theory of Sociotechnical Change*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Blackman, T., J. Wistow, and D.S. Byrne (2013). Using qualitative comparative analysis to understand complex policy problems. *Evaluation, 19*(2), 126–40.
- Blanchard, P., F. Bühlmann, and J.A. Gauthier (eds) (2014). *Advances in Sequence Analysis: Theory, Method, Applications*. New York: Springer.
- Blatter, J., and M. Haverland (2012). *Designing Case Studies: Explanatory Approaches in Small-n Research*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Boeije, H. (2010). *Analysis in Qualitative Research*. London: Sage.
- Boons, F.A.A., W.A.H. Spekkink, and W. Jiao (2014). A process perspective on industrial symbiosis. *Journal of Industrial Ecology, 18*(3), 341–55.
- Bosch-Rekvelde, M.G.C. (2011). *Managing Project Complexity: A Study into Adapting Early Project Phases to Improve Project Performance in Large Engineering Projects*. Delft: Delft University of Technology.
- Boudet, H., D.C. Jayasundera, and J. Davis (2011). Drivers of conflict in developing country infrastructure projects: Experience from the water and pipeline sectors. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 137*(7), 498–511.
- Bowen, G.A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal, 9*(2), 27–40.
- Bressers, N. (2011). *Co-creating Innovation: A Systemic Learning*

- Evaluation of Knowledge and Innovation Programmes*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Bressers, N., and L.M. Gerrits (2015). A complexity-informed approach to evaluating national knowledge and innovation programmes. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 32(1), 50–63.
- Bressers, N., J. Edelenbos, I.F. Van Meerkerk, and S. Verweij (2015). Management van grilligheid in stedelijke projecten. *Rooilijn*, 48(3), 204–9.
- Brito, T.L.F., E. Moutinho dos Santos, R. Galbieri, and H.K. De Medeiros Costa (2017). Qualitative comparative analysis of cities that introduced compressed natural gas to their urban bus fleet. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 71, 502–8.
- Buijs, J.M., J. Eshuis, and D.S. Byrne (2009). Approaches to researching complexity in public management. In G.R. Teisman, A. Van Buuren, and L.M. Gerrits (eds), *Managing Complex Governance Systems: Dynamics, Self-Organization and Coevolution in Public Investments* (pp.37–55). New York: Routledge.
- Busscher, T., S. Verweij, M. Van den Brink, J. Edelenbos, R. Bouwman, and J. Van den Broek (2017). *Het Organiseren van Ruimtelijke Kwaliteit: Inzichten uit Ruimte voor de Rivier*. Groningen: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.
- Byrne, D.S. (2002). *Interpreting Quantitative Data*. London: Sage.
- Byrne, D.S. (2005). Complexity, configurations and cases. *Theory, Culture and Society*, 22(5), 95–111.
- Byrne, D.S. (2009a). Case-based methods: Why we need them; what they are; how to do them. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp.1–10). London: Sage.
- Byrne, D.S. (2009b). Complex realist and configurational approaches to cases: A radical synthesis. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp.101–19). London: Sage.
- Byrne, D.S. (2011a). *Applying Social Science: The Role of Social Research in Politics, Policy and Practice*. Bristol: The Policy Press.
- Byrne, D.S. (2011b). Exploring organizational effectiveness: The value of complex realism as a frame of reference and systematic comparison as a method. In P. Allen, S. Maguire, and B. McKelvey (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Complexity and Management* (pp.131–41). London: Sage.
- Byrne, D.S. (2013). Evaluating complex social interventions in a complex world. *Evaluation*, 19(3), 217–28.
- Byrne, D.S., and G. Callaghan (2014). *Complexity Theory and the Social Sciences: The State of the Art*. London: Routledge.
- Byrne, D.S., and C.C. Ragin (2009). *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods*. London: Sage.

- Cairns, J.M., J. Wistow, and C. Bambra (2017). Making the case for qualitative comparative analysis in geographical research: A case study of health resilience. *Area*, 49(3), 369–76.
- Cantarelli, C.C. (2011). *Cost Overruns in Large-Scale Transport Infrastructure Projects: A Theoretical and Empirical Exploration for the Netherlands and Worldwide*. Delft: Delft University of Technology.
- Cantarelli, C.C., B. Van Wee, E.J.E. Molin, and B. Flyvbjerg (2012). Different cost performance: different determinants? The case of cost overruns in Dutch transport infrastructure projects. *Transport Policy*, 22, 88–95.
- Caren, N., and A. Panofsky (2005). TQCA: A technique for adding temporality to qualitative comparative analysis. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 34(2), 147–72.
- Chai, Y., and M. Schoon (2016). Institutions and government efficiency: Decentralized irrigation management in China. *International Journal of the Commons*, 10(1), 21–44.
- Charoenngam, C., and C.Y. Yeh (1999). Contractual risk and liability sharing in hydropower construction. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(1), 29–37.
- Chatterley, C., K.G. Linden, and A. Javernick-Will (2013). Identifying pathways to continued maintenance of school sanitation in Belize. *Journal of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*, 3(3), 441–2.
- Chatterley, C., A. Javernick-Will, K.G. Linden, K. Alam, L. Bottinelli, and M. Venkatesh (2014). A qualitative comparative analysis of well-managed school sanitation in Bangladesh. *BMC Public Health*, 14(6).
- Checkland, P. (1981). *Systems Thinking, Systems Practice*. New York: Wiley.
- Checkland, P., and S. Holwell (1998). *Information, Systems and Information Systems: Making Sense of the Field*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Cilliers, P. (2001). Boundaries, hierarchies and networks in complex systems. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 5(2), 135–47.
- Collignon-Havinga, R., M. Menke, W. Oosterwijk, J. Van der Grift, and M. Tilstra (2009). *Ruimtelijke Kwaliteit bij Ruimte voor de Rivier: Rollen, Taken, Organisatie en Werkwijze*. Utrecht: Ruimte voor de Rivier.
- Cook, S.D.N., and H. Wagenaar (2012). Navigating the eternally unfolding present: Toward an epistemology of practice. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 42(1), 3–38.
- Cooper, B., and J. Glaesser (2016). Qualitative comparative analysis, necessary conditions, and limited diversity: Some problematic consequences of Schneider and Wagemann's enhanced standard analysis. *Field Methods*, 28(3), 300–305.
- Cooper, B., J. Glaesser, and S. Thomson (2014). Schneider and Wagemann's

- proposed enhanced standard analysis for Ragin's qualitative comparative analysis: Some unresolved problems and some suggestions for addressing them. *Compass Working Paper* (77).
- Corbin, J.M., and A.L. Strauss (2015). *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*. Los Angeles: Sage.
- Cronqvist, L. (2004). Presentation of Tosmana: Adding multi-value variables and visual aids to QCA. *Compass Working Paper* (20).
- Cronqvist, L. (2016). *Tosmana: Tool for cs-, mv-, and fsQCA* (Version 1.5.2.2). Trier: University of Trier.
- Cronqvist, L., and D. Berg-Schlosser (2009). Multi-value QCA (mvQCA). In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 69–86). London: Sage.
- Dainty, A. (2008). Methodological pluralism in construction management research. In A. Knight and L. Ruddock (eds), *Advanced Research Methods in the Built Environment* (pp. 1–13). Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- De Block, D., and B. Vis (2017). Addressing the challenges in using qualitative data in qualitative comparative analysis. *Compass Working Paper* (88).
- De Bruijn, H., and M. Leijten (2008). Management characteristics of mega-projects. In H. Priemus, B. Flyvbjerg, and B. Van Wee (eds), *Decision Making on Mega-Projects: Cost-Benefit Analysis, Planning and Innovation* (pp. 23–39). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- De Meur, G., B. Rihoux, and S. Yamasaki (2009). Addressing the critiques of QCA. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 147–65). Los Angeles: Sage.
- De Schepper, S., M. Doooms, and E. Haezendonck (2014). Stakeholder dynamics and responsibilities in public–private partnerships: A mixed experience. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(7), 1210–22.
- Delhi, V.S.K., A. Mahalingam, and S. Palukuri (2012). Governance issues in BOT based PPP infrastructure projects in India. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 2(2), 234–49.
- Denzin, N.K. (1970). *The Research Act: A Theoretical Introduction to Sociological Methods*. New York: Transaction Publishers.
- Dimitriou, H.T. (2014). Some concluding remarks. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 15(3), 428–30.
- Dimitriou, H.T., E.J. Ward, and P.G. Wright (2013). Mega transport projects: Beyond the 'iron triangle': Findings from the OMEGA research programme. *Progress in Planning*, 86, 1–43.

- Downs, A. (1957). *An Economic Theory of Democracy*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Dul, J., T. Hak, G. Goertz, and C. Voss (2010). Necessary condition hypotheses in operations management. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 30(11), 1170–90.
- Duša, A. (2007). User manual for the QCA(GUI) package in R. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(5), 576–86.
- Edelenbos, J. (2005). Institutional implications of interactive governance: Insights from Dutch practice. *Governance*, 18(1), 111–34.
- Edelenbos, J., and E.H. Klijn (2009). Project versus process management in public–private partnership: Relation between management style and outcomes. *International Public Management Journal*, 12(3), 310–31.
- Edelenbos, J., and G.R. Teisman (2008). Public–private partnership on the edge of project and process management: Insights from Dutch practice: The Sijtwende spatial development project. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 26(3), 614–26.
- Eisner, E.W. (1997). *The Enlightened Eye: Qualitative Inquiry and the Enhancement of Educational Practice*. Upper Saddle River: Pearson.
- Engwall, M. (2003). No project is an island: Linking projects to history and context. *Research Policy*, 32(5), 789–808.
- European PPP Expertise Centre (2011). *The Guide to Guidance: How to Prepare, Procure and Deliver PPP Projects*. Luxembourg: European Investment Bank.
- Eversdijk, A.W.W., M.C.J. Nagelkerke, and C. Sewbalak (2011). *Evaluatie DBFM Aanbestedingen: Ervaringen Delen Werkt*. Utrecht: Rijkswaterstaat Dienst Infrastructuur and PPS Kennispool.
- Feddes, Y., and A. Hinz (2013). *Procesevaluatie Ruimte voor de Rivier: Ruimtelijke Kwaliteit in Uitvoering*. Utrecht: Feddes/Olthof Landschapsarchitecten.
- Fellows, R.F., and A.M.M. Liu (2015). *Research Methods for Construction*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Fischer, F. (1990). *Technocracy and the Politics of Expertise*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Fischer, F. (2003). *Reframing Public Policy: Discursive Politics and Deliberative Practices*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fischer, M., and M. Maggetti (2017). Qualitative comparative analysis and the study of policy processes. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, 19(4), 345–61.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2001). *Making Social Science Matter: Why Social Inquiry Fails and How It Can Succeed Again*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2009a). Optimism and misrepresentation in early project

- development. In T. Williams, K. Samset, and K. Sunnevåg (eds), *Making Essential Choices with Scant Information: Front-End Decision Making in Major Projects* (pp. 147–68). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2009b). Survival of the unfittest: Why the worst infrastructure gets built – and what we can do about it. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 25(3), 344–67.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2014). What you should know about megaprojects and why: An overview. *Project Management Journal*, 45(2), 6–19.
- Flyvbjerg, B., M.K.S. Holm, and S. Buhl (2002). Underestimating costs in public works projects: Error or lie? *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 68(3), 279–95.
- Flyvbjerg, B., N. Bruzelius, and W. Rothengatter (2003a). *Megaprojects and Risk: An Anatomy of Ambition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B., M.K.S. Holm, and S.L. Buhl (2003b). How common and how large are cost overruns in transport infrastructure projects? *Transport Reviews*, 23(1), 71–88.
- Flyvbjerg, B., M.K.S. Holm, and S.L. Buhl (2004). What causes cost overrun in transport infrastructure projects? *Transport Reviews*, 24(1), 3–18.
- Flyvbjerg, B., M.K.S. Holm, and S.L. Buhl (2005). How (in)accurate are demand forecasts in public works projects? The case of transportation. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 71(2), 131–46.
- Forss, K., M. Marra, and R. Schwartz (eds) (2011). *Evaluating the Complex: Attribution, Contribution, and Beyond*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Forsythe, P.J. (2012). Profiling customer perceived service quality expectations in made-to-order housing construction in Australia. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 19(6), 587–609.
- François, C. (2004). *International Encyclopedia of Systems and Cybernetics*. München: K.G. Sauer Verlag.
- Friese, S. (2012). *Qualitative Data Analysis with ATLAS.ti*. London: Sage Publications.
- Fritzsche, E. (2014). Making hermeneutics explicit: how QCA supports an insightful dialogue between theory and cases. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 17(4), 403–26.
- Gauthier, J.A., E.D. Widmer, P. Bucher, and C. Notredame (2010). Multichannel sequence analysis applied to social science data. *Sociological Methodology*, 40(1), 1–38.
- George, A.L., and A. Bennett (2005). *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Geraldi, J., H. Maylor, and T. Williams (2011). Now, let's make it really

- complex (complicated): A systematic review of the complexities of projects. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 31(9), 966–90.
- Gerrits, L.M. (2012). *Punching Clouds: An Introduction to the Complexity of Public Decision-Making*. Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Gerrits, L.M., and P. Marks (2014). Vastgeklonken aan de Fyra: Een padafhankelijkheidsanalyse van de onvermijdelijke keuze voor de falende flitstrein. *Bestuurskunde*, 23(1), 55–64.
- Gerrits, L.M., and P. Marks (2017). *Understanding Collective Decision Making: A Fitness Landscape Model Approach*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Gerrits, L.M., and S. Verweij (2013). Critical realism as a meta-framework for understanding the relationships between complexity and qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Critical Realism*, 12(2), 166–82.
- Gerrits, L.M., and S. Verweij (2015). Taking stock of complexity in evaluation: A discussion of three recent publications. *Evaluation*, 21(4), 481–91.
- Gerrits, L.M., and S. Verweij (2016). Qualitative comparative analysis as a method for evaluating complex cases: An overview of literature and a stepwise guide with empirical application. *Zeitschrift für Evaluation*, 15(1), 7–22.
- Gerrits, L.M., P. Marks, and M. Böhme (2015). The development and failure of the Dutch ‘Fyra’ high-speed project. *Railway Update*, 146–8.
- Goertz, G., and J. Mahoney (2009). Scope in case study research. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp. 307–17). London: Sage.
- Goertz, G., and J. Mahoney (2012). *A Tale of Two Cultures: Qualitative and Quantitative Research in the Social Sciences*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gras, C. (2011). Rol Rijkswaterstaat in infraprojecten sterk veranderd: Grensverhuiving richting markt en projectomgeving. *Projectie* (6), 20–23.
- Greckhamer, T., V.F. Misangyi, H. Elms, and R. Lacey (2008). Using qualitative comparative analysis in strategic management research: An examination of combinations of industry, corporate, and business-unit effects. *Organizational Research Methods*, 11(4), 695–726.
- Greene, J.C. (1998). Qualitative program evaluation: Practice and promise. In N.K. Denzin and Y.S. Lincoln (eds), *Collecting and Interpreting Qualitative Materials* (pp. 372–99). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Grofman, B., and C.Q. Schneider (2009). An introduction to crisp-set QCA, with a comparison to binary logistic regression. *Political Research Quarterly*, 62(4), 662–72.

- Gross, M., and M. Garvin (2011). Structuring PPP toll-road contracts to achieve public pricing objectives. *Engineering Project Organization Journal*, 1(2), 143–56.
- Haesebrouck, T. (2016). The added value of multi-value qualitative comparative analysis. *Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 17(1).
- Hak, T., F. Jaspers, and J. Dul (2013). The analysis of temporally ordered configurations: Challenges and solutions. In P.C. Fiss, B. Cambré, and A. Marx (eds), *Configurational Theory and Methods in Organizational Research* (pp. 107–27). Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Hamidov, A., A. Thiel, and D. Zikos (2015). Institutional design in transformation: A comparative study of local irrigation governance in Uzbekistan. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 53, 175–91.
- Hartmann, A., and A. Dorée (2015). Learning between projects: More than sending messages in bottles. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(2), 341–51.
- Harvey, D.L. (2009). Complexity and case. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp. 15–38). London: Sage.
- Heeres, N. (2017). *Towards Area-Oriented Approaches in Infrastructure Planning: Development of National Highway Networks in a Local Spatial Context*. Groningen: University of Groningen.
- Heeres, N., T. Tillema, and J. Arts (2012). Integration in Dutch planning of motorways: From ‘line’ towards ‘area-oriented’ approaches. *Transport Policy*, 24, 148–58.
- Herrmann, A., and L. Cronqvist (2006). Contradictions in qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Ways out of the dilemma. *EUI Working Papers* (6).
- Hertogh, M.J.C.M., and E. Westerveld (2010). *Playing with Complexity: Management and Organisation of Large Infrastructure Projects*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Het Financieele Dagblad (2014, July 8). Aannemers worstelen met A15 Maasvlakte-Vaanplein. *Het Financieele Dagblad*.
- Hino, A. (2009). Time-series QCA: Studying temporal change through Boolean analysis. *Sociological Theory and Methods*, 24(2), 247–65.
- Hooimeijer, P., H. Kroon, and J. Luttkik (2001). *Kwaliteit in Meervoud: Conceptualisering en Operationalisering van Ruimtelijke Kwaliteit voor Meervoudig Ruimtegebruik*. Gouda: Habiforum.
- Hoppe, R. (1999). Policy analysis, science and politics: From ‘speaking truth to power’ to ‘making sense together.’ *Science and Public Policy*, 26(3), 201–10.
- Hoppe, R. (2003). Werken op de grens tussen wetenschap en politiek: Naar een typologie van grensarrangementen. *Beleidswetenschap*, 17(2), 144–70.

- Houtekamer, C. (2015, April 23). Bluffen en pokeren om de A15. *NRC*.
- Hulsker, W., M. Wienhoven, M. Van Diest, and S. Buijs (2011). *Evaluatie Ontwerpprocessen: Ruimte voor de Rivier*. Rotterdam: Ecorys.
- Jang, W., H.W. You, and S.H. Han (2015). Quantitative decision making model for carbon reduction in road construction projects using green technologies. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 7(8), 11240–59.
- Järvinen, J., J.A. Lamberg, and L. Pietinalho (2012). The fall and the fragmentation of national clusters: Cluster evolution in the paper and pulp industry. *Journal of Forest Economics*, 18(3), 218–41.
- Jordan, E., M.E. Gross, A.N. Javernick-Will, and M.J. Garvin (2011). Use and misuse of qualitative comparative analysis. *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(11), 1159–73.
- Kaminsky, J.A., and A.N. Javernick-Will (2014). The internal social sustainability of sanitation infrastructure. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 48(17), 10028–35.
- Kelle, U. (2007). The development of categories: Different approaches in grounded theory. In A. Bryant and K. Charmaz (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Grounded Theory* (pp. 191–213). London: Sage Publications.
- Kennisinstituut voor Mobiliteitsbeleid (2009). *Na Het Knippen van Het Lint: Het Ex Post Evalueren van Infrastructuur*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat.
- Kerseboom, J. (2008). Leren van evalueren: Over het niet-gebruik van beleidsinformatie. *Bestuurskunde*, 17(2), 41–6.
- Khan, J., F. Petterson, and B. Holmberg (2014). Constructive conflicts in the case of the Öresund Link. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 15(3), 418–23.
- Klijin, E.H. (2009). Public–private partnerships in the Netherlands: Policy, projects and lessons. *Economic Affairs*, 29(1), 26–32.
- Klijin, E.H., and J.F.M. Koppenjan (2012). Governance network theory: Past, present and future. *Policy and Politics*, 40(4), 587–606.
- Klijin, E.H., and J.F.M. Koppenjan (2016). *Governance Networks in the Public Sector*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Klijin, E.H., J. Edelenbos, M. Kort, and M. Van Twist (2008). Facing management choices: An analysis of managerial choices in 18 complex environmental public–private partnership projects. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 74(2), 251–82.
- Klijin, E.H., B. Steijn, and J. Edelenbos (2010). The impact of network management on outcomes in governance networks. *Public Administration*, 88(4), 1063–82.
- Klijin, F., D. De Bruin, M.C. De Hoog, S. Jansen, and D.F. Sijmons (2013). Design quality of Room-for-the-River measures in the Netherlands: Role and assessment of the quality team (Q-team). *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 11(3), 287–99.

- Koppenjan, J.F.M., and E.H. Klijn (2004). *Managing Uncertainties in Networks: A Network Approach to Problem Solving and Decision Making*. London: Routledge.
- Kort, M., S. Verweij, and E.H. Klijn (2016). In search for effective public–private partnerships: An assessment of the impact of organizational form and managerial strategies in urban regeneration partnerships using fsQCA. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 34(5), 777–94.
- Kostka, G., and J. Fiedler (2016). *Large Infrastructure Projects in Germany: Between Ambition and Realities*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Krippendorff, K. (2004). Reliability in content analysis: Some common misconceptions and recommendations. *Human Communication Research*, 30(3), 411–33.
- Lam, W.F., and E. Ostrom (2010). Analyzing the dynamic complexity of development interventions: Lessons from an irrigation experiment in Nepal. *Policy Sciences*, 43(1), 1–25.
- Leeuw, F.L. (2003). Reconstructing program theories: Methods available and problems to be solved. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 24(1), 5–20.
- Lehtonen, M. (2014). Evaluating megaprojects: From the ‘iron triangle’ to network mapping. *Evaluation*, 20(3), 278–95.
- Lehtonen, M., P.B. Joly, and L. Aparicio (eds) (2017). *Socioeconomic Evaluation of Megaprojects: Dealing with Uncertainties*. New York: Routledge.
- Lenferink, S., T. Tillema, and J. Arts (2013). Towards sustainable infrastructure development through integrated contracts: Experiences with inclusiveness in Dutch infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(4), 615–27.
- Li, Y., J.F.M. Koppenjan, and S. Verweij (2016). Governing environmental conflicts in China: Under what conditions do local governments compromise? *Public Administration*, 94(3), 806–22.
- Lincoln, Y.S., and E.G. Guba (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Liyanage, C., and F. Villalba-Romero (2015). Measuring success of PPP transport projects: A cross-case analysis of toll roads. *Transport Reviews*, 35(2), 140–61.
- Lombard, M., J. Snyder-Duch, and C.C. Bracken (2002). Content analysis in mass communication: Assessment and reporting of intercoder reliability. *Human Communication Research*, 28(4), 587–604.
- Mackie, J.L. (1965). Causes and conditions. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 2(4), 245–64.
- Marks, P., and L.M. Gerrits (2017). Evaluating technological progress in public policies: The case of the high-speed railways in the Netherlands. *Complexity, Governance and Networks*, 48–62.

- Marshall, S. (2009). *Cities, Design and Evolution*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Marx, A., and A. Duşa (2011). Crisp-set qualitative comparative analysis (csQCA), contradictions and consistency benchmarks for model specification. *Methodological Innovations Online*, 6(2), 103–48.
- McAdam, D., H.S. Boudet, J. Davis, R.J. Orr, W. Richard Scott, and R.E. Levitt (2010). “Site fights”: Explaining opposition to pipeline projects in the developing world. *Sociological Forum*, 25(3), 401–27.
- Morrow, E.W. (1988). *Understanding the Outcomes of Megaprojects: A Quantitative Analysis of Very Large Civilian Projects*. Santa Monica: Rand Corporation.
- Metze, M. (2010). *Veranderend Getij: Rijkswaterstaat in Crisis: De Bouwfraude, de Heeren Zeventien, een Nieuwe Man, de Coup, Het Verzet, de ‘Generaal’, de Managersrevolutie, een Nieuwe Koers: Het Verhaal van Binnenuit*. Amsterdam: Uitgeverij Balans.
- Miller, R., and D.R. Lessard (2000). *The Strategic Management of Large Engineering Projects: Shaping Institutions, Risks, and Governance*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Minister van Verkeer and Waterstaat (2010). *Tracébesluit A15 Maasvlakte-Vaanplein*. Ministerie van Verkeer and Waterstaat.
- Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu (2014). *MIRT projectenoverzicht 2015*. Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu.
- Mitchell, S. (2009). Complexity and explanation in the social sciences. In C. Mantzavinos (ed.), *Philosophy of the Social Sciences: Philosophical Theory and Scientific Practice* (pp. 130–45). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mjøset, L. (2009). The contextualist approach to social science methodology. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp. 39–68). London: Sage.
- Morçöl, G. (2012). *A Complexity Theory for Public Policy*. New York: Routledge.
- Mostafavi, A., D. Abraham, and J. Sinfield (2014). Innovation in infrastructure project finance: A typology for conceptualization. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 6(3), 127–44.
- Mowles, C. (2014). Complex, but not quite complex enough: The turn to the complexity sciences in evaluation scholarship. *Evaluation*, 20(2), 160–175.
- Neerlands Diep (2016). *Evaluatie Projectmanagement A15 Maasvlakte-Vaanplein met Behulp van de Neerlands Diep-Spiegel*. Neerlands Diep.
- Never, B., and J. Betz (2014). Comparing the climate policy performance of emerging economies. *World Development*, 59, 1–15.
- Nijkamp, P., M. Van der Burch, and G. Vindigni (2002). A comparative institutional evaluation of public–private partnerships in Dutch urban land-use and revitalisation projects. *Urban Studies*, 39(10), 1865–80.

- Nijland, H., P.M. Wortelboer-Van Donselaar, J.A.C. Korteweg, and D. Snellen (2010). *Met de Kennis van Nu: Leren van Evalueren: Een Casestudy: A5 Verlengde Westrandweg*. Den Haag/Bilthoven: Planbureau voor de Leefomgeving and Kennisinstituut voor Mobiliteitsbeleid.
- Noordegraaf, M., and T. Abma (2003). Management by measurement? Public management practices amidst ambiguity. *Public Administration*, 81(4), 853–71.
- Olsen, W. (2014). Comment: The usefulness of QCA under realist assumptions. *Sociological Methodology*, 44(1), 101–7.
- Osabutey, E.L.C., and Z. Jin (2016). Factors influencing technology and knowledge transfer: Configurational recipes for Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(11), 5390–5.
- Parsons, D.W. (1995). *Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis*. Edward Elgar.
- Patton, M.Q. (2011). *Developmental Evaluation: Applying Complexity Concepts to Enhance Innovation and Use*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Pattyn, V., and S. Verweij (2014). Beleidsevaluaties tussen methode en praktijk: Naar een meer realistische evaluatiebenadering. *Burger, Bestuur and Beleid*, 8(4), 260–67.
- Pattyn, V., L.M. Gerrits, and S. Verweij (2015). Qualitative comparative analysis: Meer behorend bij de kwalitatieve dan de kwantitatieve benadering. *Kwalon*, 20(3), 26–33.
- Pattyn, V., A. Molenveld, and B. Befani (2017). Qualitative comparative analysis as an evaluation tool: Lessons from an application in development cooperation. *American Journal of Evaluation*, doi: 10.1177/1098214017710502.
- Pawson, R., and N. Tilley (1997). *Realistic Evaluation*. London: Sage.
- Peters, D. (2010). Digging through the heart of reunified Berlin: Unbundling the decision-making process for the Tiergarten-Tunnel mega-project. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, 10(1), 89–102.
- Pinto, J.K., and D.P. Slevin (1989). Project champion: Key to implementation success. *Project Management Journal*, 20(4), 15–20.
- Port of Rotterdam (2015). Haven in cijfers: Een schat aan informatie: Make it happen. *Havenbedrijf Rotterdam*.
- Prigogine, I. (1997). *The End of Certainty: Time, Chaos, and the New Laws of Nature*. New York: The Free Press.
- Projectgroep Dijkteruglegging Lent (2007). *Ruimtelijk Plan: Dijkteruglegging Lent*. Nijmegen: Gemeente Nijmegen.
- Q-team (2012). *Kwaliteitsteam Ruimte voor de Rivier: Jaarverslag 2009, 2010, 2011*. Utrecht: Programmadirectie Ruimte voor de Rivier.
- Ragin, C.C. (1987). *The Comparative Method: Moving Beyond Qualitative and Quantitative Strategies*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

- Ragin, C.C. (1992). Introduction: Cases of “What is a case?” In C.C. Ragin and H.S. Becker (eds), *What is a Case? Exploring the Foundations of Social Inquiry* (pp. 1–17). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ragin, C.C. (1998). The logic of qualitative comparative analysis. *International Review of Social History*, 43(S6), 105–24.
- Ragin, C.C. (2000). *Fuzzy-Set Social Science*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C.C. (2006). Set relations in social research: Evaluating their consistency and coverage. *Political Analysis*, 14(3), 291–310.
- Ragin, C.C. (2008a). Measurement versus calibration: A set-theoretic approach. In J.M. Box-Steffensmeier, H.E. Brady, and D. Collier (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Political Methodology* (pp. 174–98). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ragin, C.C. (2008b). *Redesigning Social Inquiry: Fuzzy Sets and Beyond*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C.C. (2008c). *User's Guide to Fuzzy-Set/Qualitative Comparative Analysis*. Tucson: University of Arizona.
- Ragin, C.C. (2009). Qualitative comparative analysis using fuzzy sets (fsQCA). In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 87–121). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Ragin, C.C. (2014). Comment: Lucas and Szatrowski in critical perspective. *Sociological Methodology*, 44(1), 80–94.
- Ragin, C.C., and L.M. Amoroso (2011). *Constructing Social Research: The Unity and Diversity of Method*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Ragin, C.C., and H.S. Becker (1992). *What is a Case? Exploring the Foundations of Social Inquiry*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ragin, C.C., and S. Davey (2014). *Fs/QCA* (Version 2.5/3.0). Irvine: University of California.
- Ragin, C.C., and P.C. Fiss (2008). Net-effects versus configurations: An empirical demonstration. In C.C. Ragin (ed.), *Redesigning Social Inquiry: Fuzzy Sets and Beyond* (pp. 190–212). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C.C., and J. Sonnett (2005). Between complexity and parsimony: Limited diversity, counterfactual cases, and comparative analysis. In S. Kropp and M. Minkenberg (eds), *Vergleichen in der Politik-Wissenschaft* (pp. 180–97). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Ragin, C.C., and J. Sonnett (2008). Limited diversity and counterfactual cases. In C.C. Ragin (ed.), *Redesigning Social Inquiry: Fuzzy Sets and Beyond* (pp. 147–59). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C.C., and S.I. Strand (2008). Using qualitative comparative

- analysis to study causal order: Comment on Caren and Panofsky (2005). *Sociological Methods and Research*, 36(4), 431–41.
- Rantala, K., and E. Hellström (2001). Qualitative comparative analysis and a hermeneutic approach to interview data. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 4(2), 87–100.
- Reason, P., and H. Bradbury (2001). *Handbook of Action Research: Participative Inquiry and Practice*. London: Sage Publications.
- Rescher, N. (1998). *Complexity: A Philosophical Overview*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Reynaers, A., and S. Verweij (2014). Kritisch kijken naar kansen: De schaduwzijden van DBFMO. *ROmagazine*, 32(4), 32–4.
- Rihoux, B. (2003). Bridging the gap between the qualitative and quantitative worlds? A retrospective and prospective view on qualitative comparative analysis. *Field Methods*, 15(4), 351–65.
- Rihoux, B. (2013). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA), anno 2013: Reframing The Comparative Method's seminal statements. *Swiss Political Science Review*, 19(2), 233–45.
- Rihoux, B., and G. De Meur (2009). Crisp-set qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 33–68). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., and B. Lobe (2009). The case for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Adding leverage for thick cross-case comparison. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp. 222–42). London: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., and C.C. Ragin (eds) (2009a). *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., and C.C. Ragin (2009b). Introduction. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. xvii–xxv). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., B. Joly, and R. Dandoy (2007). *Deliverable 8. The Key Determinants of Community Conflict Escalation and De-escalation in Europe: A Systematic Comparative Analysis (QCA)*. Louvain-la-Neuve: Université Catholique de Louvain.
- Rihoux, B., C.C. Ragin, S. Yamasaki, and D. Bol (2009). Conclusions: The way(s) ahead. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 167–77). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., I. Rezsöhazy, and D. Bol (2011). Qualitative comparative

- analysis (QCA) in public policy analysis: An extensive review. *German Policy Studies*, 7(3), 9–82.
- Rihoux, B., P. Álamos-Concha, D. Bol, A. Marx, and I. Rezsöhazy (2013). From niche to mainstream method? A comprehensive mapping of QCA applications in journal articles from 1984 to 2011. *Political Research Quarterly*, 66(1), 175–84.
- Rijkswaterstaat (2012). *Rijkswaterstaat: About Us*. The Hague: Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment.
- Rijkswaterstaat (2014). *Samenwerken and Integraal Project Management (IPM): Eén Manier van Werken voor Elk Project*.
- Rijkswaterstaat (2015). *Rijkswaterstaat Works on Keeping the Netherlands Safe, Liveable and Accessible*. The Hague: Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment.
- Robson, C. (2002). *Real World Research: A Resource for Social Scientists and Practitioners-Researchers*. Malden: Blackwell Publishing.
- Rogers, P.J. (2008). Using programme theory to evaluate complicated and complex aspects of interventions. *Evaluation*, 14(1), 29–48.
- Rogers, P.J. (2011). Implications of complicated and complex characteristics for key tasks in evaluation. In K. Forss, M. Marra, and R. Schwartz (eds), *Evaluating the Complex: Attribution, Contribution, and Beyond* (pp. 33–52). New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Roig-Tierno, N., T.F. Gonzalez-Cruz, and J. Llopis-Martinez (2017). An overview of qualitative comparative analysis: A bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, 2(1), 15–23.
- Romero, J., J. Lafont, J. Tafur, and S. Eguren (2016). Performance and value creation at United Kingdom's airports using fsQCA. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(4), 1442–5.
- Ruimte voor de Rivier (2007). *Planologische Kernbeslissing Ruimte voor de Rivier: Vastgesteld Besluit, Nota van Toelichting*. Utrecht: Projectorganisatie Ruimte voor de Rivier.
- Ruimte voor de Rivier (2015). *Ruimte voor Innovatie: Stapsgewijs of met Grote Sprongen*. Ruimte voor de Rivier.
- Ruimte voor de Rivier (2016a). *28e Voortgangsrapportage: 1 Januari 2016–30 Juni 2016*. Utrecht: Programmabureau Ruimte voor de Rivier van Rijkswaterstaat.
- Ruimte voor de Rivier (2016b). Dutch water programme Room for the River. *Ruimte voor de Rivier*.
- Sager, F. (2005). Metropolitan institutions and policy coordination: The integration of land use and transport policies in Swiss urban areas. *Governance*, 18(2), 227–56.
- Sager, F. (2006). Policy coordination in the European metropolis: A meta-analysis. *West European Politics*, 29(3), 433–60.

- Sager, F. (2007). Making transport policy work: Polity, policy, politics and systematic review. *Policy and Politics*, 35(2), 269–88.
- Sager, F., and C. Andereggen (2012). Dealing with complex causality in realist synthesis: The promise of qualitative comparative analysis. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 33(1), 60–78.
- Sanderson, I. (2000). Evaluation in complex policy systems. *Evaluation*, 6(4), 433–54.
- Sanderson, J. (2012). Risk, uncertainty and governance in megaprojects: A critical discussion of alternative explanations. *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(4), 432–43.
- Sayer, A. (1992). *Method in Social Science: A Realist Approach*. London: Routledge.
- Sayer, A. (2000). *Realism and Social Science*. London: Sage.
- Schneider, C.Q. (2016). Real differences and overlooked similarities: Set-methods in comparative perspective. *Comparative Political Studies*, 49(6), 781–92.
- Schneider, C.Q., and I. Rohlfing (2013). Combining QCA and process tracing in set-theoretic multi-method research. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 42(4), 559–97.
- Schneider, C.Q., and I. Rohlfing (2016). Case studies nested in fuzzy-set QCA on sufficiency: Formalizing case selection and causal inference. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 45(3), 526–68.
- Schneider, C.Q., and C. Wagemann (2006). Reducing complexity in qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Remote and proximate factors and the consolidation of democracy. *European Journal of Political Research*, 45(5), 751–86.
- Schneider, C.Q., and C. Wagemann (2010). Standards of good practice in qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and fuzzy-sets. *Comparative Sociology*, 9(3), 397–418.
- Schneider, C.Q., and C. Wagemann (2012). *Set-Theoretic Methods for the Social Sciences: A Guide to Qualitative Comparative Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schneider, C.Q., and C. Wagemann (2013). Doing justice to logical remainders in QCA: Moving beyond the standard analysis. *Political Research Quarterly*, 66(1), 211–20.
- Schwartz-Shea, P., and D. Yanow (2012). *Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes*. New York: Routledge.
- Scott, W.R., and J.W. Meyer (1991). The organization of societal sectors: Propositions and early evidence. In W.W. Powell and P.J. DiMaggio (eds), *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis* (pp. 108–42). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Seny Kan, A.K., E. Adegbite, S. El Omari, and M. Abdellatif (2016). On

- the use of qualitative comparative analysis in management. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(4), 1458–63.
- Short, J., and A. Kopp (2005). Transport infrastructure: Investment and planning: Policy and research aspects. *Transport Policy*, 12(4), 360–7.
- Smithson, M., and J. Verkuilen (2006). *Fuzzy Set Theory: Applications in the Social Sciences*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Smits, K. (2013). *Cross Culture Work: Practices of Collaboration in the Panama Canal Expansion Program*. Delft: Next Generation Infrastructures.
- Smyth, H.J., and P.W.G. Morris (2007). An epistemological evaluation of research into projects and their management: Methodological issues. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(4), 423–36.
- Söderholm, A. (2008). Project management of unexpected events. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(1), 80–86.
- Spekkink, W.A.H. (2013). Institutional capacity building for industrial symbiosis in the Canal Zone of Zeeland in the Netherlands: A process analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 52, 342–55.
- Spekkink, W.A.H. (2016). *Industrial Symbiosis as a Social Process: Developing Theory and Methods for the Longitudinal Investigation of Social Dynamics in the Emergence and Development of Industrial Symbiosis*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Spekkink, W.A.H., and F.A.A. Boons (2016). The emergence of collaborations. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 26(4), 613–30.
- Stern, E., N. Stame, J. Mayne, K. Forss, R. Davies, and B. Befani (2012). *Broadening the Range of Designs and Methods for Impact Evaluations: Report of a study commissioned by the Department for International Development*. Glasgow: Department for International Development.
- Strauss, A.L., and J.M. Corbin (1994). Grounded theory methodology: An overview. In N.K. Denzin and Y.S. Lincoln (eds), *Handbook of Qualitative Research* (pp. 273–85). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Strübing, J. (2014). *Grounded Theory: Zur Sozialtheoretischen und Epistemologischen Fundierung eines Pragmatistischen Forschungsstils*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Taket, A., and L. White (1997). Working with heterogeneity: A pluralist strategy for evaluation. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 14(2), 101–11.
- Teisman, G.R. (2005). *Publiek Management op de Grens van Chaos en Orde: Over Leidinggeven en Organiseren in Complexiteit*. Den Haag: Academic Service.
- Teisman, G.R., A. Van Buuren, and L.M. Gerrits (eds) (2009a). *Managing Complex Governance Systems: Dynamics, Self-Organization and Coevolution in Public Investments*. New York: Routledge.

- Teisman, G.R., E. Westerveld, and M.J.C.M. Hertogh (2009b). Appearances and sources of process dynamics: The case of infrastructure development in the UK and the Netherlands. In G.R. Teisman, A. Van Buuren, and L.M. Gerrits (eds), *Managing Complex Governance Systems: Dynamics, Self-Organization and Coevolution in Public Investments* (pp. 56–75). New York: Routledge.
- Thiem, A. (2013). Clearly crisp, and not fuzzy: A reassessment of the (putative) pitfalls of multi-value QCA. *Field Methods*, 25(2), 197–207.
- Thiem, A., and A. Duşa (2013a). Boolean minimization in social science research: A review of current software for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). *Social Science Computer Review*, 31(4), 505–21.
- Thiem, A., and A. Duşa (2013b). *Qualitative Comparative Analysis with R: A User's Guide*. New York: Springer.
- Thiem, A., M. Baumgartner, and D. Bol (2016a). Still lost in translation! A correction of three misunderstandings between configurational comparativists and regression analysts. *Comparative Political Studies*, 49(6), 742–74.
- Thiem, A., M. Baumgartner, A. Duşa, and R. Spoehel (2016b). *QCApro: Professional Functionality for Performing and Evaluating Qualitative Comparative Analysis* (Version 1.1-1).
- Thomas, J., and T. Mengel (2008). Preparing project managers to deal with complexity: Advanced project management education. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(3), 304–15.
- Tóth, Z., S.C. Henneberg, and P. Naudé (2017). Addressing the ‘qualitative’ in fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis: The generic membership evaluation template. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 63, 192–204.
- Treib, O., H. Bähr, and G. Falkner (2007). Modes of governance: Towards a conceptual clarification. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 14(1), 1–20.
- Ulrich, W. (1983). *Critical Heuristics of Social Planning: A New Approach to Practical Philosophy*. Bern: Paul Haupt.
- Van Buuren, A. (2006). *Competente Besluitvorming: Het Management van Meervoudige Kennis in Ruimtelijke Ontwikkelingsprocessen*. Den Haag: Lemma.
- Van den Brink, M. (2009). *Rijkswaterstaat on the Horns of a Dilemma*. Delft: Uitgeverij Eburon.
- Van Eeten, M., and E. Ten Heuvelhof (1998). “Serviceable truth”: De proces-contingente inzet van wetenschappelijke expertise. In R. Hoppe and A. Peterse (eds), *Bouwstenen voor Argumentatieve Beleidsanalyse* (pp. 161–74). ’s-Gravenhage: Elsevier Bedrijfsinformatie.
- Van Evera, S. (1997). *Guide to Methods for Students of Political Science*. New York: Cornell University Press.

- Van Marrewijk, A., S.R. Clegg, T.S. Pitsis, and M. Veenswijk (2008). Managing public-private megaprojects: Paradoxes, complexity, and project design. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(6), 591–600.
- Van Twist, M., E. Ten Heuvelhof, M. Kort, M. Olde Wolbers, C. Van den Berg, and N. Bressers (2011). *Tussenevaluatie PKB Ruimte voor de Rivier: Eindrapportage*. Rotterdam: Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam and Berenschot.
- Varone, F., B. Rihoux, and A. Marx (2006). A new method for policy innovation? Longstanding challenges and the possibilities of qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). In B. Rihoux and H. Grimm (eds), *Innovative Comparative Methods for Policy Analysis: Beyond the Quantitative-Qualitative Divide* (pp. 263–84). New York: Springer.
- Vennix, J.A.M. (1996). *Group Model Building: Facilitating Team Learning Using System Dynamics*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Verbraecken, H., and A. Weissink (2014, October 7). Nieuwe Botlek-brug zorgt voor grootste kostenoverschrijding A15-project. *Het Financieele Dagblad*.
- Verkeerskunde (2012). Kolossaal ondergronds transport in Rotterdamse haven: Uitbreiding buizentransport biedt kansen, maar is geen Haarlemmerolie. *Verkeerskunde* (5), 32.
- Verweij, S. (2015a). Achieving satisfaction when implementing PPP transportation infrastructure projects: A qualitative comparative analysis of the A15 highway DBFM project. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(1), 189–200.
- Verweij, S. (2015b). *Once the Shovel Hits the Ground: Evaluating the Management of Complex Implementation Processes of Public-Private Partnership Infrastructure Projects with Qualitative Comparative Analysis*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Verweij, S. (2015c). Producing satisfactory outcomes in the implementation phase of PPP infrastructure projects: A fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis of 27 road constructions in the Netherlands. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(8), 1877–87.
- Verweij, S. (2016). DBFM: Als de schop de grond is ingegaan: Management en publiek-private samenwerking in de uitvoering van weginfrastructuurprojecten. *Verkeerskunde*, 67(2), 43.
- Verweij, S. (2017). Addressing the evaluation-implementation gap in infrastructure megaproject research with qualitative comparative analysis. In M. Lehtonen, P.B. Joly, and L. Aparicio (eds), *Socioeconomic Evaluation of Megaprojects: Dealing with Uncertainties* (pp. 220–39). Abingdon: Routledge.
- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2011). MIRT is niet vanzelf sneller en slimmer. *ROmagazine*, 29(5), 32–3.

- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2012). Assessing the applicability of qualitative comparative analysis for the evaluation of complex projects. In L.M. Gerrits and P. Marks (eds), *Compact I: Public Administration in Complexity* (pp.93–117). Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2013). Understanding and researching complexity with qualitative comparative analysis: Evaluating transportation infrastructure projects. *Evaluation*, 19(1), 40–55.
- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2014). Managing unplanned events in large infrastructure projects: Results from an in-depth comparative case evaluation. In J.W. Meek and K.S. Marshall (eds), *Compact II: Administrative Strategies for Complex Governance Systems* (pp. 81–108). Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2015). How satisfaction is achieved in the implementation phase of large transportation infrastructure projects: A qualitative comparative analysis into the A2 tunnel project. *Public Works Management and Policy*, 20(1), 5–28.
- Verweij, S., E.H. Klijn, J. Edelenbos, and A. Van Buuren (2013). What makes governance networks work? A fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis of 14 Dutch spatial planning projects. *Public Administration*, 91(4), 1035–55.
- Verweij, S., I.F. Van Meerkerk, J.F.M. Koppenjan, and H. Geerlings (2014). Institutional interventions in complex urban systems: Coping with boundary issues in urban planning projects. *Emergence: Complexity and Organization*, 16(1), 7–23.
- Verweij, S., I.F. Van Meerkerk, and I.A. Korthagen (2015). Reasons for contract changes in implementing Dutch transportation infrastructure projects: An empirical exploration. *Transport Policy*, 37(1), 195–202.
- Verweij, S., G.R. Teisman, and L.M. Gerrits (2017). Implementing public–private partnerships: How management responses to events produce (un)satisfactory outcomes. *Public Works Management and Policy*, 22(2), 119–39.
- Vidal, L., and F. Marle (2008). Understanding project complexity: Implications on project management. *Kybernetes*, 37(8), 1094–110.
- Vink, M.P., and O. Van Vliet (2009). Not quite crisp, not yet fuzzy? Assessing the potentials and pitfalls of multi-value QCA. *Field Methods*, 21(3), 265–89.
- Vis, B. (2011). Under which conditions does spending on active labor market policies increase? An fsQCA analysis of 53 governments between 1985 and 2003. *European Political Science Review*, 3(2), 229–52.
- Wagemann, C., and C.Q. Schneider (2015). Transparency standards in qualitative comparative analysis. *Qualitative and Multi-Method Research*, 13, 38–42.

- Wagemann, C., J. Buche, and M.B. Siewert (2016). QCA and business research: Work in progress or a consolidated agenda? *Journal of Business Research*, 69(7), 2531–40.
- Wagenaar, H. (2007). Governance, complexity, and democratic participation: How citizens and public officials harness the complexities of neighborhood decline. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 37(1), 17–50.
- Walton, M. (2014). Applying complexity theory: A review to inform evaluation design. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 45, 119–26.
- Ward, E.J., P.G. Wright, and H.T. Dimitriou (2014). Perspectives on “success” from the UK Channel Tunnel rail link project. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 15(3), 423–8.
- Weiss, R.S. (1994). *Learning from Strangers: The Art and Method of Qualitative Interview Studies*. New York: The Free Press.
- Wiering, M.A., and B.J.M. Arts (2006). Discursive shifts in Dutch river management: ‘Deep’ institutional change or adaptation strategy? In R.S.E.W. Leuven, A.M.J. Ragas, A.J.M. Smits, and G. Van der Velde (eds), *Living Rivers: Trends and Challenges in Science and Management* (pp. 327–38). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Wolf-Branigin, M. (2013). *Using Complexity Theory for Research and Program Evaluation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Yamasaki, S., and B. Rihoux (2009). A commented review of applications. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 123–45). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Yin, R.K. (1989). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Yin, R.K. (2009). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* (4th edn). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Yin, R.K. (2013). Validity and generalization in future case study evaluations. *Evaluation*, 19(3), 321–32.
- Zeng, S.X., H.Y. Ma, H. Lin, R.C. Zeng, and V.W.Y. Tam (2015). Social responsibility of major infrastructure projects in China. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(3), 537–48.
- Zevenbergen, C., J. Rijke, S. Van Herk, J. Ludy, and R. Ashley (2013). Room for the River: International relevance. *Water Governance*, 2, 24–31.