

INDEX

- abusive behaviour
 - damage caused by *see* quantification of damages, damage caused by abusive conduct
 - damage estimation *see* quantification of damages, abusive behaviour and damage estimation
- access to evidence *see* evidence disclosure
- Amaro, R 11.253
- Angrist, J 14.81
- applicable law choice and Rome II
 - Regulation 13.01–42
 - Article 4 and rules for specific tort claims 13.06–7, 13.31
 - market of forum must be ‘directly and substantially affected’ by infringement 13.14–15, 13.19
- applicable law choice and Rome II
 - Regulation, Article 6(3) and competition-based litigation 13.08–42
- applicable law choice and Rome II
 - Regulation, Article 6(3) and competition-based litigation, effects-based approach (Article 6(3)(a)) 13.29–42
 - damage-localisation problems and *Mosaikbetrachtung* 13.35–8
 - Mosaikbetrachtung* as concurrent matter of jurisdiction and applicable law 13.39–42
 - and supra-national markets 13.36
- applicable law choice and Rome II
 - Regulation, Article 6(3) and competition-based litigation, *lex fori* approach 13.11–28, 13.32
 - concerns 13.23–8
 - ‘direct and substantial effect’ test 13.15–18, 13.19, 13.29
 - effects-based approach 13.17
 - foreseeability test 13.15
 - and forum shopping 13.15
 - judicial cooperation concerns 13.25–6
 - and policy shopping 13.28
 - substantive *de minimis* test 13.17
 - US law comparison 13.20–22
 - US law comparison, ‘direct, substantial and reasonably foreseeable effect’ test 13.22
- apportionment, quantification of damages *see* quantification of damages, apportionment
- Arrow, K 14.17
- Ashurst study 0.15
- assignment of claims, collective action *see* collective action, assignment of claims
- attribution of responsibility 8.01–44
 - Finland 8.28–37
 - Germany 8.16–21
- attribution of responsibility, EU law 8.01–13
 - asset transfers 8.05
 - and Directive 8.08–12
 - economic succession doctrine 8.04
 - parental liability 8.03
 - successors, lack of 8.05
- attribution of responsibility, national law 8.14–44
 - ‘decisive influence’ test 8.03, 8.14, 8.22
 - economic succession doctrine 8.15
- attribution of responsibility, national law, Austria 8.22–7
 - in personam* liability 8.27
 - joint and several liability of related companies 8.22–6
- attribution of responsibility, national law, Finland 8.28–37
 - economic succession doctrine 8.29–31, 8.33–7

- effectiveness and equivalence principles 8.35
- liability for damages through causal connection 8.28
- parental liability 8.30–33
- attribution of responsibility, national law, Germany 8.16–21
- in personam* liability 8.21
- liability requiring fault 8.19–20
- parent company and subsidiary as separate legal entities 8.17–18
- undertaking concept 8.17–19
- attribution of responsibility, national law, Latvia 8.38–44
- economic succession doctrine 8.38, 8.40–41, 8.44
- liability in damages actions 8.42–3
- parental liability 8.38–9
- undertaking concept 8.38
- Austria
 - attribution of responsibility 8.22–7
 - Civil Code 2.41, 2.43, 3.119, 8.26, 8.80–81, 9.48–51, 9.128
 - Code of Civil Procedure 7.66
 - evidence disclosure 5.129–33
 - evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.62
 - in personam* liability 8.27
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.106–23
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on, third-party notice 3.109, 3.114, 3.119
 - interest provisions 9.127–8
 - joint and several liability, advantage for immunity recipients 8.80–84
 - joint and several liability of related companies 8.22–6
 - limitation periods 9.48–52
 - presumption of harm 7.37–9
 - quantification of loss 7.66
 - scope of damage recoverable 7.10
 - underlying right to damages 2.41–3
- Bailey, D 7.94, 13.18
- Baker, D 11.84
- balance of probabilities test
 - causation of infringements 6.33
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.41, 3.82
 - Barling, G 11.117, 11.124
 - Basedow, J 2.53, 9.120, 11.09, 12.89
 - Beenders, D 12.100, 12.137
 - Beisner, J 11.30
 - bid-rigging 8.87, 9.84, 14.06, 14.07
 - Bishop, S 14.01
 - Bolotova, Y 14.19
 - Boos, A 3.94, 4.150, 4.155, 8.77
 - brand damages, indirect purchaser standing 3.09, 3.166
 - breach of statutory duty, underlying right to damages 2.23, 2.30, 2.33, 2.54, 2.63
 - Brealey, M 3.43
 - Bredenoord-Spoek, M 11.175, 11.247
 - Buccirosi, P 14.96
 - Bueren, E 9.107, 14.23
 - Bulst, W 12.92
 - bundled claims 3.66–70, 11.187–8, 11.204
 - Buntscheck, M 11.203
 - Burbank, S 11.238, 11.240
 - burden of proof
 - evidence disclosure 4.19, 4.33, 4.34
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.40–52, 3.56, 3.82, 3.84–5, 3.93, 3.94–6, 3.161
 - business opportunity loss 6.32–3
 - business secrets 5.88, 5.91–6, 5.97, 5.102
 - Buxbaum, H 11.237
 - causation of infringements 6.01–41
 - balance of probabilities test 6.33
 - conditio sine qua non* approach 6.03–6, 6.20
 - contractual link between claimant and members of cartel 6.19
 - de facto* policy 6.06
 - English authorities on 12.95–6
 - equivalence and effectiveness principles 6.16
 - EU competition law damages actions 6.12–30
 - EU law 6.09–11
 - EU law, extra-contractual liability 6.10

- foreseeability examination 6.23–4
- liability though causal connection, Finland 8.28, 8.87
- loss of business opportunity claim 6.32–3
- lost profits claim 6.32
- national courts in competition litigation 6.31–4
- national courts in competition litigation, England and Wales 6.32–3
- national courts in competition litigation, Germany 6.34
- place of causal event 12.51–3, 12.54–73
- policy-orientated approaches 6.05–8 and quantification of damages 14.129–33
- quantification of harm 6.35–41
- Schutznorm* concept 2.15, 2.17, 6.23, 6.25, 6.29–30
- sufficiently direct consequence test 6.10, 6.23
- umbrella pricing 6.24, 6.37, 6.39–40 and underlying right to damages 2.24, 2.26, 2.54
- cellophane fallacy, quantification of damages 14.29
- Chatel, L 11.192
- civil law, evidence disclosure *see* evidence disclosure, access to documents, civil law
- Clark, S 11.28
- class actions
- collective action in Member States 11.125, 11.177, 11.178–88, 11.194–200, 11.217
 - quantification of damages, damage caused by abusive conduct 14.45
 - US class actions 11.84, 11.85, 11.227–43
- collective action 0.16–17, 11.01–272
- alternative 11.251
 - categories 11.14
 - litigation process impact 11.09–11
 - multiple claim avoidance 11.12, 11.177
 - opt-in and opt-out mechanisms 11.05, 11.15–31
 - opt-in system advantages 11.19–21
 - opt-in system drawbacks 11.22–4
 - opt-out mechanisms, ‘internal class conflict’ risks 11.30
 - opt-out mechanisms, ‘sweetheart settlements’ 11.30
 - opt-out system advantages 11.25–6
 - opt-out system drawbacks 11.27–31
 - as public enforcement complement 11.02–3
 - Spain 11.218–22
 - unclaimed damages 11.21, 11.160, 11.163, 11.225
- collective action, assignment of claims 11.244–72
- as alternative to collective redress 11.251
 - EU law 11.254–9
 - EU law, economies of scale 11.248
 - EU Law, evidence restrictions 11.255, 11.257–8
 - national law 11.260–72
 - national law, Germany 11.261–7
 - national law, Netherlands 11.268–72
 - national law, Netherlands, passing-on defence 11.272
 - terms of assignment 11.249–50
- collective action at EU level 11.32–114
- data protection rules 11.77
 - and enforcement of substantive rights 11.40–47
 - EU law 11.32–77
 - individual standing 11.44–7
- collective action at EU level, consumer protection 11.49–55
- intellectual property rights 11.56–7
 - widespread infringement concept 11.52–5
- collective action at EU level, environmental liability 11.58–76
- acts and omissions by private persons 11.71–6
 - qualified entities 11.50, 11.70, 11.86, 11.89, 11.91–2
 - ‘sufficient interest’ and ‘impairment of a right’ conditions 11.59–70, 11.74
- collective action at EU level, policy developments 11.78–114
- claimants’ eligibility conditions 11.101–3
 - Commission’s 2011 consultation paper 11.95–8
 - Commission’s 2013 collective redress initiative 11.99–110

- Commission's joint information note
11.93–4
- contingency fees 11.105
- cross-border collective redress 11.102
- damages distribution issues 11.87
- double compensation avoidance 11.87
- draft Directive, Commission 0.16, 2.76,
2.81, 2.85, 3.30, 3.47, 3.53, 9.01,
9.03, 11.03, 11.87, 11.89–92
- Green Paper 0.15, 2.53, 2.77, 2.79, 3.29,
7.74, 8.53, 11.82–4, 12.138, 13.04,
13.09
- group actions 11.89–90
- individual consumers and SMEs 11.86
- limitation periods and access to damages
11.107
- 'loser pays' principle 11.104
- opt-in collective actions 11.86
- 'opt-in' principle 11.104
- passing-on defence 11.113–14
- proposal and Directive 11.111–14
- public enforcement proceedings 11.106–7
- representative actions and opt-out model
11.92
- representative actions and qualified
entities 11.89, 11.91–2
- US class action comparison 11.84, 11.85
- White Paper 0.15, 2.53, 2.77, 2.79–81,
2.85, 3.47–8, 8.08, 9.113, 11.85–8,
11.250
- collective action in Member States
11.115–226
- collective action in Member States, England
and Wales 11.116–73
- BIS (2012) consultation and 2013 BIS
response 11.141–51
- businesses and consumers, actions on
behalf of both 11.142–3
- collective proceedings in practice
11.164–73
- Collective Settlement Approval Order
(CSAO) 11.161
- collective settlement regime in the CAT
11.154–73
- collective settlement regime in the CAT,
authorisation of the class
representative 11.155–6
- collective settlement regime in the CAT,
eligibility of claims 11.157–9
- Competition Act (prior to 1 October
2015), Section 47B 11.127–34
- competition-based actions and 'same
interest' test 11.119–21
- Consumer Rights Act (2015) 11.152–3
- damages and costs 11.162–3
- DTI consultation (2006) and consumer
protection 11.136–8
- Group Litigation Orders (GLOs)
11.124–6
- Group Litigation Orders (GLOs), class
action comparison 11.125
- 'loser pays' rule 11.148, 11.159, 11.162,
14.45
- naming claimants on claim form 11.134
- OFT recommendations (2007) and
consumer protection 11.139–40
- opt-in actions 11.117
- opt-in and opt-out actions 11.144–6,
11.158–9
- and opt-out collective action 11.133–4,
11.140, 11.147–51
- pre-damages opt-in 11.144–6
- private bodies, actions brought by 11.147
- regime reform 11.135–51
- representative actions 11.119–23, 11.138
- stand-alone and follow-on cases 11.142–3
- collective action in Member States, France,
Consumer Code 11.189–200
- class actions and *loi Hamon* 11.194–200
- joint representation actions 11.189–93
- leniency applicants 11.199
- stand-alone class actions 11.198
- collective action in Member States,
Germany 11.29, 11.201–4
- bundling of proceedings 11.204
- representative actions 11.202–3
- collective action in Member States, Italy
11.214–17
- class actions 11.217
- Consumer Code 11.214–15
- collective action in Member States,
Netherlands 11.174–88
- and Amsterdam Court of Appeal
jurisdiction 11.181, 11.184

- bundled claims 11.187–8
 foundation or association requirements
 11.175–7, 11.188
 opt-out class-action mechanism 11.177,
 11.178–88
 Wet Collectieve Afhandeling
 Massaschade (WCAM) (2005)
 11.176, 11.178–88
 collective action in Member States, Portugal
 11.223–6
 follow-on cases 11.226
 opt-out regime 11.223
 right of initiative requirements 11.224
 collective action in Member States, Spain
 11.218–22
 identifiable or easily identifiable
 consumers 11.218–19
 opt-in to follow-on action 11.221
 representative action 11.220
 collective action in Member States, Sweden,
 class actions 11.205–13
 Competition Damages Act 11.212–13
 opt-in solutions 11.207
 private action 11.211
 public action 11.208–9
 representative action 11.210
 collective action, US class actions 11.227–43
 Class Action Fairness Act (2005)
 11.239–43
 class certification issues 11.242
 common-question actions 11.236–7
 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP),
 Rule 23 11.228–38
 ‘inconsistent or varying adjudications’ of
 separate actions 11.230, 11.235
 opt-out opportunities 11.233, 11.236–8
 representative actions 11.234
 Combe, E 14.19
 commitment decisions, Article 16 of
 Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 4.117,
 4.118, 5.09–12, 5.72, 5.73
 common law discovery procedure *see*
 evidence disclosure, access to
 documents, common law discovery
 procedure
 common law principle of antitrust injury
 2.18–21
 comparator-based methods, quantification of
 damages 14.98–100
 competition-based litigation, and Rome II
 Regulation *see* applicable law choice
 and Rome II Regulation, Article 6(3)
 and competition-based litigation
 complements, producers of 14.21, 14.33
 compounding and discounting,
 quantification of damages 14.119–23
conditio sine qua non approach, causation of
 infringements 6.03–6, 6.20
 connected but not identical actions,
 Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, *lis*
 pendens rule 12.118–21
 Connor, J 7.33, 14.18, 14.19
 consolidation of claims, Regulation (EU) No
 1215/2012 *see* jurisdictional issues,
 Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012,
 special jurisdiction (Articles 7(2) and
 8(1)), consolidation of claims (Article
 8(1))
 consumer protection
 collective action in Member States
 11.136–40, 11.152–3, 11.214–15,
 11.218–19
 EU collective action *see* collective action
 at EU level, consumer protection
 indirect purchaser standing and
 passing-on 3.162
 contingency fees, collective action at EU
 level 11.105
 ‘continuous or repeated’ infringement,
 limitation periods 9.01, 9.10
 contractual relationship
 causation of infringements 6.10, 6.19
 underlying right to damages 2.22–3,
 2.52–62
 contribution claim
 evidence disclosure 4.140
 joint and several liability 8.47–51, 8.62
 Cooper, E 11.11
 cooperative games theory, quantification of
 damages 14.116–17
 cost-based and profitability-based
 approaches, quantification of damages
 14.88–92, 14.96

- counterfactual scenario, quantification of
damages 14.09, 14.11, 14.56, 14.57,
14.61, 14.62, 14.67, 14.72, 14.79–80,
14.93–102, 14.112
- Court of Justice of the European Union
Aalborg Portland v Commission 7.85
Adams v Commission 5.91
AEG Telefunken v Commission 8.03
AFCO Management Consultants 9.122
AGC Glass 5.100
Ahlström Osakeyhtiö v Commission 13.01
Airtours v Commission 14.14
Akzo v Commission 4.76, 5.91, 5.96, 5.98,
5.99, 5.101, 5.102, 5.120, 8.02,
8.22–3
*Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato v
SpA San Giorgio* 1.06
Archer Daniels 7.85
AssiDomän 9.19
Bank Austria Creditanstalt v Commission
5.91, 5.99
Banks v British Coal 2.01, 2.74, 3.16, 3.59,
3.62, 7.01
*Bertelsmann and Sony v Independent Music
Publishers and Labels Association
(Impala)* 14.14
Bianco 3.16, 3.23, 3.41
Bier v Mines de Potasse d'Alsace 12.51,
12.54–63, 12.66–7, 13.40–41
Brasserie du Pêcheur 1.06, 1.07, 1.11, 1.14,
2.71, 2.79, 6.11
BRT v SABAM 1.07
Camar 2.72
CDC Hydrogen Peroxide v Akzo Nobel
2.01, 2.57–61, 4.71–6, 11.244–7,
11.256, 12.19–39, 12.40, 12.54,
12.56, 12.59–60, 12.62, 12.76–80,
12.83–5, 12.99
*CIF v Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e
del Mercato* 7.20
Commission and France v Ladbroke Racing
7.20
Commission v Agrofert Holding 4.86
Commission v Éditions Odile Jacob 4.86
Commission v Technische Glaswerke Ilmenau
4.91
Crehan 0.14, 1.03, 1.06, 2.01–24, 2.84,
3.12, 3.15–16, 3.35, 3.36, 3.74, 3.79,
6.12, 6.26, 11.47
Crehan, underlying right to damages *see*
damages, underlying right to, *Crehan*
case
Defrenne v Sabena 1.09
Dekker 2.73, 2.74
Delimitis v Henninger Bräu 2.12
Donau Chemie 2.28, 4.92–7, 4.103, 4.114,
4.127–9, 6.26, 7.38
Drouot 12.106, 12.108, 12.109, 12.110
Dumex France v Hessische Landesbank
12.58
Dumortier Frères 3.18, 6.10
Dyestuffs 13.18
Eco Swiss 3.06
eDate Advertising 12.58
EnBW Energie 4.71, 4.77–83, 4.88–9, 4.96
ETI 8.04, 8.05
Evonik Degussa v Commission 4.76, 5.91,
5.98, 5.99, 5.104, 5.108, 5.110–13
Factortame III 1.06
Fantask v Industriministeriet 1.06
First and Franex 4.107
flyLAL-Lithuanian Airlines 2.59–60, 12.54
Folien Fischer and Fofitec v Ritrama
12.69–73
Francoovich v Italian Republic 1.06, 1.11,
1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 2.06, 2.07, 6.11,
6.14
Freeport 12.80
Gas Insulated Switchgear 4.77, 4.136
Gencor 13.15, 13.16, 13.18
Greenpeace 11.35
Grifoni v EAEC 9.116
Gubisch Maschinenfabrik v Palumbo 12.120
Hoffmann v Krieg 12.115, 12.131
Homawoo v GMF Assurances 13.05
ICI v Commission 13.18
Ireks-Arkady 3.17–18, 3.21, 3.35, 3.43
Irimie 9.116
*Johnston v Chief Constable of the Royal
Ulster Constabulary* 1.12
Just v Danish Ministry for Fiscal Affairs
1.06, 3.16, 3.19–22, 3.35
Kalfelis v Bankhaus Schröder 12.74

- Kone* 2.28, 3.05, 3.98, 6.19–30, 6.37, 6.39–40
- Laboratoires Boiron v Urssaf de Lyon* 4.57
- Land Berlin v Ellen Mirjam Sapir* 12.12
- Littlewoods* 9.123–5
- Manfredi v Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazioni* 1.04, 2.09, 2.25–8, 2.84, 3.10, 3.15, 3.79, 6.12, 6.13, 6.17, 7.01, 7.05, 7.11, 7.57, 7.73, 7.76, 7.78, 9.01–2, 9.10, 9.108–9, 9.113, 11.47
- Marinari v Lloyds Bank* 12.58
- Marshall II* 1.01, 9.109–10, 9.113–15
- MasterCard v Commission* 4.68
- Masterfoods* 5.03, 5.14, 5.27, 7.89–90
- Michailidis* 3.16, 3.21, 3.26, 3.59
- Mulder* 9.116, 9.121
- Muñoz* 11.34, 11.44–5, 11.74
- Netherlands v Commission* 4.71, 4.84–91, 4.94
- Netherlands v Ruffer* 12.58
- Österreichischer Gewerkschaftsbund* 11.37–8
- Otis* 2.27, 2.84, 5.06, 5.08, 5.23, 6.14, 6.26
- Overseas Union Insurance v New Hampshire Insurance* 12.122
- Owens Bank v Bracco* 12.131, 12.132, 12.134–5
- Owusu* 12.07
- Painer* 12.80, 12.81
- Pergan Hilfsstoffe* 4.147–8, 5.96, 5.132
- Pfleiderer* 2.27, 4.92, 4.96, 4.98–105, 4.114, 4.122–4, 4.127–9, 4.137–9, 4.145, 4.148, 4.153–4, 4.190, 5.109, 6.26, 10.06
- Postbank* 4.107
- Powell Duffryn* 12.23
- ProRail v Xpedys* 4.36
- R v Secretary of State for Transport (Factortame I)* 1.06
- Reisch Montage* 12.74, 12.84
- Rewe-Zentralfinanz and Rewe-Zentral v Landwirtschaftskammer für das Saarland* 1.01
- Roche Nederland* 12.80, 12.99
- San Giorgio* 3.16, 3.28, 3.40, 3.43, 3.60
- Schenker* 4.134
- Shevill* 12.52–3, 12.54–63, 13.39–42
- Société Comateb* 1.06, 3.16, 3.21, 3.24–5, 3.59
- Steenborst-Neerings* 1.01
- Sumitomo Chemical* 5.132
- Sutton* 1.01
- The Tatry* 12.73, 12.104, 12.108, 12.110, 12.113, 12.115, 12.121, 12.131
- Toshiba* 7.86
- UGIC v Group Josi* 12.14
- Unectef v Heylens* 1.12
- Van Gend en Loos* 0.05, 1.15, 1.16
- Versalis* 8.03, 8.05
- Von Colson* 1.01, 2.73
- Weber's Wine World* 3.21, 3.24, 3.25, 3.26–7, 3.41, 3.42, 3.44–5
- Wood Pulp I* 13.18, 13.19
- Zwartveld* 4.107
- Crane, D 0.06
- data protection rules, collective action at EU level 11.77
- Davis, J 11.10
- Davis, P 14.61
- De Jong, J 11.182
- De la Mare, T 9.27
- De Leeuw, M 4.67
- de minimus* test 13.17
- dead weight loss (DWL), quantification of damages 14.10, 14.11
- decentralisation of enforcement of EU competition law 0.08, 5.128
- 'decisive influence' test, attribution of responsibility 8.03, 8.14, 8.22
- Dehez, P 14.116
- Delatre, J 11.30
- Deutmoser, R 11.201
- Dickinson, A 13.40
- difference-in-differences analysis, quantification of damages 14.60, 14.81–5, 14.96
- direct injury requirement, underlying right to damages 2.24
- 'direct and substantial effect' test 13.15–18, 13.19, 13.29
- disclosure of evidence *see* evidence disclosure
- discounting damages, quantification of damages 14.119–23

- double compensation avoidance 11.87
 draft Directive, Commission 0.16, 2.76, 2.81, 2.85, 3.30, 3.47, 3.53, 9.01, 9.03, 11.03, 11.87, 11.89–92
 economic loss, price effect and direct economic loss, indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.10, 3.28, 3.105
- economic succession doctrine
 attribution of responsibility, EU law 8.04
 attribution of responsibility, national law 8.15
 Finland 8.29–31, 8.33–7
 Latvia 8.38, 8.40–41, 8.44
- effectiveness principle *see* equivalence and effectiveness principles
- effects-based approach, applicable law choice *see* applicable law choice and Rome II Regulation, Article 6(3) and competition-based litigation, effects-based approach (Article 6(3)(a))
- Eilmansberger, T 1.14
- England and Wales
 access to documents and French blocking statute 4.38–47
 BIS (2012) consultation and 2013 BIS response 11.141–51
 causation of infringements 6.32–3
 Civil Liability (Contribution) Act 8.48
 collective action *see* collective action in Member States, England and Wales
 Competition Appeal Tribunal (CAT) 2.32, 3.75, 3.80, 3.82, 5.40–55, 6.32–3, 7.93–8, 9.25–33, 9.36, 10.18–19, 11.116–18, 11.130–31, 11.154–73
 conduct calculated to make a profit which may well exceed the compensation payable 7.82–3, 7.86, 7.94
 consolidation of claims 12.87–97
 Consumer Rights Act 5.41, 9.25, 10.19, 11.152–3
 Damages Act 3.83–6
 disclosure rules and Civil Procedure Rules (CPR) 4.13, 4.15
 DTI consultation (2006) and consumer protection 11.136–8
 Enterprise Act 11.116, 11.126–7
 evidence disclosure 4.136–49
 evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.13–19, 5.40–55
 evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions, deference to previous decisions 5.14–17
 follow-on damages 9.32
 founding jurisdiction in tortious actions 12.64–8
 Group Litigation Orders (GLOs) 11.124–6
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.75–86
 joint and several liability 8.48
 leniency documents 4.138, 4.140–41, 4.144, 7.87, 7.95
 limitation periods 9.06, 9.18, 9.25–36
lis pendens rule 12.129–35
ne bis in idem (double jeopardy) principle 7.84–7, 7.88–9, 7.94
 OFT recommendations (2007) and consumer protection 11.139–40
 opt-out collective actions 10.19
 presumption of harm 7.33
 proportionality principle and evidence disclosure 4.139–41, 4.149
 public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of 10.18–19
 quantification of loss 7.55–7
 and restitutionary damages 7.90
 underlying right to damages 2.30–33, 2.63
- England and Wales, cases
Albion Water v D.r Cymru Cyfyngedig 7.98–102
Arcadia Group Brands v Visa 9.35
BCL/BASF 3.80, 9.33

- Bord Na Mona* 5.13, 12.64–5, 12.97
Cardiff Bus 6.32, 7.91–7, 7.101
Consumers Association v JJB Sports
 11.128–34, 11.193
Cooper Tire & Rubber 3.81, 8.06, 12.61,
 12.67, 12.69, 12.90, 12.93, 12.96,
 12.108, 12.109, 12.110, 12.131–5,
 12.139–40, 13.15
Courage Ltd v Crehan 2.13
Crehan 2.11–14, 2.29, 5.14–18, 7.55–7
Davies v Swan Motor Co 8.48
Deutsche Bahn 9.18, 9.31–2, 10.06, 12.66
Devenish 7.81–3, 7.86–7, 7.95
Dubai Aluminium v Salaam 8.48
Emerald Supplies v British Airways 2.33,
 4.148, 11.120–23
Emerson Electric v Morgan Crucible
 5.51–5, 9.30–31
Enron I 5.36, 5.43–50
Enron II 6.32–3
Ferrexpo v Gilson Investments 12.135
Garden Cottage Foods 2.31
Gibson v Pride Mobility Products
 11.166–71
Healthcare at Home v Genzyme 6.32
Infederation Limited v Google 5.22
*JJB Sports and Allsports v Office of Fair
 Trading* 11.130
Kolden Holdings v Rodette Commerce
 12.108, 12.109
Kuddus v Chief Constable of Leicestershire
 7.72
MasterCard 5.19
Merricks v MasterCard 11.172
Microsoft Mobile v Sony Europe 12, 42
National Grid 4.38–47, 4.98, 4.124,
 4.136–45, 4.149, 10.06
*Nomura International v Banca Monte Dei
 Paschi Di Siena* 12.135
Pan Atlantic Insurance v Pine Top Insurance
 11.122
*Peugeot Citroen Automobiles UK v
 Pilkington Group* 9.36
Prentice v DaimlerChrysler 11.126
 ‘Price fixing in Toys’ cartel case 11.23
Provimi 2.54, 8.14, 12.18, 12.68,
 12.87–91, 12.94–6, 12.116, 12.131
Rookes v Barnard 794, 7.80
Sainsbury’s Supermarkets v MasterCard
 3.75–7, 3.82, 3.86, 10.19
Servier 4.17, 4.43, 4.146–8
Tesco v MasterCard 3.78
Toshiba Carrier 12.93
UBS v Regione Calabria 12.135
*Umbro Holdings, Manchester United,
 Allsports, JJB Sports v Office of Fair
 Trading* 11.130
 environmental liability, collective action at
 EU level *see* collective action at EU
 level, environmental liability
 equivalence and effectiveness principles
 1.04, 2.82–3, 3.10, 4.129, 6.16, 7.38,
 8.35, 9.23, 9.109, 9.124–5
 estimation of share of overcharge, indirect
 purchaser standing and passing-on
 3.63–70
 European Commission
 attribution of responsibility *see* attribution
 of responsibility, EU law
 causation of infringements 6.09–11, 6.10,
 6.12–30
 collective action *see* collective action at
 EU level
 draft Directive 0.16, 2.76, 2.81, 2.85,
 3.30, 3.47, 3.53, 9.01, 9.03, 11.03,
 11.87, 11.89–92
 evidence disclosure *see* evidence disclosure
 evidential value *see* evidential value of
 prior administrative infringement
 decisions, Commission decisions:
 Article 16 of Regulation (EC) No
 1/2003
 exemplary (punitive) damages 7.73–8
 Green Paper 0.15, 2.53, 2.77, 2.79, 3.29,
 7.74, 8.53, 11.82–4, 12.138, 13.04,
 13.09
 interest provisions *see* interest provisions,
 EU law
 IPRs Directive 5.124–5
 joint and several liability *see* joint and
 several liability, EU law
 Late Payment Directive 9.118–20
 limitation periods *see* limitation periods,
 EU law

- private enforcement initiative 0.15–25
- Product Liability Directive 2.75
- quantification of loss 7.51–4
- Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 2.60, 3.68, 4.88, 4.100, 4.110, 4.117–18, 4.131, 12.04, 12.06, 12.138–40
- Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 4.68
- Regulation (EC) No 1206/2001 4.35
- Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 *see* Regulation (EC) No 1/2003
- Regulation (EC) No 773/2004 4.62, 4.132, 4.186
- Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, jurisdictional issues *see* jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012
- scope of damage recoverable 7.01–7
- underlying right to damages 2.01–14, 2.25–8
- White Paper 0.15, 2.53, 2.77, 2.79–81, 2.85, 3.47–8, 8.08, 9.113, 11.85–8, 11.250
- European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, right to a fair trial 1.12
- European Court of Human Rights
Gorraiz Lizarraga v Spain 11.32
Lithgow v United Kingdom 11.32
Pressos Compania Naviera v Belgium 11.259
- evidence disclosure 4.01–191
- evidence held by a party or third party 4.01–61
- evidential value of public enforcement decisions 4.05–7
- ‘follow-on’ actions 4.05–7
- leniency programme 4.04, 4.14
- settlement programme 4.03
- evidence disclosure, access to documents, civil law 4.18–34
- access to probative documents after initiation of proceedings 4.21–34
- access to probative documents prior to initiation of proceedings 4.20
- burden of proof 4.19, 4.33, 4.34
- disclosure restrictions 4.23, 4.26, 4.31
- Evidence Regulation, access under 4.35–47
- expert witnesses 4.22
- evidence disclosure, access to documents, common law discovery procedure 4.12–17
- access to documents held by third parties 4.15–17
- discovery tradition 4.12–13, 4.27, 4.29, 4.48, 4.55
- fishing expeditions 4.54
- evidence disclosure, access to documents, Directive rules
- disclosure of evidence held by a party or a third party 4.48–61
- discretion for national court to order disclosure 4.57–60
- proportionality test 4.53–4
- relevance requirement 4.51–2, 4.56
- sanctions 4.50, 4.61
- evidence disclosure, evidence included in file of competition authority 4.62–191
- evidence disclosure, evidence included in file of competition authority, Commission file 4.62–97
- application under the Access to Documents Regulation 4.67–97
- application under the Access to Documents Regulation, exceptions 4.69–97
- application under the Access to Documents Regulation, review obligation 4.82
- cartel damages actions 4.71–97, 4.98–105
- legal framework 4.62–6
- leniency programmes 4.69–70, 4.74, 4.76–7, 4.80–81, 4.86, 4.88, 4.92
- public interest in disclosure 4.70, 4.78, 4.88, 4.91, 4.95
- restrictions 4.62–3
- evidence disclosure, evidence included in file of competition authority, Commission file or NCA 4.98–191
- Commission’s attempts to limit discoverability in US courts, and leniency programmes 4.183, 4.186, 4.189

- contribution claim 4.140
- Directive Article 6(5) 4.117, 4.119, 4.127, 4.133–5
- Directive Article 6(5), non-appealing infringers 4.134–5
- equivalence and effectiveness principles 4.129
- EU courts 4.98–105
- leniency documents 4.99–100, 4.104–5, 4.108, 4.114, 4.116, 4.120–23, 4.126–7, 4.130, 4.183, 4.186, 4.189
- national court request under Article 15(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 4.131
- pre-existing information 4.122–4
- proceeding closed by means of a commitment decision 4.117–18
- rules introduced by Directive 4.106–35
- settlement decisions taken in accordance with Article 10a of Regulation (EC) No 773/2004 4.132
- evidence disclosure, evidence included in file of competition authority, Commission file or NCA, national law 4.136–91
- Finland 4.158
- Italy 4.179–81
- Italy, suspension of the civil proceedings 4.181
- Lithuania 4.159–60
- Netherlands 4.157
- Portugal 4.182
- US, Commission's attempts to limit discoverability in US courts 4.183–91
- US, Commission's attempts to limit discoverability in US courts, comity arguments 4.187–9
- evidence disclosure, evidence included in file of competition authority, Commission file or NCA, national law, England and Wales 4.136–49
- legitimate expectations and proportionality principle 4.139–41, 4.149
- leniency documents 4.138, 4.140–41, 4.144
- evidence disclosure, evidence included in file of competition authority, Commission file or NCA, national law, France 4.161–78
- Directive implementation effects 4.167–8
- information prepared for competition authority 4.178
- leniency documents 4.169–70
- settlement and commitment proceedings 4.171–7
- evidence disclosure, evidence included in file of competition authority, Commission file or NCA, national law, Germany 4.150–56
- exceptions 4.151–3
- leniency documents 4.152–5
- evidence disclosure, publication of information relating to an infringement by the Commission or an NCA 5.88–133
- evidence disclosure, publication of information relating to an infringement by the Commission or an NCA, Commission decisions 5.88–117
- leniency materials protection 5.97–105
- leniency materials protection, principles of legitimate expectations 5.113
- leniency materials protection, risk of being held liable in civil proceedings 5.99–100, 5.109–12
- public interest considerations 5.98, 5.102–4
- relevant provisions of Directive 5.114–17
- relevant provisions of Directive, exemptions for leniency statements 5.116–17
- specific protection under Commission's leniency Notice 5.106–13
- summary publication and Article 30 of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003.103 5.89–90
- undertakings protection, 'business secrets' and 'professional secrecy 5.88, 5.91–6, 5.97, 5.102
- evidence disclosure, publication of information relating to an infringement by the Commission or an NCA, NCA decisions 5.118–33
- Austria 5.129–33

- and IPRs Directive 5.124–5
- policy objective of increased
 - decentralisation of the enforcement of EU competition law 0.08, 5.128
 - publication of information specific to individual participants 5.123–7, 5.131–3
- evidence restrictions, collective action,
 - assignment of claims 11.255, 11.257–8
- evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.01–87
 - Commission infringement decisions
 - binding on national courts 5.04–7
 - NCA decisions: Article 9 of the Directive 5.27–37
 - NCA decisions: Article 9 of the Directive, final decisions 5.30–32
- evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions, Commission decisions: Article 16 of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 5.02–26
- commitment decisions 4.117, 4.118, 5.09–12, 5.72, 5.73
- and national courts 5.13–37
- and national courts, England and Wales 5.13–19
- and national courts, England and Wales, deference to previous decisions 5.14–17
- and national courts, Netherlands 5.20–23
- and national courts, Portugal 5.24–6
- evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions, NCA decisions, evidential value in national law 5.38–87
- Austria 5.62
- England and Wales, Competition Appeal Tribunal (CAT) 5.40–55
- England and Wales, precise identity of the parties on whom administrative decisions are binding 5.49–55
- Finland 5.64
- France 5.71–4
- Germany 5.56–61
- Italy 5.75–81
- Italy, judicial review 5.77
- Italy, limit to binding nature of administrative decisions 5.81
- Latvia 5.65–7
- Lithuania 5.68–70
- Netherlands 5.63
- Portugal 5–85–7
- Spain 5.82–4
- exclusionary abuse, quantification of
 - damages *see* quantification of damages, abusive behaviour and damage estimation, exclusionary abuse
 - ‘excusable error’ rule suggestion, damages, underlying right to 2.77–8, 2.81
- exemplary (punitive) damages 7.72–102
 - EU law 7.73–8
- exemplary (punitive) damages, national law 7.79–102
 - Germany 7.79
- exemplary (punitive) damages, national law, England and Wales 7.80–102
 - conduct calculated to make a profit which may well exceed the compensation payable 7.82–3, 7.86, 7.94
 - exceptional circumstances 7.80
 - identity of interest 7.86, 7.94
 - leniency programmes 7.87, 7.95
 - ne bis in idem* (double jeopardy) principle 7.84–7, 7.88–9, 7.94
 - and restitutionary damages 7.90
- expert witnesses, evidence disclosure 4.22
- exploitative abuse 14.51–4, 14.94–6
- fair trial right 1.12–13
- Fallon, M 2.53
- fault requirement 2.63–85, 8.19–20
- Ferey, S 14.116
- Finland
 - access to documents 4.26
 - antitrust damages claims 2.68
 - attribution of responsibility 8.28–37
 - Competition Damages Act 5.64, 7.41–2, 8.29, 8.86–7, 9.62–3, 9.129–30, 10.22
 - economic succession doctrine 8.29–31, 8.33–7
 - effectiveness and equivalence principles 8.35

- evidence disclosure 4.158
- evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.64
- Finnish Competition and Consumer Authority (FCCA) 4.158, 9.62, 10.22–3
- indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.141–2
- interest provisions 9.106, 9.129–31
- joint and several liability 8.85–7
- liability for damages through causal connection 8.28
- limitation periods 9.57–63
- Limitations Act 8.86, 9.63
- parental liability 8.30–33
- presumption of harm 7.41–2
- public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of 10.22–3
- time-barred liability 8.86
- Finland, cases
- Asphalt Cartel* 4.158, 5.64, 7.42, 8.30–37, 8.85, 8.87, 9.106, 9.129, 9.130
- Car Spare Parts Cartel* 3.142, 5.64, 7.42
- CDC Hydrogen Peroxide Cartel Damage Claims v Kemira Oyj* 12.42, 12.43–4
- Radio Nova v Gramex* 7.42
- Timber Cartel* 7.42
- fishing expeditions, evidence disclosure 4.54
- follow-on actions
- collective action in Member States 11.142–3, 11.226
- evidence disclosure 4.05–7
- indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.148–9
- and limitation periods 9.05, 9.09, 9.11–13, 9.32, 9.83–7, 9.96
- quantification of damages 14.109–14
- see also stand-alone actions
- foreseeability test 6.23–4, 12.25, 12.32–9, 13.15
- forum shopping 9.117, 12.10, 12.68, 12.95, 12.102, 12.139, 13.15
- founding jurisdictions in tortious action, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 see jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, special jurisdiction (Articles 7(2) and 8(1)), founding jurisdiction in tortious actions (Article 7(2))
- France
- access to documents 4.19, 4.38–42
- annulment cases 9.82
- antitrust damages actions 2.44–6, 2.64–5
- class actions and *loi Hamon* 11.194–200
- collective action 11.189–200
- Consumer Code 3.162, 11.189–200
- evidence disclosure 4.161–78
- evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.71–4
- indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.144–51
- joint representation actions 11.189–93
- joint and several liability 8.49
- leniency documents 4.169–70, 11.199
- limitation periods 9.81–92
- presumption of harm 7.44
- public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of 10.28–34
- scope of damage recoverable 7.09
- stand-alone class actions 11.198
- starting-point in follow-on damage claims 9.83–7
- underlying right to damages 2.44–6, 2.64–5
- France, cases
- Ajinomoto Eurolysine* 3.22, 3.145–7, 3.150, 7.09
- DKT International* 4.175–7, 5.73, 7.09, 7.44, 10.32
- Eco-emballage* 4.176
- JCB Sales* 5.71, 9.90
- Les Sociétés Coopérative Le Gouessant et Sofral* 3.148
- Lycées d'île de France* 9.84, 9.89
- Orange Caraïbes* 10.71
- Outremer telecom* 4.164
- 'Que Choisir/mobile phone operators' case 11.190–93
- SARL Philippe Streiff Motorsport/SAS Speedy* 2.64
- SAS Ma Liste de Courses/Société High* 4.165
- Semavem* 4.163

- UGAP/CAMIF* 10.28
 Francq, S 2.53, 13.15
 Friederiszick, H 14.02, 14.61
 full compensation principle 3.38–9, 7.04–13, 9.110
 Fumagalli, C 14.103, 14.108, 14.128
- Garcés, E 14.61
 Gateau, C 11.193
 Gaudet, R 11.27, 11.30
 Geradin, D 4.191
- Germany
 access to documents 4.24–5
 antitrust damages claims 2.34–40
 attribution of responsibility 8.16–21
 bundling of proceedings 11.204
 causation of infringements 6.34
 Civil Code 2.37, 2.40, 2.66–7, 3.91, 3.102, 7.08, 8.20, 8.76, 9.42–4, 9.47, 9.126, 11.264
 Code of Civil Procedure 2.36
 collective action 11.29, 11.201–4, 11.261–7
 evidence disclosure 4.150–56
 evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.56–61
 exemplary (punitive) damages 7.79
in personam liability 8.21
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.87–105, 3.116–17
 interest provisions 9.126
 joint and several liability 8.76–9
 leniency documents 4.152–5
 liability requiring fault 8.19–20
 limitation periods 9.37–47
 negligent ignorance cases 9.41
 parent company and subsidiary as separate legal entities 8.17–18
 presumption of harm 7.34–6
 public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of 10.20
 quantification of loss 7.58–65
 representative actions 11.202–3
 restitution on grounds of unjust enrichment 9.47
 scope of damage recoverable 7.08
 umbrella purchasers 3.97–100
 underlying right to damages 2.34–40, 2.66–70
 undertaking concept 8.17–19
- Germany, cases
Aufzugskartell 8.17
Autoglas-Kartell 3.89, 3.95, 3.96, 4.25, 5.58, 7.35
Badarmaturen 8.21
Berliner Transportbeton 7.35
CDC/Dyckerhoff 11.261–7, 12.42, 12.85
Dornbracht 8.21
Einsicht in Strafakten 4.155
Fernsehwerbezeiten 5.59
Grauzementkartell 5.61, 7.35, 7.64, 7.65, 8.76, 8.78, 8.79, 9.36, 9.44
Kaffeeröster 4.152
Löschfahrzeuge 7.35, 7.61
Lottoblock II 5.60, 7.62, 7.63, 7.65, 8.76
ORWI 3.89–91, 3.104, 3.116, 3.122, 7.65
Papiergroßhandel 7.35, 7.61
Schadenersatzpflicht der Lottogesellschaft 5.59, 7.58, 7.59, 7.62, 7.63
Schadenersatz in Altfällen 7.35, 8.17
Schieneffreunde 3.97, 3.100, 3.105, 5.61, 7.35, 8.21, 9.126
Schutznorm 2.15, 2.17, 6.23, 6.25, 6.29–30
Selbstdurchschreibepapier 7.64
Spanplattenkartell 7.35, 9.40
Versicherungsfusion 8.18
Vitaminpreise Dortmund 5.57, 7.64
Zementkartell 7.58, 9.44, 9.47, 11.264, 11.269
- Goldberg, P 3.178
 Grafunder, R 4.132
 Green, E 14.48
 Green Paper, Commission 0.15, 2.53, 2.77, 2.79, 3.29, 7.74, 8.53, 11.82–4, 12.138, 13.04, 13.09
 Gregory, A 14.91
 Griffin, J 14.19
 group actions 11.89–90, 11.124–6
see also collective action
 Gujarati, D 14.125
- Hamilton, J 14.63
 harmonisation of remedies 1.10, 1.17–18
 Harrington, J 14.71, 14.124

- Harris, C 11.28
 Haus, F 8.17, 8.18, 8.19, 11.204
 Heim, L 8.19
 Heinichen, C 4.155
 Hellner, M 13.17, 13.31
 Hellwig, M 14.36
 Hess, B 13.42
 Hofstee, W 12.100, 12.137
 Holmes, M 11.126
 Hovenkamp, H 11.07
 Hungary
 NCA decisions, evidential value 5.39
 presumption of harm 7.49–50
 Hüschelrath, K 14.125
- illegally-charged levies 3.19–27, 9.123–5
 ‘impairment of a right’ condition, collective
 action at EU level 11.59–70, 11.74
in personam liability 8.21, 8.27
 ‘inconsistent or varying adjudications’ of
 separate actions 11.230, 11.235
 Inderst, R 3.99, 14.14, 14.29, 14.87, 14.124
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on
 3.01–191
 balance of probabilities test 3.41, 3.82
 brand damages 3.09, 3.166
 bundling of claims 3.66–70
 burden of proof 3.40–52, 3.56, 3.82,
 3.84–5, 3.93, 3.94–6, 3.161
 effectiveness principle 3.10
 full compensation principle 3.38–9
 harm in antitrust legislation 3.04–11
 indirect purchaser standing 3.12–14,
 3.56–7, 3.92, 3.94–6
 loss types 3.07–8
 lost sales effect 3.07–10, 3.22–30
 national courts’ estimation of share of
 overcharge 3.63–70
 own fault concept 3.101–2
 partial passing-on and supply chain levels
 3.05, 3.59–72, 3.158–61, 3.183
 passing-on defence 3.15–72, 3.85
 passing-on defence, Article 340(2) TFEU
 3.17–18
 passing-on defence, recovery of
 illegally-levied duties 3.19–27
 presumption of harm 3.58
 presumption of passing-on 3.53–8
 price effect and direct economic loss 3.10,
 3.28, 3.105
 profits adjustment 3.116–17
 proving passing-on as defence 3.46–9
 proving passing-on offensively 3.50–52
 Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 and burden
 of proof 3.51–2
 social losses 3.08–9
 supply chains and ‘umbrella purchasers’
 3.05
 unjust enrichment 3.19, 3.21–30, 3.32–9,
 3.41, 3.44, 3.59, 3.104, 3.166, 3.182
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on,
 national law 3.73–191
 Austria 3.106–23
 Austria, indirect purchaser standing
 3.106–11
 Austria, passing-on defence 3.112–23
 Austria, profits adjustment 3.116–17
 Austria, third-party notice 3.109, 3.114,
 3.119
 England and Wales 3.75–86
 England and Wales, Damages Act 3.83–6
 England and Wales, indirect purchaser
 standing 3.75–8
 England and Wales, passing-on defence
 3.79–86
 Finland 3.141–2
 France 3.144–51
 France, follow-on damages action 3.148–9
 Germany 3.87–105
 Germany, burden of proof as regards
 indirect purchaser claims 3.94–6
 Germany, indirect purchaser standing
 3.87–100
 Germany, passing-on 3.95–6
 Germany, passing-on defence 3.101–5,
 3.116, 3.122
 Germany, profits adjustment 3.116–17
 Germany, umbrella purchasers 3.97–100
 Italy 3.152–62
 Italy, consumer protection provisions
 3.162
 Lithuania 3.143
 Netherlands 3.124–40
 Netherlands, passing-on defence 3.128–40

- Portugal 3.168
 Spain 3.163–7
 Spain, indirect purchaser standing 3.163–5
 Spain, passing-on defence 3.166–7
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on, national law, US 3.169–91
 antitrust injury 3.170–71
 Class Action Fairness Act 3.191
 ‘co-conspirator’ exception 3.188
 ‘cost-plus’ exception 3.187
 direct purchaser identification 3.183–4
 ‘harmonisation’ statutes 3.190
 indirect purchaser standing 3.179–84
 indirect purchaser standing, efficiency of rule 3.190–91
 indirect purchaser standing, exceptions 3.185–9
 ‘ownership or control’ exception 3.186
 passing-on defence 3.172–8, 3.186
 unjust enrichment doctrine 3.182
 individual participants
 collective action at EU level 11.44–7, 11.86
in personam liability 8.21, 8.27
 publication of information specific to 5.123–7, 5.131–3
 rights protection and interim relief 1.06
 underlying right to damages 2.09
 infringements, causation of *see* causation of infringements
 innovation effects, damage caused by abusive conduct 14.17
 intellectual property rights
 collective action at EU level, consumer protection 11.56–7
 IPRs Directive 5.124–5
 interest, ‘actual loss’ and ‘loss of profit’, plus interest 7.01–13
 interest provisions 9.105–34
 interest provisions, EU law 9.108–25
 and applicable national rules 9.114–15
 and equivalence and effectiveness principles 9.109, 9.124–5
 forum shopping 9.117
 full compensation principle 9.110
 Late Payment Directive 9.118–20
 non-contractual liability of the Union 9.121–2
 period in respect of which interest is due 9.113–16
 recovery of illegally-charged levies 9.123–5
 interest provisions, national law 9.126–34
 Austria 9.127–8
 Finland 9.106, 9.129–31
 Germany 9.126
 Lithuania 9.132–4
 intermediary product, quantification of damages 14.37, 14.42
 internal class conflict risks, collective action 11.30
 Issacharoff, S 11.24
 Italy
 access to documents and burden of proof 4.32–4
 Civil Code 7.13, 7.68, 8.92, 9.94
 class actions 11.217
 collective action 11.214–17
 Consumer Code 3.162, 11.214–15
 consumer protection provisions 3.162
 evidence disclosure 4.179–81
 evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.75–81
 follow-on actions and consumers or undertakings differences 9.96
 Implementing Decree 3.157, 3.160, 4.32, 4.180, 5.76–7, 5.80, 7.13, 7.45–7, 8.92–6, 9.94–5, 10.35–7, 11.216–17
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.152–62
 joint and several liability 8.92–7
 judicial review, evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.77
 limitation periods 9.93–7
 presumption of harm 7.45–7
 proportionality principle 10.38
 public authorities, procedures for national courts’ recourse to expertise of 10.35–8
 quantification of loss 7.67–8
 scope of damage recoverable 7.11–13

- SME exemption, joint and several liability, national law 8.94–7
- Italy, cases
Alitalia/AGCM 4.32
Brennercom/Telecom 5.78
BT Italia/Vodafone 9.96
Comi/Cargest 4.33–4
International Broker/Refining Companies 3.156
Manfredi 7.49
Swiss International Airlines/SEA 3.155
Telecom Italia/Albacom 5.75
Teleunit/Telecom 9.96
Teleunit/Vodafone 5.79
Uno Communications/Telecom 9.96
VIIH/Juventus FC 3.153–4
- Jakubovic, Z 14.124
- Jang, H 14.113
- joint representation actions, collective action in Member States 11.189–93
- joint and several liability 8.45–97
 arbitration clauses, joint and several liability, jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 12.45
 bid-rigging 8.87
 jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, special jurisdiction (Articles 7(2) and 8(1)) 12.61
 limitation periods, EU law, exemption for joint and several liability for immunity recipients 9.17–22
 quantification of damages, apportionment of damages 14.115–16
 related companies, Austria 8.22–6
- joint and several liability, EU law 8.46–75
 contribution claim (infringers bringing proceedings between themselves) 8.47–51, 8.62
 leniency and immunity recipients 8.52–67
 leniency and immunity recipients, conditional rebate 8.53
 leniency and immunity recipients, imitation periods 8.60
 ‘relative responsibility’ consideration 8.50, 8.63, 8.76
- SME rules 8.68–75
- joint and several liability, national law 8.76–97
 Austria, advantage for immunity recipients 8.80–84
 England and Wales 8.48
 Finland 8.85–7
 Finland, and causality 8.87
 Finland, participation in cartel for entire duration 8.85
 Finland, time-barred liability 8.86
 France 8.49
 Germany 8.76–9
 Germany, contesting claimant’s factual statements by means of pleading lack of knowledge 8.78–9
 Italy 8.92–7
 Italy, immunity recipients 8.96
 Italy, SME exemption 8.94–7
 Latvia 8.88–91
- Jones, A 0.06
- judicial cooperation concerns, *lex fori* approach 13.25–6
- jurisdictional issues 12.01–140
 court choices 12.08–10
 forum shopping 12.10, 12.68, 12.95, 12.102, 12.139
 parallel proceedings problems 12.10
 jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 12.04–45
 application to parties domiciled outside EU 12.11–14
 arbitration clauses 12.40–45
 arbitration clauses, joint and several liability 12.45
 foreseeability test 12.25, 12.32–9
 general jurisdiction (Article 4(1)) 12.15–16, 13.13
 jurisdiction agreements (Article 25) 12.17–45
 jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, *lis pendens* rule 12.102–40
 actions between same parties 12.105–10, 12.126–7
 application by national courts 12.129–37
 application to concurrent litigation brought outside the EU 12.124–8

- application to parties domiciled outside the EU 12.122–3
 Article 29 12.104–11, 12.126–7, 12.136–7, 12.140
 Article 30 and related actions 12.112–21, 12.129–35, 12.136–7, 12.139
 Article 30 and related actions, irreconcilability for purposes of Article 30(3) 12.115–17
 conflicting decisions 12.115–16, 12.119–20, 12.130, 12.139
 connected but not identical actions 12.118–21
 Dutch authorities on 12.136–7
 English authorities on 12.129–35
 Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 review 12.138–40
 and ‘same cause of action’ 12.103, 12.104, 12.123, 12.125–6
 jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012
 Mosaikbetrachtung problem 12.45
 party unaware of infringement 12.20–25, 12.30
 jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, special jurisdiction (Articles 7(2) and 8(1)) 12.46–101
 Article 4 general jurisdiction rule 12.47–9
 civil claim for damages or restitution based on act giving rise to criminal proceedings 12.47
 founding jurisdiction in tortious actions (Article 7(2)) 12.51–73
 joint and several liability 12.61
 operations of a branch, agency or other establishment 12.47
 place of causal event 12.51–3, 12.54–73
 separate actions 12.59, 12.62
 unjust enrichment 12.47
 jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, special jurisdiction (Articles 7(2) and 8(1)), consolidation of claims (Article 8(1)) 12.74–101, 13.13, 13.14, 13.42
 Dutch application 12.98–101
 Dutch application, torpedo action 12.100
 English authorities on 12.87–97
 jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, special jurisdiction (Articles 7(2) and 8(1)), founding jurisdiction in tortious actions (Article 7(2)) 12.51–73
 jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, special jurisdiction (Articles 7(2) and 8(1)), consolidation of claims (Article 8(1))
 ‘same situation of fact’ condition 12.77, 12.83, 12.99
 English authorities on, causation interpretation 12.95–6
 English authorities on, ‘undertaking’ interpretation 12.89–92
 jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, special jurisdiction (Articles 7(2) and 8(1)), founding jurisdiction in tortious actions (Article 7(2))
 application of Bier/Shevill jurisprudence to competition-based litigation 12.51, 12.52–3, 12.54–63, 12.66–7, 13.39–42
 as basis for torpedo litigation 12.69–73, 12.100, 12.136, 12.140
 English authorities on 12.64–8
 Kahlenberg, H 8.19
 Klumpe, G 8.19
 Knigge, A 11.182
 Kolay, S 14.113
 Komninos, A 2.01, 5.05, 5.28
 Könen, D 8.19
 Konings, J 14.88
 Kortmann, J 11.175, 11.247
 Kötz, H 2.29
 Lande, R 7.33, 11.10, 14.18, 14.19
 Late Payment Directive 9.118–20
 Latvia
 attribution of responsibility 8.38–44
 Civil Procedure Law 7.71
 Competition Council 5.65–6, 8.40–41, 8.88–90, 10.27
 economic succession doctrine 8.38, 8.40–41, 8.44

- evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.65–7
- joint and several liability 8.88–91
- liability in damages actions 8.42–3
- limitation periods 9.77
- parental liability 8.38–9
- presumption of harm 7.43
- public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of 10.27
- quantification of loss 7.69–71
- scope of damage recoverable 7.23–4
- undertaking concept 8.38
- Latvia, cases
- Divions v Udeka and Ventpils* 7.24, 7.69, 7.71, 8.88–91
- KIA Auto* 8.38
- PKL Flote* 7.23
- Zi'notājs* 7.71, 8.43
- Lawnicka, D 2.53
- legal context 1.01–20
- boundary between remedial rules and procedural rules 1.17–18
- CJEU remedies case-law 1.01–7
- equivalency and effectiveness principles 1.04
- harmonisation of remedies 1.10, 1.17–18
- individuals' rights protection and interim relief 1.06
- move from rights to remedies 1.08–18
- passing-on defence 1.06
- procedural autonomy principle 1.08
- recovery of damages in cases of breach 1.03
- remedies and damages actions application 1.19–20
- right of recovery of purchase price on seller (direct right) 1.14–16, 1.19
- right to enforce 1.14–16, 1.19
- right to a fair trial 1.12–13
- Leibenstein, H 14.16
- leniency programmes
- collective action in Member States 11.199
- evidence disclosure *see under* evidence disclosure
- exemplary (punitive) damages 7.87, 7.95
- joint and several liability, EU law 8.52–67
- materials protection 5.97–105, 5.109–12
- Leskinen, C 11.19
- Levenstein, M 14.19
- lex fori* approach *see* applicable law choice and Rome II Regulation, Article 6(3) and competition-based litigation, *lex fori* approach
- liability
- attribution of responsibility *see* attribution of responsibility
- joint and several *see* joint and several liability
- leniency materials protection, risk of being held liable in civil proceedings 5.99–100, 5.109–12
- limitation periods 9.01–104
- limitation periods and access to damages, collective action at EU level, policy developments 11.107
- limitation periods, EU law 9.01–24
- 'continuous or repeated' infringement 9.01, 9.10
- effectiveness principle 9.23
- exemption for joint and several liability for immunity recipients 9.17–22
- follow-on actions and suspension of period 9.05, 9.09, 9.11–13
- infringement decision, not all infringers appeal 9.16, 9.16–20
- public authority involvement 9.09, 9.11, 9.13, 9.15
- 'reasonable and sufficient' limitation period 9.20
- suspension at commencement of dispute resolution process 9.24
- limitation periods, national law 9.25–104
- Austria 9.48–52
- England and Wales 9.06, 9.18, 9.25–36
- England and Wales, Competition Appeal Tribunal Rules 2015 transitional provisions 9.25–33, 9.36
- England and Wales, extra-territorial effects 9.36
- England and Wales, follow-on damages 9.32
- Finland 9.57–63
- Finland, Limitations Act 9.63
- France 9.81–92

- France, annulment cases 9.82
 France, bid-rigging 9.84
 France, interruption of limitation period 9.88–91
 France, starting-point in follow-on damage claims 9.83–7
 France, suspension of limitation period 9.92
 Germany 9.37–47
 Germany, negligent ignorance cases 9.41
 Germany, restitution on grounds of unjust enrichment 9.47
 Germany, suspension grounds 9.43–6
 Italy 9.93–7
 Italy, follow-on actions and consumers or undertakings differences 9.96
 Italy, suspension of limitation period 9.97
 Latvia 9.77
 Lithuania 9.78–80
 Netherlands 9.53–6
 Portugal 9.100–104
 Spain 9.98–9
 Sweden 9.64–76
 Sweden, Competition Damages Act 9.74–6
 Sweden, competition law 9.69–73
lis pendens rule, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 *see* jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, *lis pendens* rule
 Lithuania
 access to documents 4.27–8, 4.122
 Civil Code 9.132–3
 Code of Civil Procedure 4.27–8, 4.159, 5.69–70, 7.16, 10.24
 evidence disclosure 4.159–60
 evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.68–70
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.143
 interest provisions 9.132–4
 Law on Competition 4.159, 9.133, 10.26
 limitation periods 9.78–80
 public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of 10.24–6
 scope of damage recoverable 7.14–22
 Lithuania, cases
FlyLAL-Lithuanian Airlines v AirBaltic and Airport Riga 7.17–22, 12.59
Klevo lapas v Orlen Lietuva 5.68
Pienozvaigzde[.]s v Republic of Lithuania 9.79
Šiauliu? tara v Stumbras 5.68–9, 7.15–16
 'loser pays' principle 11.104, 11.148, 11.159, 11.162, 14.45
 loss of opportunity 6.32–3, 7.07
 lost sales effect, indirect purchaser standing 3.07–10, 3.22–30
 Maier-Rigaud, F 3.05, 3.10, 6.35, 6.37, 6.40
 market analysis, quantification of damages 14.52, 14.60, 14.77–80, 14.82–3, 14.86–7, 14.94–5, 14.105–6
 Marvel, H 14.113
 Miller, G 11.24
 Mills, D 14.113
 Monnier, C 14.19
Mosaikbetrachtung 12.45, 13.35–42
 Mühlbach, T 3.94, 4.150, 4.155, 8.77
 Mulheron, R 11.19, 11.26, 11.123, 11.143
 multiple claim avoidance, collective action 11.12, 11.177
 Murtagh, M 11.236
 Nagy, C 14.45
 Napel, S 14.118
 national competition authority, evidence disclosure *see* evidence disclosure, evidence included in file of competition authority, Commission file or NCA
 national law
 applicable national rules, and interest provisions, EU law 9.114–15
 application by national courts, jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, *lis pendens* rule 12.129–37
 attribution of responsibility *see* attribution of responsibility, national law
 collective action, assignment of claims 11.260–72
 in competition litigation, causation of infringements 6.31–4

- damage, presumption of harm *see* damage, presumption of harm, national law
 damages, underlying right to *see* damages, underlying right to, national law
 discretion for national court to order disclosure, evidence disclosure, access to documents, Directive rules 4.57–60
 estimation of share of overcharge, indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.63–70
 evidence disclosure *see* evidence disclosure, evidence included in file of competition authority, Commission file or NCA, national law
 and evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions, Commission decisions: Article 16 of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 5.13–37
 exemplary (punitive) damages *see* damage, exemplary (punitive) damages, national law
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on *see* indirect purchaser standing and passing-on, national law
 interest provisions *see* interest provisions, national law
 joint and several liability *see* joint and several liability, national law
 limitation periods *see* limitation periods, national law
 NCA decisions: Article 9 of the Directive, evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.27–37
 NCA decisions: Article 9 of the Directive, final decisions, evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.30–32
 provision of remedy in damages for enforcement of rights, damages, underlying right to 2.01–24
 and public authorities' expertise *see* public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of quantification of loss *see* damage, quantification of loss, national law
 recoverable damage *see* damage, scope of damage recoverable, national law *see also* individual countries
 Nazzini, R 11.06
ne bis in idem (double jeopardy) principle 7.84–7, 7.88–9, 7.94
 Neal, P 3.178
 negligent ignorance cases, Germany 9.41
 Netherlands
 access to documents 4.31
 Authority for Consumers and Markets (ACM) 4.157, 5.63, 10.21
 Civil Code 3.130, 7.40, 9.53, 11.174–7, 11.187
 Code of Civil Procedure 4.31, 5.63, 10.21, 11.184
 collective action 1.174–88, 11.181, 11.184
 collective action, assignment of claims 11.268–72
 consolidation of claims 12.98–101
 evidence disclosure 4.157
 evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.20–23, 5.63
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.124–40
 limitation periods 9.53–6
 lis pendens rule 12.136–7
 opt-out class-action mechanism 11.177, 11.178–88
 presumption of harm 7.40
 public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of 10.21
 Wet Collectieve Afhandeling Massaschade (WCAM) (2005) 11.174, 11.176, 11.178–88
 Netherlands, cases
 candle waxes case 5.20–22, 11.269
 Cathode Ray Tubes 12.99
 Converium 11.182–5
 Dawn Foods v Südzucker 12.136–7
 elevators 11.270, 12.42, 12.101
 Hoge Raad 3.136–40
 KLM Air Cargo 3.127, 5.23, 11.271, 12.100
 Rechtbank 9.54, 9.55

- sodium chlorate* 12.19, 12.42, 12.98
TenneT v Alstom 3.131, 3.136
Niitväli E 11.203
Nordh, R 11.207
nullity sanction 2.08, 2.46, 2.49, 2.54, 2.56
- Oldehaver, G 14.118
opt-in and opt-out mechanisms, collective
 action *see under* collective action
Osterdahl, I 4.67
outside EU
 litigation brought 12.124–8
 parties domiciled 12.11–14, 12.122–3
 see also place of causal event
overcharge
 and indirect purchaser standing *see*
 indirect purchaser standing and
 passing-on
 quantification of damages, damage caused
 by abusive conduct 14.12, 14.18,
 14.19, 14.35, 14.37, 14.44
 underlying right to damages 2.33, 2.40,
 2.51
own fault concept, indirect purchaser
 standing 3.101–2
- parent company and subsidiary as separate
 legal entities, Germany 8.17–18
parental liability 8.03, 8.30–33, 8.38–9
passing-on
 and collective action 11.113–14, 11.272
 and indirect purchaser standing *see*
 indirect purchaser standing and
 passing-on
 legal context 1.06
Peleg, B 14.116
Peyer, S 0.18
Pischke, J-S 14.81
place of causal event 12.51–3, 12.54–73
 see also outside EU
Plender, R 13.18, 13.29, 13.30
Poillot-Peruzzetto, S 2.53
policy developments, collective action, EU
 see collective action at EU level, policy
 developments
policy shopping 13.28
Porter, R 14.48
- Portugal
 access to documents 4.29–30
 Civil Code 3.168, 9.101, 9.102
 collective action 11.223–6
 evidence disclosure 4.182
 evidential value of prior administrative
 infringement decisions 5–85–7,
 5.24–6
 follow-on cases 11.226
 indirect purchaser standing and
 passing-on 3.168
 limitation periods 9.100–104
 opt-out regime 11.223
 public authorities, procedures for national
 courts' recourse to expertise of 10.40
 right of initiative requirements 11.224
- Portugal, cases
Carrefour 3.168
DECO v PT 11.225
Franchise de hotelaria 3.168
Gas bottles 5.25
IMS Health 3.168, 5.87
JCG v Tabaqueira 5.85
Leite 3.168
Nestlé (III) 5.86
NOS v PT 5.86, 9.104
OdC v Sport TV 11.226
Onitecom v PT 9.103
Reuter 4.30
Salvador Caetano 3.168
Sociedade Central de Cervejas v Carmo
 Augusto Nascimento 10.40
Sociedade Central de Cervejas v Factorfina
 10.40
Sport TV 4.182
Tabou Calzados 3.168
VSC e FPF v RTP 3.168, 5.24
- Posner, R 14.18
pre-existing information, evidence disclosure
 4.122–4
predatory pricing 7.17, 7.92, 14.48, 14.50,
 14.52, 14.103, 14.105–6, 14.110,
 14.113
presumption of harm 7.25–50
 Finland 7.41–2
 indirect purchaser standing and
 passing-on 3.58

- and price effects 7.32
- presumption of harm, national law 7.33–50
- Austria 7.37–9
 - England and Wales 7.33
 - Finland 7.41–2
 - France 7.44
 - Germany 7.34–6
 - Hungary 7.49–50
 - Italy 7.45–7
 - Latvia 7.43
 - Netherlands 7.40
 - Spain 7.48
- price effects
- bid-rigging 8.87, 9.84, 14.06, 14.07
 - damage caused by abusive conduct
 - 14.12–15, 14.18–19, 14.25, 14.28–46
 - and direct economic loss, indirect
 - purchaser standing 3.10, 3.28, 3.105
 - presumption of harm 7.32
 - right of recovery of purchase price
 - 1.14–16, 1.19
- prior administrative infringement decisions, evidential value *see* evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions
- private action, collective action 11.71–6, 11.147, 11.211
- private enforcement 0.02–3, 0.05–25
- Ashurst study 0.15
 - Barroso II Commission 0.16
 - collective redress initiative 0.16–17
 - Commission's private enforcement initiative 0.15–25
 - procedural delays 0.21–2
 - Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 0.08–9
 - Regulation (EC) No 1/2003, 'legal exception' system 0.09–10
 - Regulation (EC) No 1/2003, Modernisation and Modernisation II 0.08–13
 - settlements, lack of reporting on 0.20
 - see also* public enforcement
- procedural autonomy principle 1.08
- procedural rules, boundary with remedial rules 1.17–18
- producers of complements 14.21, 14.33
- Product Liability Directive 2.75
- professional secrecy 5.88, 5.91–6, 5.97, 5.102
- profits
- 'actual loss' and 'loss of profit', plus interest 7.01–13
 - attribution of responsibility 7.82–3, 7.86, 7.94
 - causation of infringements 6.32
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.116–17
 - quantification of damages 14.06, 14.88–92, 14.96, 14.105–6, 14.107–8
- proportionality principle 4.53–4, 4.139–41, 4.149, 4.182, 10.10–11, 10.15, 10.35, 10.38
- public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of 10.01–40
- public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of, EU law 10.01–16
- Consultation of the Commission 10.01–13
 - NCA consultation 10.14–16
- public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of, national law, NCA consultation 10.17–40
- England and Wales 10.18–19
 - England and Wales, opt-out collective actions 10.19
 - Finland 10.22–3
 - France 10.28–34
 - France, Competition Authority (FCA) intervention 10.28–32
 - France, intervention of the ministre de l'économie 10.33–4
 - Germany 10.20
 - Italy 10.35–8
 - Italy, proportionality principle 10.38
 - Latvia 10.27
 - Lithuania 10.24–6
 - Netherlands 10.21
 - Portugal 10.40
 - Spain 10.39
- public authority involvement, limitation periods 9.09, 9.11, 9.13, 9.15
- public enforcement 0.04–5, 0.06

- collective action 11.02–3, 11.106–7, 11.208–9
- complementary role played by private damages actions before national courts 0.11–13
- evidential value of 4.05–7
see also private enforcement
- public interest considerations, evidence disclosure 4.70, 4.78, 4.88, 4.91, 4.95, 5.98, 5.102–4
- publication of information specific to individual participants 5.123–7, 5.131–3
- punitive damages *see* exemplary (punitive) damages
- qualified entities, collective action 11.50, 11.70, 11.86, 11.89, 11.91–2
- ‘qualified entities’ standing, collective action at EU level, environmental liability 11.70
- quantification of damages 14.01–137
 - after-effects, estimation of 14.124–5
 - compounding and discounting damages 14.119–23
 - confidence intervals 14.137
 - estimation problems 14.02
 - ‘relative responsibility’ consideration 14.115
 - remoteness and causality 14.129–33
 - statistical methods, accuracy of 14.126–8
 - statistical methods, accuracy of, practicality concept 14.127
 - and supply chains 14.05, 14.23
- quantification of damages, abusive behaviour and damage estimation 14.93–114
 - counterfactual scenario 14.93–102, 14.112
 - exploitative abuse 14.94–6
 - follow-on suits (phase III) 14.109–14
 - retroactive discount systems 14.47, 14.52, 14.100, 14.105, 14.113
- quantification of damages, abusive behaviour and damage estimation, exclusionary abuse 14.97–114, 14.135–6
- comparator-based methods 14.98–100
- establishment of ‘in the absence of exclusionary abuse’ 14.100
- potential competitors, targeting 14.111
- profit losses (phase II) 14.107–8
- profit and market share decline 14.105–6
- quantification of damages, apportionment 14.115–18
 - and cooperative games theory 14.116–17
 - joint and several liability 14.115–16
 - Shapley value concept 14.117–18
- quantification of damages, cartel cases and damage estimation 14.55–92
 - cost-based and profitability-based approaches 14.88–92, 14.96
 - counterfactual price estimation 14.56, 14.57, 14.61, 14.62, 14.67, 14.72, 14.79–80
 - difference-in-differences analysis 14.60, 14.81–5, 14.96
 - market cross-sectional analysis 14.60, 14.77–80, 14.82–3, 14.94–5
 - market simulated comparator 14.86–7
 - time series analysis 14.60, 14.61–76, 14.81, 14.82–3
 - time series analysis, before-and-after method 14.62–4, 14.67–72, 14.94
 - time series analysis, composition effects 14.74–6
 - time series analysis, dummy variable approach 14.65–6, 14.73
 - time series analysis, forecasting approach 14.67–73
- quantification of damages, damage caused by abusive conduct 14.05–53, 14.47–53
- cellophane fallacy 14.29
- class actions 14.45
- counterfactual or but-for scenario 14.09, 14.11
- damage types 14.10–19
- dead weight loss (DWL) 14.10, 14.11
- economic actors damaged by cartels 14.20–36
- effects on producers of complements 14.21, 14.33
- exclusionary and exploitative abuses 14–48–50, 14.08, 14.47
- exploitative and exclusionary conduct 14.51–4
- and general equilibrium theory 14.23

- horizontal effects 14.21
 inefficiencies 14.10, 14.12, 14.15, 14.16–17
 innovation effects 14.17
 intermediary product 14.37, 14.42
 market characteristics, changing 14.17
 market entry barriers 14.52
 negative externalities and unclaimed damage 14.44–5
 overcharge 14.12, 14.18, 14.19, 14.35, 14.37, 14.44
 predatory pricing 14.48, 14.50, 14.52, 14.103, 14.105–6, 14.110, 14.113
 price effects 14.12–15, 14.18–19, 14.25, 14.28–46
 price-fixing or bid-rigging cartels 14.06, 14.07
 profit increase goals 14.06
 quantity effects 14.07, 14.11–15, 14.18, 14.20, 14.21, 14.24–5, 14.28–46
 tying and market power leverage 14.49, 14.100, 14.136
 umbrella effects 14.13, 14.29
 vertical effects 14.21–46
 vertical effects, downstream 14.23, 14.27–32, 14.43–4
 vertical effects, upstream 14.23, 14.24–6, 14.40
 and wealth transfer and welfare losses 14.05, 14.10, 14.11–15
 quantification of harm, causation of infringements 6.35–41
 quantification of loss 7.51–71
 EU law 7.51–4
 quantification of loss, national law 7.55–71
 Austria 7.66
 England and Wales 7.55–7
 Germany 7.58–65
 Italy 7.67–8
 Latvia 7.69–71
 recoverable damage 7.01–24
 in cases of breach 1.03
 EU law 7.01–7
 illegally-charged levies 9.123–5
 loss of opportunity 6.32–3, 7.07
 purchase price 1.14–16, 1.19
 recoverable damage, national law 7.08–24
 Austria 7.10
 France 7.09
 Germany 7.08
 Italy 7.11–13
 Latvia 7.23–4
 Lithuania 7.14–22
 Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 2.60, 3.68, 4.88, 4.100, 4.110, 4.117–18, 4.131, 12.04, 12.06, 12.138–40
 Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 4.68
 Regulation (EC) No 1206/2001 4.35
 Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 11.106, 13.01
 attribution of responsibility 8.10, 8.17
 evidence disclosure 5.27, 5.65, 5.71, 5.88–94, 5.105, 5.128
 evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions *see* evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions, Commission decisions: Article 16 of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003
 indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.51–2
 private enforcement 0.08–13
 public authorities, procedures for national courts' recourse to expertise of 10.01, 10.04, 10.07, 10.13–14, 10.21, 10.25, 10.34
 Regulation (EC) No 773/2004 4.62, 4.132, 4.186
 Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012, jurisdictional issues *see* jurisdictional issues, Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012
 'relative responsibility' consideration
 joint and several liability 8.50, 8.63, 8.76
 quantification of damages 14.115
 relevance requirement, evidence disclosure 4.51–2, 4.56
 remedial rules, boundary with procedural rules 1.17–18
 remedies, move from rights to 1.08–18
 remoteness and causality, quantification of damages 14.129–33
 representative actions, collective action 11.89, 11.91–2, 11.119–23, 11.138, 11.202–3, 11.210, 11.220, 11.234

- responsibility attribution *see* attribution of responsibility
- retroactive discount systems, quantification of damages 14.47, 14.52, 14.100, 14.105, 14.113
- Ridyard, D 7.33
- right of initiative requirements, Portugal 11.224
- right to a fair trial 1.12–13
- rights, move from rights to remedies 1.08–18
- Rodger, B 0.18, 0.20
- Röller, L-H 14.61
- Rome II Regulation, and applicable law choice *see* applicable law choice and Rome II Regulation
- Rossi, L. 4.29
- Rother, C 11.203
- Rowe, T 11.236
- Russell, T 11.09, 11.87
- Saggers, G 14.119
- sales, lost sales effect, indirect purchaser standing 3.07–10, 3.22–30
- ‘same cause of action’, and *lis pendens* rule 12.103, 12.104, 12.123, 12.125–6
- ‘same interest’ test, collective action 11.53, 11.55, 11.119–21
- sanctions
- evidence disclosure, access to documents 4.50, 4.61
 - nullity to 2.08, 2.46, 2.49, 2.54, 2.56
- Savov, V 11.245, 11.247, 11.251, 11.252, 11.253
- Schinkel, M 14.36
- Schreiber, T 3.65, 11.245, 11.247, 11.249
- Schumpeter, J 14.17
- Schwalbe, U 14.01–137
- secrecy, professional 5.88, 5.91–6, 5.97, 5.102
- Seegers, M 8.45, 8.50, 8.58, 8.60, 8.63, 8.94, 11.249
- Selten, R 14.110
- separate actions
- collective action 11.230, 11.235
 - Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 12.59, 12.62
 - Serafimova, M 8.19
 - Shapley value concept, quantification of damages 14.117–18
 - significant responsibility reference, underlying right to damages 2.22, 2.54
 - SME rules
 - collective action 11.86
 - joint and several liability 8.68–75, 8.94–7
 - Smith, M 11.245
 - Smuda, F 14.23
 - social losses
 - dead weight loss (DWL) 14.10, 14.11
 - indirect purchaser standing 3.08–9
 - wealth transfer and welfare losses 14.05, 14.10, 14.11–153
 - Sousa Ferro, M 2.50, 2.62, 4.29, 11.226, 12.36
 - Spain
 - antitrust damages actions 2.47–51
 - Civil Code 2.47–50, 9.98
 - collective action 11.218–22
 - evidential value of prior administrative infringement decisions 5.82–4
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.163–7
 - limitation periods 9.98–9
 - opt-in to follow-on action 11.221
 - presumption of harm 7.48
 - public authorities, procedures for national courts’ recourse to expertise of 10.39
 - representative action 11.220
 - underlying right to damages 2.47–51
 - Spain, cases
 - Audiencia Provincial* 5.82
 - Ausbanc v Telefónica Móviles* 11.220
 - Céntrica v Iberdrola* 9.98
 - EC Copecelt v Cepsa* 5.82
 - Football TV rights* 5.82
 - Hugo and Prourbal v Repsol* 5.82
 - Nestlé v Ebro Foods* 3.166, 5.82
 - Repsol v Ribera* 5.82
 - Tribunal Supremo* 5.82
 - stand-alone actions

- collective action 11.142–3, 11.198
see also follow-on actions
- subsidiaries, parent company and subsidiary
 as separate legal entities, Germany
 8.17–18
- Sudhölter, P. 14.116
- ‘sufficient interest’ condition, collective
 action 11.59–70, 11.74
- sufficiently direct consequence test, causation
 of infringements 6.10, 6.23
- supply chains
 indirect purchaser standing and
 passing-on 3.05, 3.59–72, 3.158–61,
 3.183
 and quantification of damages 14.05,
 14.23
- Suslow, V 14.19
- suspension, limitation periods 9.05, 9.09,
 9.11–13, 9.24, 9.43–6, 9.92, 9.97
- Sweden
 class actions 11.205–13
 Competition Damages Act 9.74–6,
 11.212–13
 limitation periods 9.64–76
 opt-in solutions 11.207
 private action, class actions 11.211
 public class actions 11.208–9
 quantification of damages loss 7.52
 representative action, class actions 11.210
Tele2 9.72, 9.73
- sweetheart settlements, collective action
 11.30
- Thiede, T 8.19
- third parties, and evidence disclosure
 4.01–61, 4.15–17, 4.48–61
- Thomas, S 3.99
- time series analysis, quantification of
 damages 14.60, 14.61–76, 14.81,
 14.82–3
- torpedo action 12.69–73, 12.100, 12.136,
 12.140
- tortious and contractual liability, distinction
 between 2.52–62
- tying agreements 2.11–12, 2.24
 market power leverage 14.49, 14.100,
 14.136
- Tzakas, D-P 11.87
- UK, England and Wales *see* England and
 Wales
- Ulen, T 14.44
- umbrella effects
 causation of infringements 6.24, 6.37,
 6.39–40
 indirect purchaser standing and
 passing-on 3.05, 3.97–100
 quantification of damages 14.13, 14.29
- unaware, party unaware of infringement
 12.20–25, 12.30
- unclaimed damages 11.21, 11.160, 11.163,
 11.225, 14.44–5
- underlying right to damages 2.01–85
 breach of statutory duty 2.63
 cartel behaviour 2.33, 2.42
 directly effective rights on individuals 2.09
 equivalence and effectiveness principles
 2.82–3
- EU law, establishment as matter of
 2.01–14, 2.25–8
- ‘excusable error’ rule suggestion 2.77–8,
 2.81
- fault requirement 2.63–85
- Manfredi* case 2.25–8, 2.84
see also Court of Justice of the
 European Union, *Manfredi v Lloyd*
Adriatico Assicurazioni
- national courts’ provision of remedy in
 damages for enforcement of rights
 2.01–24
- nullity sanction 2.08, 2.46, 2.49, 2.54,
 2.56
- overcharge 2.33, 2.40, 2.51
- tortious and contractual liability,
 distinction between 2.52–62
- unjust enrichment claim 2.40, 2.49
- underlying right to damages, *Crehan* case
 2.01–24, 2.84
 breach of statutory duty argument 2.23,
 2.30, 2.33, 2.54
 causation issue 2.24, 2.26, 2.54
 common law principle of antitrust injury
 2.18–21
 contractual relationship 2.22–3, 2.56

- direct injury requirement 2.24
- proceedings before English courts 2.11–14
- significant responsibility reference 2.22, 2.54
- suitability of case to establish right to damages 2.15–24
- see also* Court of Justice of the European Union, *Crehan*
- underlying right to damages, national law 2.29–85
 - Austria 2.41–3
 - England and Wales 2.30–33, 2.63
 - France 2.44–6, 2.64–5
 - Germany 2.34–40, 2.66–70
 - Spain 2.47–51
- undertaking concept
 - attribution of responsibility 8.17–19, 8.38
 - Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 12.89–92
- undertakings protection, evidence disclosure 5.88, 5.91–6, 5.97, 5.102
- unjust enrichment
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on 3.19, 3.21–30, 3.32–9, 3.41, 3.44, 3.59, 3.104, 3.166, 3.182
 - limitation periods 9.47
 - Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 12.47
- underlying right to damages 2.40, 2.49
- US
 - cartel damages and value chain downstream 14.35
 - Cartwright Act (California) 3.177
 - Class Action Fairness Act 3.191, 11.239–43
 - class actions 11.07, 11.84, 11.85, 11.227–43
 - Clayton Act 2.19, 3.171, 5.04
 - Commission's attempts to limit discoverability in US courts 4.183–91
 - Detroit Automobile Dealers Association (DADA) 14.72
 - Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act (FTAIA) 12.02, 13.21–2
 - Gulf Coast Claims Facility 11.133
 - 'harmonisation' statutes 3.190
 - indirect purchaser standing and passing-on *see* indirect purchaser standing and passing-on, national law, US
 - lex fori* approach 13.20–22
 - passing-on, policy-based approach 3.01–3
 - private antitrust enforcement 0.06, 0.25, 2.15, 2.18–19
 - representative actions 11.234
 - Sherman Act 3.171, 13.21–2
 - unjust enrichment doctrine 3.182
- US, cases
 - AGC v California State Council of Carpenters* 2.19, 2.20, 2.24, 3.171, 3.190
 - In re Air Cargo Shipping Services* 4.183, 4.190
 - Alcoa* 13.22
 - Atlantic City Electric Company v General Electric Company* 11.227
 - Atlantic Richfield v USA Petroleum* 3.171
 - Blue Shield of Virginia v McCreedy* 3.181
 - Brunswick Corp. v Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat* 2.15, 3.171
 - Campos v Ticketmaster* 3.184
 - Cargill* 3.171
 - Clayworth* 3.177
 - County of Suffolk v Long Island Lighting* 11.235
 - In re Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litigation* 3.171
 - Empagran* 12.02, 12.95, 13.22
 - In re Flat Glass Antitrust legislation* 4.183, 4.189
 - Hanover Shoe v United Shoe Machinery Corp.* 3.01, 3.22, 3.172–6, 3.178, 3.180, 3.182
 - Hawaii v Standard Oil Co. of Cal.* 3.171
 - Hoffmann-La Roche* 4.183
 - Howard Hess Dental Labs v Dentsply International* 3.184
 - Illinois Brick Co. v Illinois* 3.01, 3.181–91
 - Jewish Hosp. Ass'n v Stewart Mech. Enters.* 3.186
 - Kansas v Utilicorp United* 3.187
 - In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation* 3.190
 - In re Methionine Antitrust Litigation* 4.183
 - Morrison v National Australia Bank* 11.182

- Motorola Mobility v AU Optronics* 13.22
In re OSB Antitrust Litigation 3.187
Paper Systems v Nippon Paper Industries
 3.188
Paycom Billing Services v MasterCard International 3.184
Reilly v Hearst Corp. 3.171
In re Rhone-Poulenc Rorer 11.28
In re Rubber Chemicals Antitrust Litigation
 4.183
TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation 3.171, 4.183
Tyson Foods v Bouaphakeo 11.242
In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation 4.183,
 4.185, 4.189
Warren General Hospital v Amgen 3.183–4
In re Wellbutrin XL Antitrust Litigation
 3.184
 Van Dijk, T 3.09, 14.44
 Van Gerven, W 1.05, 1.06, 1.17–18, 2.04,
 6.10
 Van Lith, H 11.178
 Van Nuffel, P 2.84, 4.117, 5.34, 5.39, 6.10,
 6.27, 6.29, 7.07, 7.77, 8.11, 8.45, 8.60,
 8.64, 8.70, 8.71, 8.72, 8.75, 9.01, 9.08,
 9.09, 9.10, 9.11, 9.13, 9.22, 10.16,
 11.259, 12.94
 Verboven, F 3.09, 14.44
 vertical effects, quantification of damages
 14.21–46
 Vollrath, C 13.31, 13.33
 Von Bar, C 6.02, 6.05, 6.06, 6.07, 6.20
 Wachs, S 8.19, 11.204
 Wagner-von Papp, F 4.24, 4.48, 4.51, 4.57,
 4.128, 4.130, 5.108
 Walker, M 14.01
 wealth transfer and welfare losses 14.05,
 14.10, 14.11–153
see also social losses
 Werden, G 14.18
 Weston, M 11.201
 Whish, R 7.94, 13.18
 Whitbeck, J 11.242
 White Paper, Commission 0.15, 2.53, 2.77,
 2.79–81, 2.85, 3.47–8, 8.08, 9.113,
 11.85–8, 11.250
 Wilderspin, M 12.89, 13.18, 13.29, 13.30
 Wils, W 4.112, 4.128, 4.131, 7.74, 7.84,
 7.89, 8.74
 Withers, C 12.54
 Wooldridge, J 14.79
 Wright, K 5.30, 10.03, 10.16
 Wurmnest, W 7.79, 13.15
 Young, H 14.116
 Zweigert, K 2.29

