

Index

- Aa, A. van der 99
- academic advisers
advice, views on *see* advice,
consultants' and academics'
views on
- Amsterdam Airport *see* Amsterdam
Airport, advice on further
growth of
- and consultants, rhetorical
differences between *see*
consultants and academics,
rhetorical differences between
- electricity liberalization *see*
electricity market liberalization,
advice on
- as fact-finders 40–41
- as imitators of consultants 211, 242,
245, 246
- advice
academic conceptualization of 211
- approaches, comparisons of 243–47
- assignments, and clients 250–1
- explicit advice, economic advisers'
need for 258–59, 260
- 'if-then' advice 80, 81, 82, 94–5, 111,
122, 142, 187, 217, 219, 230, 245
- lack of neutral, in academic
conclusions, electricity market
liberalization 186–91, 217–18,
227–9
- more action oriented, consultants
and Amsterdam Airport 143–6
- more balanced, consultants, and
Amsterdam Airport 142–50
- related to discipline, electricity
market liberalization 234–36
- strategies to prevent flawed 119
- to academic advisers 251–59
see also clients; reports
- advice analysis and rhetoric 43–76
- advice argumentation analysis 58–66
- advice and communicative action
53–6, 211
- advice as field of argumentation
50–52, 55
- advice as rhetorical genre 49–50
- advice and speech act theory 56–8,
73
- aesthetic criticism 54, 55–6, 57–8, 61,
243, 246, 261–2
- communicative action and
consensus 75
- consensus theory of truth 53–4, 55,
61–2
- and construction metaphor for
consulting 72–3
- consulting as dialectical practice
44–58
- consulting as dialectical practice,
history of 44–6
- critical theory and emancipation
75–6
- deliberative rhetorical genre 49, 50
- demonstrative rhetorical genre 49,
50
- dialectic and relativism 62–4, 65
- enriched Toulmin analysis 58–62,
63, 65, 66
- epistemological requirements of
advice analysis 66–76
- and epistemology of critical theory
73–6
- and ethnographic approach 68–9
- explicative discourse 53
- grounds, backing of 59–62
- grounds and claim of argument
51–2, 58–62
- illocution as speech act 57–8
- judicial rhetorical genre 50, 51
- micro-argumentation 58–9, 61–2
- and mirror metaphor 67, 68–9,
70

- organization theory and discourse–analytical approach 65
- performative speech act 56–7
- perlocution as speech act 57
- philosophical dialectic 48
- philosophical rhetoric 47–8, 49
- policy adviser as ‘supplier of arguments’ 65–6
- policy and management sciences, rhetorical turn in 64–6
- and positivist/modernist approach 67–9, 75, 244, 246, 254
- and postmodernism, and neutrality of narrative 69–73, 75, 234, 245
- postmodernism and social construction of reality 70–71, 72
- practical discourse 53, 56
- rebuttals and exceptions 52, 217
- rhetoric and classical means of persuasion 46–7
- Road Traffic Acts offence example 60
- and scientific knowledge 44, 66, 70, 71, 254
- and social construction of reality 72, 74–5
- sophistic rhetoric 48
- theoretical discourse 53, 54, 55
- therapeutic criticism 54, 56, 61, 243
- and value assessment 67–9, 74–5, 246
- warrant backing 51, 52, 59–60, 61–2
- warrant of economic argument 51, 52
- advice, consultants’ and academics’ views on 24–42
- academic advice, dialogue to legitimize positivist approach 38–9, 244
- academic advice in economic theory 25–32
- academic advice and lack of logical gulf between means and ends 36–8
- academics as fact-finders 40–41
- alternative policies, discussion of, and value judgments 33–6, 37, 49, 246
- bounded rationality and ill-structured problems 34–6
- classical economics 28–30, 34–5, 36–8
- and clients articulating preferences 32–4, 35–6, 37, 249–50
- concept application 32–9
- and decision making influence 40–41, 65
- normative economics as a different ‘science’ 30–32
- and political differences 31–2, 49
- positivist view in economics 25–8, 31, 32–5, 36–8, 65–6, 81, 82, 234, 244
- and process consultants 39–40, 41, 86–7
- roles that fit academic adviser and consultant 39–42
- and social scientists 36
- advocative claims 81, 82, 103, 104, 105, 138, 143, 187, 259
- aesthetic criticism 54, 55–6, 57–8, 61, 243, 246, 261–2
- Aken, J. van 2, 14, 24, 41, 119, 216
- Allen, W. 14, 90, 254
- alternative policies, and value judgments 33–6, 37, 49, 246
- Alvesson, M. 2, 3, 17, 40, 41, 53, 65, 75, 90, 116, 119, 121, 213, 215, 219
- Alvey, J. 25, 29, 32–3, 38, 245, 252, 257, 258
- Amsterdam Airport, advice on further growth of 134–75, 211
- advocative claims 138, 143
- background 134–5
- and backing 165–7, 224–27
- claims 138, 144–5
- cost-benefit analyses of airport investments 143–8, 149, 151–5, 156, 157–64, 168, 171, 222, 249
- debate overview 135–43
- environmental effects 134–5, 136, 137, 140, 148, 150, 156–7, 158–59, 160–1, 167–8, 221, 226
- evaluative claims 138, 143, 156–7, 219, 220
- grounds 138, 150–7, 220
- grounds, evaluation of use of 156–7, 220

- hierarchy of arguments 137–41
- intermediate evaluation 174–5
- negative effects as rebuttal 149–50
- rebuttals 137–43, 144–5, 148, 149–50, 217, 219, 236–37
- reports and expressive writing 172–4
- reports selection, criteria for 136–7
- specialization degree 142–3, 216
- uncertainty effects 148–49, 217, 235
- warrants 157–64, 222–6
- welfare effects as argument 151–5, 156, 158–59, 162–4, 165–6, 167, 168, 171, 172, 226
- Amsterdam Airport, advice on further growth of, academic advisers
 - advice related to discipline 234–36
 - and causal warrants and theory 165, 219, 223, 253
 - conclusions more tentative 147–8, 219, 227–9
 - and consultants, and similar degree of specialization 142–3
 - and estimation of economic effects 154–5
 - focus on positive effects 142, 147, 217, 221
 - and lack of recommendations 146, 250–1
 - and methodological structure 170, 171–2, 224, 236–37, 254
 - and negative effects, lack of attention to 149–50, 221
 - and precision, importance of 162–3, 165, 167, 225–6
 - reports and expressive writing 172–3, 174
 - scientific method and citation
 - precision 165, 167, 225–26
 - and uncertainty, effects of 149, 217
 - and use of motivational arguments 161, 223
- Amsterdam Airport, advice on further growth of, consultants
 - and academics, and similar degree of specialization 142–3
 - advice more action oriented 143–6
 - advice, more balanced nature of 142–50
 - backing of value statements 167–8, 225
 - balanced presentation of positive and negative effects 154–5, 220
 - causal warrants and common sense 163–4, 220, 253
 - and completeness, importance of 161–2
 - conclusions more concrete 146–8, 219, 227–9
 - critical use of motivational arguments 160–1
 - and estimation of economic effects 151–4
 - and experience as backing 165–7, 225–26
 - focus on negative effects 142, 146–7
 - making reality by quantifying grounds 150–7
 - methodological structure, dislike of 169–71, 172, 223, 224, 236–37
 - and practical rebuttals 149, 217, 219, 236–7
 - preference for common sense warrants 157–64, 222
 - ‘quick and dirty’ backing 162–3, 165–8, 225–6
 - recommendations, emphasis of 169
 - report highlights, stressing of 169–74
 - and uncertainty, effects of 148
 - use of headings 172–3
 - use of tables, textboxes and bold texts 173–4
- Anderson, P. 34, 36, 37, 64, 65, 111
- Angner, E. 88, 91
- Ardon, A. 2
- argumentation, emphasis on, and professional ethos 125–32
- Argyris, C. 2, 3, 8, 12–13, 14, 77, 80, 91, 92, 98, 99, 106, 119, 123, 215, 243, 245, 255, 258
- Aristotle 11, 43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49–50, 64, 77, 95, 97, 119, 263
- Armbrüster, T. 2, 3, 24, 41, 216
- Ashford, M. 2, 22, 41, 80, 85–6
- Auerbach, A. 14
- Augier, M. 10
- Austin, J. 56, 57
- Baalen, P. van 2, 3, 9, 10, 45, 64, 83, 90, 215

- backing
 and Amsterdam Airport 162–3, 165–8, 224–7
 by scientific method 114–17, 233, 253–4
 common sense, of positive statements, and consultants 118–21, 255–6
 and electricity market liberalization 203–7, 224–7
 of grounds and warrants, and professional ethos 79, 112–25, 233
 and negotiated knowledge 117
 neutral scientific, insufficiency of, and academic advisers 224–7, 234, 257
 normative arguments and valuations 121–5, 217
 normative statements by inter-subjective criticism and integrity 122–5
 poor, electricity market liberalization, and academic advisers 206, 225, 246
 ‘quick and dirty’ 161–2, 165–8, 225–6, 244–5, 249
 and research manipulation 117–18
 value judgments, Amsterdam Airport, and consultants 168–9, 225
 warrants 51, 52, 59–60, 61–2
see also claims; warrants
- Baldrige, D. 44, 245
 Barry, D. 65, 131
 Batelaan, M. 85
 BCG (Boston Consulting Group)
 theory model 108, 109
 Bekman, A. 124
 Benson, G. 59, 105
 Berenschot theory model 108
 Bergeijk, P. 11, 80, 82, 97
 Berglund, J. 3, 4, 93, 120, 123, 215, 249
 Blaug, M. 28, 34, 35, 36, 78, 101, 110, 121, 195, 224, 238, 245, 255, 256, 257, 260
 Blinder, A. 27, 31
 Block, P. 80, 85, 86, 87, 98, 119, 124, 131
 Bloomfield, B. 1–2, 24, 41, 112, 216
 Boal, K. 71
 Boje, D. 65, 116
 Bolweg, J. 7, 85, 120, 123
 Boonstra, J. 86, 109, 116, 123
 Bos, R. ten 3, 77, 80, 88, 89, 106, 114, 115, 119, 120, 122, 215, 240
 bounded rationality and ill-structured problems 34–6
 Bourdieu, P. 12, 120, 255
 Braet, A. 45
 Brockriede, W. 52, 81, 94, 102, 103, 105
 Bubner, R. 45
 Buchanan, J. 36, 74
 Bullis, C. 65
 Cairncross, S. 31
 Caluwé, L. de 80, 87, 98, 102, 108, 116
 Case, P. 120
 causality
 arguments embedded in theory, electricity market liberalization 195–6, 202–3
 in instrumental means-end advice, and professional ethos 94, 103–4, 105, 122
 warrants, and common sense, Amsterdam Airport 163–4, 220, 253
 warrants and theory, Amsterdam Airport 164, 219, 223, 253
 certainty
 importance of, and academic advisers 89–90, 91, 105, 111, 115, 117, 118, 120, 128, 252–56
 timeliness over, value of 90–91, 99, 118–19
see also uncertainty
 Cheney, G. 65
 Chia, R. 70
 Churchman, C. 36
 Cicero 46, 47
 citations
 precision, and scientific method 165, 167, 225–6
 use of, electricity market liberalization 204–5
 claims
 advice or conclusions, decisions on, and professional ethos 82–8, 227–9

- advocative 81, 82, 103, 104, 105,
138, 143, 187, 259
- Amsterdam Airport 138, 143, 144–5,
155–6, 219, 220
- central claims in advice, economic
advisers 220–23
- designative, and professional ethos
81, 82, 103, 105, 107
- electricity market liberalization 165,
186–91, 196
- evaluative 81, 103, 104, 105, 109,
110, 111, 112, 138, 143, 155–6,
181, 190, 219, 220
- modality of, and professional ethos
82, 88–91, 217
- positive, and professional ethos
115–21, 244
- positivist, academic advice and
dialogue to legitimize 38–9, 244
see also backing; warrants
- Clark, T. 2, 3, 4, 17, 24, 41, 80, 85, 119,
263
- Clark, W. 2, 37, 66, 111, 234
- classical economics, advice,
consultants' and academics' views
on 28–30, 34–5, 36–8
- Clegg, S. 4, 17
- clients
and advice assignments 250–1
advice to potential 247–51
articulating preferences 32–4, 35–6,
37, 249–50
and co-production advice 249
consultants, and creative
participation with 87, 124
consultants, and critical interaction
with 87
and evaluative assignments 253–4
manipulation by, and vulnerability
of academic advisers 111
preferences 32–4, 35–6, 37, 249–50
and problem definition help 260
and research assignments 248–9,
250, 252
responsibility for advice taken,
electricity market liberalization
190
trust, and consultants 86–7, 90–91,
124–5
see also advice; reports
- clients relationship 10
- Colander, J. 96
- communicative action 53–6, 75, 216
- competitive
disadvantage and contract research
5–8
position, and professional ethos
122–3
- conclusions
emphasis on, academic advisers and
electricity market liberalization
204–6, 227–9
less emphasis on, consultants and
electricity market liberalization
204–5, 227–9
more concrete, consultants, and
Amsterdam Airport 146–8, 219,
227–9
tentative, academic advisers,
Amsterdam Airport 147–8, 219,
227–9
see also reports
- consensus theory of truth 53–4, 55,
61–2
- consultants
academic advisers as imitators of
211, 242, 245, 246
advice, views on *see* advice,
consultants' and academics'
views on
'creative' 7
expert 86, 108, 123
imitated by academic advisers 211,
242, 245, 246
process consultants 39–40, 41, 86,
123
- consultants and academics, rhetorical
differences between 1–23, 210–11
advice approaches, comparisons of
243–47
backings, neutral scientific,
insufficiency of 228–31, 234,
257
central claims in advice are
normative 216–9
claim treatment 218
clients *see* clients
and contract research 3, 5–6, 7–8,
11, 243–4, 260
'creative' consultants 7

- economic advice, disciplines related to 8–11
- economics definition 10
- and economics subdisciplines 10–11
- ethos and practice differences 213, 214–15, 229–33, 243–47, 252, 254–5
- and experience-based knowledge 18
- external validity 233–7
- findings, rigor and relevance of 13–15, 243
- grounds, normative, and economic advice 219–21
- oral communication, importance of 212
- performance comparisons 216, 219
- professional practice, preliminary expectations of 15–16
- pure academics 7, 9, 24
- research argument outline 21–3
- research design 18–21
- research design, strengths and limitations 212–13
- research design and triangulation 19, 210, 254–5
- research results overview 214–15
- rhetorical analysis, benefits of 16–18
- shared market 6–7
- specialization, similar degrees of 215–16
- theoretical and practical knowledge 11–13
- timeliness of findings 14, 17
- consultants and academics, rhetorical differences between, academic advisers
 - academic adaptations 215
 - academic advice as deliberative practice 252
 - advice, academic conceptualization of 211
 - advice to 255–63
 - competitive disadvantage and contract research 5–8
 - criticism of 2–3, 14, 33, 38–9, 41–2, 64, 107, 109
 - and explicit advice, need for 262–3, 260
 - hypocrisy 238–9, 256–9
 - as imitators of consultants 211, 242, 245, 246
 - lower market value of 242–3
 - and normative arguments 246, 256–8
 - positive claims and margin of error 252–3
 - qualitative research, more use of 254–5
 - and theoretical knowledge 1–2, 3, 15–16
- consultants and academics, rhetorical differences between, consultants and academic ‘hybrids’ 7–8, 54
- consulting process, actors involved in 9
- criticism of 1–2, 3–4, 14, 41–2, 74–5, 107, 109, 117, 122, 219, 245, 255–6
- market success of 7, 216–17, 216, 237–41
- ‘quick and dirty’ approach 244–5, 249
- consulting, as dialectical practice 44–58
- contract research 3, 5–6, 7–8, 11, 239–40, 260
- Cordes, J. 3, 4, 36, 65
- cost-benefit analyses
 - Amsterdam Airport 143–8, 149, 151–5, 156, 157–64, 168, 171, 222, 249
 - electricity market liberalization 187, 193–4, 199–200, 224, 249, 261
- cost-cutting, and professional ethos 81, 94, 95, 105
- Coughlin, P. 36
- critical theory, epistemology of 73–6
- criticism
 - of academic advisers 2–3, 14, 33, 38–9, 41–2, 64, 107, 109
 - of consultants 1–2, 3–4, 14, 41–2, 74–5, 107, 109, 117, 122, 219, 245, 255–6
- Cuban missile crisis and decision making 37
- Cullis, J. 36, 234
- Cummings, T. 87, 102
- Czarniawska, B. 2, 65, 70, 72–3, 74, 100–101, 210, 240

- Daimler Benz, strategic decision making 65
- Dalen, H. van 2, 65, 83, 84, 95, 96, 251
- Danieli, A. 2, 24, 41, 112, 216
- Deetz, S. 75
- dialectic, and relativism 62–4, 65
- DiSanza, J. 65
- Donaldson, L. 70
- Dryzek, J. 68, 76
- Duberley, J. 67, 68, 105
- Dunn, W. 52, 66
- economic
- effects, estimation of, Amsterdam Airport 151–5
 - models, technical sources as, electricity market liberalization 202, 225–6, 236–7
 - models for estimation, electricity market liberalization 195–7
 - research, differing views on 115–17
 - values, motives and criteria, use of, electricity market liberalization 195–6
- economic advice theory
- and backing of local claims 262–3
 - development of 260–3
 - disciplines related to 8–11
 - discursive and deliberative qualities of advice 263
 - and local normative considerations 261–2
 - and positive statements, character of 262
 - social character of advice 261
 - and theoretical knowledge 262–3
- economist, traditional, and consultant, differences between 81–2, 84
- Eekelen, I. van 98
- Eemeren, F. van 16, 48, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 78, 102, 104
- Eeten, M. van 66
- Ehninger, D. 52, 81, 94, 102, 103, 105
- Eichenberger, R. 2, 10, 11, 24, 28, 82, 83, 97, 107, 116, 239, 247
- Eisenhardt, K. 19, 116, 254
- Eizenstat, S. 27
- electricity market liberalization, advice on 51, 52, 174–209, 215
- advocative claims 187
 - arguments' overview 178–86, 216
 - background 177–8
 - backing 200–4, 224–7
 - claims 181, 186–91, 196
 - cost-benefit analyses 187, 193–4, 199–200, 224, 249, 261
 - employment effects 192–4
 - environmental effects 188–189, 192, 195, 197
 - and ethos and practice gap 229–3
 - evaluative claims 181, 190
 - grounds 180–81, 186, 192–5, 196, 220
 - intermediate evaluation 209–10
 - positive and negative effects of liberalization 181–6, 194
 - quantification levels 192–5
 - rebuttals 180–6, 186, 187, 188–89, 191, 196, 233–4
 - reports selection, criteria for 178–79
 - reports, structure and presentation of 204–6
 - specialization degree 181–6, 216
 - statistics, calculation and economic models as sources 202
 - tables, textboxes and bold texts, use of 208
 - theory use 204–9
 - uncertainties, dealing with 187, 189, 190, 217, 251
 - warrants 195–200, 226–8
 - and welfare effects 180, 181, 187, 189, 190, 192–4, 197, 198, 203
- electricity market liberalization, advice on, academic advisers
- academic conclusions, lack of neutrality in 186–91, 217–18, 227–9
 - advice related to discipline 234–6
 - causal arguments embedded in theory 195–6, 199–200
 - and citations, use of 201–3
 - conclusions, emphasis on 204–6, 227–9
 - details and main points, lack of differentiation between 207
 - economic models for estimation 192–4
 - headings, use of 207–8
 - if-then advice, lack of 187, 217, 219

- laws and policy criteria, use of 199
- motivational arguments as non-controversial 196–199, 223
- motivational warrants, use of 195–200
- positive effects of liberalization, argument for 181–6, 187, 194–5, 217
- and practical rebuttals 191, 233–4
- process structure 209
- specialized arguments, no use of more 181–9
- technical sources as economic models 202, 225–6, 236–7
- and theory use 204–9, 221
- uncertainties and limitations, focus on 187, 189, 190, 217, 251
- value statements, poor backing for 203, 229, 246
- electricity market liberalization, advice on, consultants
- causal arguments and pragmatism 200
- and citations, use of 201–2
- and clients' responsibility for advice taken 190
- conclusions, less emphasis on 204–5, 227–9
- economic values, motives and criteria, use of 195–6
- and estimation use 192
- experience-based sources, use of 202–3, 225–6
- headings, use of 207
- lack of theory detail 207
- laws and policy criteria, use of 199
- and methodological issues 187, 236–7
- and mistakes, acceptance of making 190
- motivational arguments, use of fewer 196, 197–198, 199–200
- negative effects of liberalization, argument for 181–6, 188
- and practical rebuttals 191, 236–7
- uncertainties, dealing with 190
- Elmes, M. 65, 130
- Engwall, L. 3, 4, 8
- environmental effects
- Amsterdam Airport, advice on further growth of 134–5, 136, 137, 139, 140, 147, 149, 155–6, 158–59, 160–1, 167–8, 221, 226
- electricity market liberalization 188–89, 192, 195, 197
- Eppink, D. 108
- Ernst, B. 4
- estimation, use of, electricity market liberalization 192
- ethos
- and practice differences 213, 214–15, 229–33, 243–47, 252, 254–5
- professional *see* professional ethos
- and espoused theory of advice argumentation
- evaluative claims 81, 103, 111, 138, 143, 156–7, 181, 190, 219, 220
- experience-based sources, use of 165–7, 202–3, 225–26
- Failhurst, G. 48, 65
- Faust, M. 3
- Feltmann, E. 7, 86, 87, 88, 108, 120
- Ferraro, F. 38
- Feyerabend, P. 69
- Fincham, R. 1, 3, 4, 80, 89, 123, 210
- findings, timeliness of 14, 17
- Fisher, F. 52, 66, 76, 260
- Frey, B. 2, 10, 11, 20, 24, 28, 82, 83, 97, 107, 116, 239, 247
- Friedman, M. 3, 25, 30–31, 32, 36, 115, 116, 117, 254
- Friga, P. 87, 90, 98, 99, 108, 109, 112, 118, 119, 128, 130, 243
- Frissen, P. 111, 117–18, 238, 239
- Fuchs, V. 36
- future research
- academic advisers and ethos and practice clashes 217, 218–19, 229–33
- academic advisers' hypocrisy 228–9
- oral communication by consultants 212–13
- Germany, Daimler Benz strategic decision making 65
- Gill, A. 48
- Goodwin, C. 2
- Greatbatch, D. 119
- Greiner, L. 40, 80, 98, 99, 123, 131

- Grootendorst, R. 16, 78, 103, 104
 grounds
 Amsterdam Airport 138, 150–7,
 220
 backing of, and advice analysis and
 rhetoric 59–62
 and claim of argument 51–2, 58–62
 electricity market liberalization
 180–1, 186, 192–5, 196, 220
 evaluation of use of, Amsterdam
 Airport 155–6, 220
 making reality by quantifying,
 Amsterdam Airport 149–56
 normative, and economic advice
 219–21
 supporting, and positive claims
 95–100, 244
 supporting, and professional ethos
 79, 94–102
- Gulati, R. 14
- Haas, P. 27, 77
- Habermas, J. 12, 43, 52, 53–5, 57, 61,
 62, 69, 74, 75, 76, 78, 102, 113,
 119, 120, 123, 124, 125, 240, 243,
 246, 256
- Hajer, M. 66
- Hamilton, L. 33, 36
- Hattersley, M. 64
- Hausman, D. 36, 257
- Hegel, G. 45, 48, 63
- Heilbronner, R. 116
- Heine, K. 27
- Hennipman, P. 22, 30, 110, 252, 258
- Hoebeke, L. 87, 120
- Hoesel, P. van 6, 82, 84
- Hoppe, R. 59, 66
- Houtlosser, P. 48
- Houwelingen, G. van 38
- Huczynski, A. 131
- Huff, A. 65
- ‘hybrids’, consultant and academic
 7–8, 54
- hypocrisy, of academic advisers 242–3,
 256–9
- ‘if-then’ advice 80, 81, 82, 94–5, 111,
 122, 187, 217, 219, 233, 248, 250
- interviews 18, 59, 99, 115, 118, 120,
 166, 167, 201, 204, 253–8
- Jackall, R. 64, 85
- Jacquemin, A. 106, 107
- Jenkins-Smith, H. 66
- Johansson, A. 2, 3, 40, 41, 89, 116, 121,
 215, 219
- Johnson, G. 108, 111
- Johnson, P. 67, 68, 105
- Jones, P. 36, 234
- Kärreman, D. 65, 213
- Keizer, J. 116
- Kennedy, G. 47, 48, 50, 263
- Keuning, D. 108
- Keynes, J. N. 29
- Khurana, R. 8, 14, 15
- Kieser, A. 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 64, 83, 90, 106,
 114, 131, 211, 215
- Kipping, M. 3, 6
- Kirzner, I. 28, 37, 111
- Klamer, A. 2, 4, 18, 24, 28, 33, 36, 47,
 64–5, 83, 95, 96, 122, 243, 251,
 260
- Kloos, P. 68
- knowledge
 appreciation, and consultants 119,
 120, 128–9
 eclectic 100
 experience-based 18
 negotiated, and backing 117
 theoretical, and academic advisers
 1–2, 3, 15–16
 theoretical and practical 11–13
 using useful, and independence,
 academic advisers 82–5, 88,
 101–2, 110–11, 234, 245
- Köbben, A. 81, 84, 85, 111, 250
- Kolb, D. 65
- Koning, J. de 6
- Krugman, P. 15
- Kubr, M. 39, 41, 80, 83, 89, 97, 98,
 101, 119, 123, 127, 128, 131, 245
- Kuhn, T. 70–71, 72
- Lane, R. 34
- Latour, B. 70, 71, 72, 96
- laws and policy criteria, use of,
 electricity market liberalization
 199
- Leeman, A. 45
- Leeuw, F. 83, 84

- Lippitt, G. and R. 40, 41
 literature review, and professional ethos 79–80, 127, 233–7
- Luijk, H. van 85, 86, 87, 90, 93, 124, 220, 256
- Lytard, J. 43, 53, 69, 70, 245
- McCloskey, D. 16–17, 64, 65, 66, 67–8, 84, 115–16, 261
- McKenna, C. 3, 15
- McKinsey 40, 90, 98, 99, 108, 109, 112, 129, 131, 167
 7S theory model 108
- McPherson, M. 36, 257
- MacRae, D. 58, 62–3
- Maister, D. 80, 86
- Majone, G. 2, 25, 33, 37, 65–6, 100, 106, 108, 111, 234, 253, 256, 260
- Mandel, M. 28, 36, 234
- March, J. 34, 35, 36, 260
- market
 commercial attitude of consultants 84
 success of consultants 7, 212–13, 216, 237–41
 value, lower, of academic advisers 242–3
- Marshall, A. 28, 29, 258
- Mason, R. 34, 36, 61, 63, 64, 65, 87, 100, 260, 261
- Mause, K. 27
- Mazza, C. 2, 210
- means-end advice 36–8, 94, 103–4, 105, 122
- methodology
 issues, electricity market liberalization, and consultants 187, 236–7
 structure, consultants' dislike of, Amsterdam Airport 169–71, 172, 223, 224, 236–7
 structure, economic advisers, Amsterdam Airport 170, 171–2, 224, 236–7, 254
- Metzger, R. 98, 123, 131
- Mill, J.S. 28, 29
- Minto, B. 64, 102, 111, 112, 127, 128, 129–31, 169
- mirror metaphor, and advice analysis and rhetoric 67, 68–9, 70
- mistakes, acceptance of making, and electricity market liberalization, and consultants 190
- Mitroff, I. 34, 61, 63, 64, 65, 260
- Morgan, G. 65, 70, 107, 116, 120
- motivational arguments
 Amsterdam Airport 160–61, 223
 as non-controversial, academic advisers and electricity market liberalization 196–199, 223
 use of fewer, consultants and electricity market liberalization 196, 197–198, 199–200
- motivational warrants
 avoidance of, by academic advisers 110–11
 and professional ethos 105, 110–12
- Nees, D. 40, 99
- negative effects
 balanced presentation of, consultants, and Amsterdam Airport 156–7, 220
 of electricity market liberalization, consultants' argument for 181–9, 188, 194
 lack of attention to, economic advisers, and Amsterdam Airport 150–51, 221
- Nelson, R. 25, 27, 28, 36, 64
- Netherlands
 academic and consultant 'hybrids' 8
 Amsterdam Airport *see* Amsterdam Airport, advice on further growth of
 contract research 5, 6
 economic assignment consultants 6
 electricity market liberalization *see* electricity market liberalization, advice on
 ivory tower research, criticism of 84, 106
- Neutelings, R. 59, 105
- Nordhaus, W. 34
- normative arguments
 and academic advisers 246, 256–8
 and valuations, backing of 121–5, 217

- Obrechts-Tyteca, L. 46, 64
 oral communication, importance of 212
 oral sources *see* interviews
 organization theory and discourse-analytical approach 65
 Otto, M. 54, 55
- parallel cases, and professional ethos 104, 105, 108
 Peacock, A. 2, 28, 32–3, 36, 83, 101, 122, 131, 238, 239, 252, 256, 260
 Pellegrinelli, S. 40, 41, 110, 248
 Perelman, C. 46, 63, 64, 105
 personal reputation, and academic advisers 84, 96
 Peters, T. 108
 Peterse, A. 59, 66
 Petrey, S. 57
 Pinault, L. 2, 85
 Plato 44–5, 48
 Ploeg, R. van der 33, 97
 political differences 31–2, 49
 Polyani, M. 12, 13
 Popper, K. 16, 67, 68
 Porter, R. 27, 109
 Portes, R. 106, 107
 positive claims
 and margin of error, academic advisers 252–3
 and professional ethos 115–21, 244
 and supporting grounds 95–100, 244
 positive effects
 balanced presentation of, consultants, and Amsterdam Airport 155–6, 220
 focus on, Amsterdam Airport 143, 148, 217, 221
 of liberalization, electricity market liberalization and academic advisers 181–6, 187, 194–5, 217
 positivist claims
 academic advice and dialogue to legitimize 38–9, 244
 see also ‘if-then’ advice
 positivist/modernist approach, and advice analysis and rhetoric 67–9, 75, 244, 246, 254
- postmodernism
 and neutrality of narrative 69–73, 75, 234, 245
 and social construction of reality 70–71, 72
 precision, importance of, academic advisers, and Amsterdam Airport 162–3, 165, 167, 225–6
 professional ethos and espoused theory of advice argumentation 77–133, 229–33
 action research and academic advice 83
 advocative claim 81, 82, 103, 104, 105, 259
 authoritative warrants 104
 backings of grounds and warrants 79, 113–25, 233
 causality in instrumental means–end advice 94, 103–4, 105, 122
 central claim 78, 81–94
 claims advice or conclusions, decisions on 82–8, 227–9
 and commercial attitude of consultants 84
 and competitive position 122–3
 conclusions, emphasis on 231–3
 and cost-cutting 81, 94, 95, 105
 designative claim 81, 82, 103, 106, 107–8
 economic research, differing views on 115–17
 emphasis in argumentation 125–32
 evaluative claim 81, 103, 104, 105, 110, 112, 223
 expert consultants 86, 109, 123–4
 grounds, supporting 79, 94–102
 literature review 80–81, 127, 233–7
 and modality of claims 82, 88–91, 217
 motivational warrants 105, 110–12
 negotiated knowledge and backing 117
 normative arguments and valuations, backings of 121–5
 normative statements and personal valuations and supporting grounds 101–2
 parallel cases 104, 106, 108–9
 positive arguments in economic advice 115–21, 244

- positive or theoretical statements and supporting grounds 95–100, 244
- presentation 79
- process consultants 86–7, 123
- pyramid principle of report writing 129, 131
- questionnaires, perceived failings of 115
- rebuttals, dealing with 91–5, 221, 222–3, 258
- research manipulation 117–18 and specialization, degree of 83, 96–8
- substantive warrants 103–4
- supporting warrants 79, 103–12
- traditional economist and consultant, differences between 81–2, 84
- warrants 79, 103–12, 233
- warrants, normative, and economic advice 222–4
- warrants supporting positive claims in advice 106–10, 244
- professional ethos and espoused theory of advice argumentation, academic advisers and avoidance of motivational warrants 110–11
- backing by scientific method 115–18, 233, 253–4
- emphasis of analysis 127–8
- and ‘if-then’ advice 80, 81, 82, 94–5, 111, 122, 187, 217, 219, 230, 245
- and importance of certainty 89–90, 91, 105, 111, 115, 117, 118, 120, 128, 252–6
- lack of explicit valuation 101–2, 111 and lack of recommendations 127
- and normative statements, lack of method of backing 122–3, 217
- and personal reputation 84, 96
- positive grounds in 96–8, 244
- and theoretical rebuttals 91–2, 94, 101–2, 218–9
- and theoretical rigor, problems with 92, 97, 111, 243
- theory-based warrants of 106–8, 233
- using useful knowledge and independence 82–5, 88, 101–2, 110–11, 234, 245
- vulnerability of, and manipulation by clients 111
- professional ethos and espoused theory of advice argumentation, consultants and advice reports 126–7, 128–32
- backing normative statements by inter-subjective criticism and integrity 123–5
- and client trust 86–7, 90–91, 124–5
- common sense backing of positive statements 118–21, 255–6
- and creative participation with client 87, 124
- and critical interaction with client 87–8
- and eclectic knowledge 100
- eye for particular and dealing with rebuttals 92–4, 110, 218–9
- and fact generation 99–100
- involved and explicit approach 85–8
- and knowledge appreciation 119, 120, 128–9
- as minimal users of theory 108, 109–10
- positive grounds 98–100
- recommendations presented first in report 128–32
- and strategies to prevent flawed advice 119
- theory models 108, 109
- use of common sense 120–21
- and use of norms, values and motives as warrants 112
- and use of positive warrants 108–10
- valuation examples 102
- and value of timeliness over certainty 90–91, 99, 118–19
- and writing skills 131–2
- Pröpper, I. 96–7
- Putnam, L. 48, 65
- ‘quick and dirty’ backing 161, 164–8, 225–6, 244–5, 249
- Quintilianus 45, 46

- Rasiel, E. 87, 90, 98, 99, 108, 109, 112, 118, 119, 128, 130, 243
- rebuttals
- Amsterdam Airport 137–43, 145–6, 149, 150–51, 217, 219, 236–7
 - dealing with, and professional ethos 91–5, 217, 218–19, 258
 - electricity market liberalization 181–7, 181, 186, 187, 188–89, 191, 196, 236–7
 - and exceptions 52, 217
 - and eye for particular, consultants 92–4, 110, 218–19
 - negative effects as, Amsterdam Airport 150–51
 - practical, consultants, and Amsterdam Airport 150, 217, 219, 236–7
 - practical, electricity market liberalization 191, 236–7
 - and professional ethos 91–5, 217, 218–19, 258
 - theoretical, and academic advisers 91–2, 94, 101–2, 218–19
- recommendations
- emphasis of, consultants 170
 - lack of, and academic advisers 127, 147, 250–51
 - presented first, consultants 128–32
- reports
- conclusions *see* conclusions
 - and expressive writing 172–4
 - headings, use of 172–3, 210–11
 - highlights, stressing 169–74
 - pyramid principle of writing 129, 130, 131
 - recommendations presented first, consultants 128–32
 - selection, criteria for, Amsterdam Airport 136–7
 - statistics, calculation and economic models as sources 202
 - tables, textboxes and bold texts 173–4
 - tables, textboxes and bold texts, use of 208
 - writing skills 131–2, 172–4 *see also* advice; clients
- research manipulation and backing 117–18
- rhetoric
- and advice analysis *see* advice analysis and rhetoric
 - advice as rhetorical genre 49–50
 - and classical means of persuasion 46–7
 - consultants and academics, differences between *see* consultants and academics, rhetorical differences between
 - genres 49, 50, 51
 - philosophical 47–8, 49
 - and policy and management sciences 64–6
 - rhetorical analysis, benefits of 16–18
- Rieke, R. 59, 103–4, 105
- Rivlin, A. 28, 36, 234, 246
- Road Traffic Acts offence example 60
- Robbins, L. 22, 25–6, 27–8, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 41, 65, 68, 110, 144, 187, 252, 258, 260
- Roe, E. 66
- Rorty, R. 67, 68–9
- Rude, C. 112
- Rynes, S. 14, 15
- Sabatier, P. 66
- Sahlin-Andersson, K. 4
- Salaman, G. 2, 3–4, 13, 21, 24, 41, 210, 211, 215, 263
- Samuelson, P. 34
- Schein, E. 33, 39, 40, 41, 46, 80, 85, 86, 87, 98, 122–3, 249, 260
- Schellens, P. 59, 105
- Schischkoff, G. 45
- Schmidt, H. 45
- Scholes, K. 108, 112
- Schön, D. 12, 13, 80, 89, 92, 93, 95, 97, 99, 118, 119, 123, 245, 255, 258
- scientific
- knowledge, and advice analysis and rhetoric 44, 66, 70, 71, 254
 - method, and citation precision 165, 167, 225–6
- Scott-Morgan, P. 122
- Searle, J. 56, 57, 58
- Shapiro, D. 14
- Sillars, M. 59, 103–4, 106
- Simon, H. 34, 35, 36, 260
- Sivula, P. 259

- Sköldberg, K. 53
 Skovgaard-Smith, I. 98, 125, 212
 Smith, A. 28, 31, 32, 46
 social construction of reality 72, 74–5
 Socrates 44, 45, 104
 Sonnaville, H. de 2
 Sophists 44–5, 48
 specialization
 and professional ethos 83, 96–8
 degree of, Amsterdam Airport 142–3, 216
 degree of, electricity market liberalization 181–9, 216
 and professional ethos 83, 96–8
 similar degrees of 215–16
 speech act theory 56–8, 73
 Stiglitz, J. 27, 34, 41, 77, 82, 88, 91, 190, 221, 260
 Sturdy, A. 4, 89–90
 Sweden, academic and consultant ‘hybrids’ 8

 Taket, A. 72, 73
 ten Have, S. 108
 Theeuwes, J. 65, 116, 262
 theory use
 electricity market liberalization, and academic advisers 204–9, 221
 theoretical rigor, problems with, and academic advisers 91–2, 97, 111, 243
 therapeutic criticism 54, 56, 61, 243
 Thomas, K. 13–14, 31, 90, 110
 Thompson, J. 34, 35, 36, 260
 Thornton, R. 2
 timeliness over certainty, value of 89–91, 99, 118–19
 Tinbergen, J. 25, 26–7, 31, 32, 34, 36, 41, 65, 68, 80, 94, 110, 144, 187, 260
 Toulmin, S. 12, 17, 43, 46, 50–52, 53, 55, 64, 66, 69, 71–2, 75, 76, 78–9, 112, 138, 142, 151, 157, 177, 186, 244, 261
 enriched analysis 58–62, 63, 65, 66
 Tromp, H. 80, 84, 111, 250
 Tsang, E. 14
 Twijnstra, A. 5, 89, 98, 107, 108, 111, 127, 128
 Tymon, W. 13–14, 31, 89, 109

 uncertainty
 dealing with, electricity market liberalization 187, 189, 190, 217, 251
 effects, Amsterdam Airport 149–50, 217, 235
 see also certainty
 US
 academic and consultant ‘hybrids’ 8
 management training and consultants 14

 value judgments
 and advice analysis and rhetoric 67–9, 74–5, 246
 and alternative policies, discussion of 33–6, 37, 49, 246
 backing of, consultants, and Amsterdam Airport 167–8, 225
 poor backing for, electricity market liberalization, and academic advisers 203, 225, 246
 Veld, R. in ‘t 80, 81, 83, 84, 96, 99, 110, 111, 117, 122, 238, 245
 Verhey, A. 83, 84, 97, 100, 111, 117, 122, 238, 245
 Vermaak, H. 124
 Vico, G. 45
 Visscher, K. 7

 Wagenaar, H. 66
 Ward, J. 2
 warrants
 Amsterdam Airport 156–64, 219, 220, 222–4, 253
 authoritative, and professional ethos 104
 backing 51, 52, 59–60, 61–2
 causal 163–4, 219, 220, 223, 253
 common sense, preference for, consultants and Amsterdam Airport 156–64, 222
 electricity market liberalization 195–200, 222–4
 motivational 105, 109–11, 195–200
 normative, and economic advice 222–4
 and professional ethos 79, 103–12, 233

- substantive, and professional ethos
103–4
- supporting 79, 103–12, 106–10, 244
- theory-based, and academic advisers
106–8, 233
- use of norms, values and motives
as 112
- use of positive 108–10
- see also* backing; claims
- Waterman, R. 108
- Weggeman, M. 3, 8, 9, 10, 64, 89, 91,
96, 99, 106, 109, 114, 116, 123,
131–2, 215, 239
- Weinberg, A. 12, 25, 27, 37, 111, 253,
260, 261
- Weinstein, M. 28, 36, 234
- welfare effects as argument,
Amsterdam Airport 152–6, 157,
158–59, 162–4, 165–6, 167, 168,
171, 172, 226
- Werder, A. 58, 60, 64, 65, 138, 180,
261
- Werr, A. 3, 4, 94, 120, 124, 219, 249
- Whedbee, K. 48
- White, L. 72, 73
- Witteveen, A. 80, 87, 102, 107–8
- Woolgar, S. 70, 71, 72, 96
- Worley, C. 87, 102
- Yin, R. 19, 255

