

Bibliography

- Abramovitz, Moses (1956) 'Resource and Output Trends in the US since 1870', **46** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 5.
- Abramowicz, Michael (2003) 'Perfecting Patent Prizes', **56** *Vand. L. Rev.*, 115.
- Ackerman, Bruce A. (1975) *Economic Foundations of Property Law*, Boston, Little, Brown & Co.
- Adams, William J. & Janet L. Yellen (1976) 'Commodity Bundling and the Burden of Monopoly', **90** *Quarterly J. Econ.*, 475.
- Aghion, Philippe et al., (2005) 'Competition and Innovation: An Inverted-U Relationship', **120** *Quarterly J. Econ.*, 701.
- Ahlborn, Christian, David S. Evans & Jorge A. Padilla, (2004) 'The Antitrust Economics of Tying – A Farewell to Per Se Illegality', **49** *Antitrust Bull.*, 287.
- Akman, Pinar (2007), *Searching for The Long-Lost Soul of Article 82 EC*, available at <http://ssrn.com>.
- Alchian, Armen & William R. Allen (1983), *Exchange and Production: Competition, Coordination, and Control*, Belmont, Ca, Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Alese, Femi (2008), *Federal Antitrust and EC Competition Law Analysis*, Aldershot, Ashgate Publishing Limited.
- Almeida, Alexandre & Aurora A.C. Teixeira (2007), *Does Patenting Negatively Impact on R&D Investments? An International Panel Data Assessment*, available at www.ftc.gov/os/2003/10/innovationrptssummary.pdf.
- Amato, Giuliano, (1997) *Antitrust and the Bounds of Power: The Dilemma of Liberal Democracy in the History of the Market*, Oxford, Hart Publishing.
- Anderman, Steven D. (ed.) (2007), *The Interface between Intellectual Property Rights and Competition Policy*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Andrews, Carol Rice (2003), 'After BE & K: The "Difficult Constitutional Question" of Defining the First Amendment Right to Petition Courts', **39** *Houston L. Rev.*, 1299.
- Angland, Joseph (2008), 'The Justice Department's Section 2 Report: In Search of a General Theory of Exclusionary Conduct', *The Online Magazine for Global Competition*, 6.

- Apostolopoulos, Haris (2007), 'Refusal to deal Cases of IP Rights at the Aftermarket in the US and EU Law: Converging of both Law Systems through Speaking the Same Language of Law and Economics', *7 Chi.-Kent J. Int'l Comp. L.*, 144.
- Areeda, Phillip E. & Herbert Hovenkamp, *Antitrust Law: An Analysis of Antitrust Principles and Their Application*, New York, Aspen Publishers.
- Areeda, Phillip E. (1990), 'The Essential Facilities Doctrine: An Epithet in Need of Limiting Principles', *58 Antitrust L.J.*, 841.
- Areeda, Philip & Donald F. Turner (1975), 'Predatory Pricing and Related Practices under Section 2 of the Sherman Act', *88 Harv. L. Rev.*, 697.
- Armstrong, Mark & Robert Porter (eds.) (2003), *Handbook of Industrial Organization*, Amsterdam, North-Holland Publishing Company.
- Armstrong, Mark (2002), 'The Theory of Access Pricing and Interconnection', in Martin E. Cave, Sumit K. Majumdar, & Ingo Vogelsang (eds), *Handbook of Telecommunication Economics*, 1, Amsterdam, Elsevier B.V.
- Arpon Almudena de Mendivil y de Aldama (2008), 'Exclusionary Conduct and Consumer Welfare: Effects in Competition, Justifications and Efficiencies. What is the Right Balance?', in Barry E. Hawk (ed.) (2009) *International Antitrust & Policy: Annual Proceedings of the Fordham Corporate Law Institute*, New York, Juris Publishing, 1.
- Arthur, Thomas C. (1986), 'Farewell to the Sea of Doubt: Jettisoning the Constitutional Sherman Act', *74 Cal. L. Rev.*, 263.
- Arthur, W. Brian (2004), *Increasing Returns and Path Dependence in the Economy*, Ann Arbor, Mi, University of Michigan Press.
- Arthur, W. Brian (1990), 'Positive Feedback in the Economy', *262 Scientific Am.*, 92.
- Audretsch, David, William Baumol & Andrew Burke (2001), 'Competition Policy in Dynamic Markets', *19 International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 613.
- Ayres, Ian & Paul Klemperer (1999), "'Limiting Patentees" Market Power Without Reducing Innovation Incentives: The Perverse Benefits of Uncertainty and Non-Injunctive Remedies', *97 Mich. L. Rev.*, 985.
- Bain, Joe S. (1959), *Industrial organization*, New York, John Wiley & Sons.
- Bain, Joe S. (1956), *Barriers to New Competition*, Cambridge, Ma, Harvard University Press.
- Bainbridge, David I. (2007), *Intellectual Property*, Harlow, Pearson Education Limited.
- Baker, Donald I. & William Blumenthal (1986), 'Ideological Cycles and Unstable Antitrust Rules', *31 Antitrust Bull.*, 323.
- Baker, Jonathan B. (2008), 'Beyond Schumpeter vs. Arrow: How Antitrust Fosters Innovation', *74 Antitrust L. J.*, 575.

- Baker, Jonathan B. (2003), 'The Case for Antitrust Enforcement', **17** *J. Econ. Perspectives*, 27.
- Baker, Jonathan B. (1999), 'Promoting Innovation Competition through the Aspen/Kodak Rule', **7** *Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 495.
- Baker, Jonathan B. (1989), 'Recent Developments in Economics That Challenge Chicago School Views', **58** *Antitrust L. J.*, 645.
- Bakos, Yannis & Eric Brynjolfsson (1999), 'Bundling Information Goods: Prices, Profits, and Efficiency', **45** *Mgmt. Sci.*, 1613.
- Baldwin, William L. & John T. Scott (1987), *Market Structure and Technological Change*, New York, Harwood Academic Publishers.
- Baldwin, William L. & G. L. Childs (1969), 'The Fast Second and Rivalry in Research and Development', *S. Econ. J.*, 18.
- Balmer, Thomas A. (1980), 'Sham Litigation and the Antitrust Laws', **29** *Buff. L. Rev.*, 39.
- Balton, David A. & Andrew M. Wolman (2003), 'Intellectual Property and Antitrust: General Principles', **43** *IDEA*, 395.
- Balton, J. David & Robert Pitofsky (2001), 'Challenges of the New Economy: Issues at the Intersection of Antitrust and the New Economy', **68** *Antitrust L.J.*, 913.
- Barnett, Jonathan M. (2004), 'Private Protection of Patentable Goods', **25** *Cardozo L. Rev.*, 1251.
- Barnett, Thomas (2007), 'Maximizing Welfare through Technological Innovation', available at <http://www.usdoj.gov/atr/public/speeches/227291.pdf>.
- Bauer, Joseph P. (2006), 'Refusal to Deal with Competitors by Owners of Patents and Copyrights: Reflections on the Image Technical and Xerox Decisions', **55** *DePaul l. rev.*, 1211.
- Baumol, William J. (2002), *The Free-Market Innovation Machine: Analyzing The Growth Miracle Of Capitalism*, Woodstock, Princeton University Press.
- Baumol, William J., John C. Panzar & Robert D. Willig (1982), *Contestable Markets and the Theory of Industry Structure*, San Diego, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Bayus, Barry L. & William P. Putsis (1999), 'Product Proliferation: An Empirical Analysis of Product Line Determinants and Market Outcomes', **18** *Marketing Science*, 137.
- Beck, Roger L. (1981), 'Competition for Patent Monopolies', **3** *Res. L. & Econ.*, 91.
- Becker, Gary (1976), *The Economic Approach to Human Behavior*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Becker, Lawrence C. (1993), 'Deserving to Own Intellectual Property', **68** *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 609.

- Bentley, Lionel & Brad Sherman (2009), *Intellectual Property Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Bernheim, B. Douglas & Michael Whinston (1998), 'Exclusive Dealing', **106** *J. Pol. Econ.*, 64.
- Besen, Stanley M., *Intellectual Property* (1998), in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics and the Law*, London, Macmillan Press.
- Besen, Stanley M. & Joseph Farrell (1994), 'Choosing How to Compete: Strategies and Tactics in Standardization', *J. Econ. Persp.*, 117.
- Besen, Stanley M. & Leo J. Raskind (1991), 'An Introduction to the Law and Economics of Intellectual Property', **5** *J. Econ. Persp.*, 3.
- Bloch, Robert E. et al. (2006), 'A Comparative Analysis of Article 82 and Section 2 of the Sherman Act', **7** *Business Law International*, 148.
- Bessen, James & Michael J. Meurer (2008), *Patent Failure: How Judges, Bureaucrats, and Lawyers Put Innovators at Risk*, Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press.
- Bessen, James (2003), 'Patent Thickets: Strategic Patenting of Complex Technologies', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Bessen, James & Eric Maskin, 'Sequential Innovation, Patents, and Imitation' (2000), available at www.ssrn.com.
- Bloom, Margaret (2005), 'The US and EU Move Towards Substantial Antitrust Convergence on Consumer Welfare Based Enforcement', **19** *SUM Antitrust*, 18.
- Blumenthal, William, *Clear Agency Guidelines: Lessons from 1982* (2000), **68** *Antitrust L. J.*, 5.
- Bohannon, Christina (2006), 'Reclaiming Copyright', **23** *Cardozo Arts & Ent. L. J.*, 567.
- Bone, Robert G., 'Modeling Frivolous Suits', **145** *U. Pa. L. Rev.*, 519.
- Bork, Robert H. (1978), *The Antitrust Paradox*, New York, Free Press.
- Bork, Robert H. (1966), 'Legislative Intent and the Policy of the Sherman Act', **9** *J. L. & Econ.*, 7, 714.
- Boscheck, Ralf (2007), 'Competitive Advantage and the Regulation of Dominant Firms', **30** *World Competition*, 463.
- Bourgeois, Hendrik (2009), 'The Chilling Effects of Article 82 Enforcement', in Barry E. Hawk (ed) *International Antitrust & Policy: Annual Proceedings of the Fordham Corporate Law Institute 2008*, New York, Juris Publishing.
- Boudin, Michael (1986), 'Antitrust Doctrine and the Sway of Metaphor', **75** *Geo. L.J.*, 395.
- Bowman, Ward Jr. (1973), *Patent and Antitrust Law: A Legal and Economic Appraisal*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Boyle, Peter M. et al. (2002), 'Antitrust Law at the Federal Circuit: Red Light or Green Light at the IP-Antitrust Intersection?', **69** *Antitrust L.J.*, 739.

- Brennan, Timothy J., 'Should Innovation Rationalize Supra-Competitive Prices? A Skeptical Speculation 15–17', prepared for the Pros and Cons of High Prices Seminar, Swedish Competition Authority/Konkurrensverket Stockholm, Sweden, November 9, 2007.
- Breyer, Stephen (1970), 'The Uneasy Case for Copyright: A Study of Copyright in Books, Photocopies, and Computer Programs', *84 Harv. L. Rev.*, 281.
- Brodley, Joseph F., Patrick Bolton, Michael H. Riordan (2000), 'Predatory Pricing: Strategic Theory and Legal Policy', *88 Geo. L. J.*, 2241.
- Brodley, Joseph F. (1987), 'The Economic Goals of Antitrust: Efficiency, Consumer Welfare, and Technological Progress', *62 N.Y.U. L. Rev.*, 1020.
- Brunell, Richard M. (2001), 'Appropriability in Antitrust: How Much is Enough?', *69 Antitrust L. J.*, 1.
- Bruzzo, Ginevra, Marco Boccaccio (2009), 'Impact-Based Assessment and Use of Legal Presumptions in EC Competition Law: The Search for the Proper Mix', *32 World Competition*, 465.
- Bruzzo, Ginevra & Marco Boccaccio, 'Identifying infringement of competition rules: the role of economic and legal thinking', paper presented to the VIII Conference 'Antitrust Between EC Law and National Law' 10, Treviso, 22–23 May 2008, available at <http://www.emagazine.assonime.it/upload/Identifying%20infringements%20def.pdf>.
- Bryan, R. & Clement G. Krouse (2004), 'Patent Infringement: Lessons from Industrial Economics', *4 Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 191.
- Buccirossi, Paolo (ed.) (2008), *Handbook of Antitrust Economics*, Cambridge, Ma, The MIT Press.
- Buckley, Meg (2004), 'Licensing Intellectual Property: Competition and Definitions of Abuse of a Dominant Position in the United States and the European Union', *29 Brook. J. Int'l L.*, 797.
- Budzinsky, Oliver (2009), 'Modern Industrial Economics and Competition Policy: Open Problems and Possible Limits', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Burtis, Michelle M. (2003), 'Modern Industrial Organization: A Comment', *12 Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 39.
- Burtis, Michelle M. & Bruce H. Kobayashi (2001), 'Why an Original Can Be Better Than a Copy: Intellectual Property, The Antitrust Refusal to Deal, and ISO Antitrust Litigation', *9 Sup. Ct. Econ. Rev.*, 143.
- Buttigieg, Eugene (2009), *Competition Law: Safeguarding the Consumer Interest. A Comparative Analysis of US Antitrust Law and EC Competition Law*, The Netherlands, Kluwer Law International.
- Buttigieg, Eugene (2005), 'Consumer Interests and the Antitrust Approach to Abusive Practices by Dominant Firms', *EBLR*, 1191.

- Calvani, Terry & Craig Sibarium (1990), 'Antitrust Today: Maturity or Decline', **35** *Antitrust Bull.*, 123.
- Calvani, Terry (1985), 'Non-Price Predation: A New Antitrust Horizon', **54** *Antitrust L. J.*, 409.
- Candrillo, Steve P. (1998), 'An Economic Analysis of Intellectual Property Rights: Justifications and Problems of Exclusive Rights, Incentives to Generate Information, and the Alternative of a Government-run Reward System', **9** *Fordham Intell. Prop. Media & Ent. L.J.*, 301.
- Cantner, Uwe, Franco Malerba (eds.) (2007), *Innovation, Industrial Dynamics and Structural Transformation: Schumpeterian Legacies*, Leipzig, Springer.
- Carlson, Steven C. (1999), 'Patent Pools and Antitrust Dilemma', **16** *Yale Journal on Regulation*, 359.
- Carlton, Dennis W. & Ken Heyer (2008), 'Appropriate Antitrust Policy Towards Single-Firm Conduct', available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1111665>.
- Carlton, Dennis W. (2007), 'Does Antitrust Need to be Modernized?', available at <http://ssrn.com>.
- Carlton, Dennis W. (2004), 'Using Economics to Improve Antitrust Policy', **2004** *Columbia Business Law Review*, 283.
- Carlton, Dennis W. (2003), 'The Relevance for Antitrust Policy of Theoretical and Empirical Advances in Industrial Organization', **12** *Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 47.
- Carlton, Dennis W. (2001), 'A General Analysis of Exclusionary Conduct and Refusal to Deal-Why Aspen and Kodak Are Misguided', **68** *Antitrust L.J.*, 659.
- Carlton, Dennis W. & Jeffrey M. Perloff (2005), *Modern Industrial Organization*, Boston, Addison-Wesley.
- Carlton, Dennis W. & Michael Waldman (2002), 'The Strategic Use of Tying to Preserve and Create Market Power in Evolving Industries', **33** *Rand Journal of Economics*, 194.
- Carrier, Michael A. (2006), 'Refusals to License Intellectual Property after Trinko', **55** *DePaul L. Rev.*, 1191.
- Carrier, Michael A. (2005), 'Of Trinko, Tea Leaves, and Intellectual Property', *Journal of Corporation Law*, 101, 108.
- Carrier, Michael A. (2004), 'Cabining Intellectual Property through a Property Paradigm', **54** *Duke L. J.*, 1.
- Carroll, Glenn R. & David J. Teece (eds.) (1999), *Markets and Hierarchies. The Transaction Cost Economics Perspective*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Cass, Ronald A. & Keith N. Hylton (2001), 'Antitrust Intent', **74** *S. Cal. L. Rev.*, 657.

- Cass, Ronald A. & Keith N. Hylton (1999), 'Preserving Competition: Economic Analysis, Legal Standards and Microsoft', **8** *Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 1.
- Cavanagh, Edward D. (2006), 'Trinko: A Kinder, Gentler Approach To Dominant Firms Under the Antitrust Laws?', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Ceccagnoli, Marco (2007), 'Appropriability, Preemption, and Firm Performance', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Chalmers, Damian et al. (2006), *European Union Law, Text and Materials*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Chan, Ka Man & Yue Kuen Kwok (2007), 'Asymmetric Preemptive Patenting Races and Sleeping Patents', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Cherensky, Steven (1993), 'Agreements, Property, and Personhood', **81** *Cal. L. Rev.* 595.
- Chin, Yee Wah (1998), 'Unilateral Technology Suppression: Appropriate Antitrust and Patent Law Remedies', **66** *Antitrust L. J.*, 441.
- Choi, Jay P., Gwanghoon Lee & Christodoulos Stefanadis (2003), 'The Effects of Integration on R&D Incentives in Systems Markets', **5** *Netnomics*, 21.
- Choi, Jay P. & Christodoulos Stefanadis (2001), 'Tying, Investment, and the Dynamic Leverage Theory', **32** *Rand Journal of Economics*, 52.
- Choi, Jay P. (1998), 'Tying and Innovation: A Dynamic Analysis of Tying Arrangements', available at <http://ssrn.com>.
- Choi, Jay P. (1996), 'Informational Leverage and the Endogenous Timing of Product Introductions', available at http://ideas.repec.org/p/clu/wpaper/1996_03.html.
- Christensen, Clayton M. (1997), *The Innovator's Dilemma*, Boston, Ma, Harvard University Press.
- Church, J. & N. Gandal (1992), 'Networks Effects, Software Provision and Standardization', **40** *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 85.
- Clarke-Smith, Jennifer M. (2002), 'The Development of the Monopolistic Leveraging Theory and Its Appropriate Role in Antitrust Law', **52** *Cath. U. L. Rev.*, 279.
- Clark, John Maurice (1940), 'Toward a Concept of Workable Competition', **20** *American Economic Review*, 241.
- Coco, Rita (2008), 'Antitrust Liability for Refusal to License Intellectual Property: A Comparative Analysis and the International Setting', **12** *Marq. Intell. Prop. L. Rev.*, 1.
- Cohen, Felix (1935), 'Transcendental Nonsense and the Functional Approach', **35** *Colum. L. Rev.*, 809.
- Cohen, Joel M. & Arthur J. Burke (1998), 'An Overview of the Antitrust Analysis of Suppression of Technology', **66** *Antitrust L. J.* 420.

- Cohen, Wesley M., Richard R. Nelson, & John Walsh (2000), 'Protecting their Intellectual Assets: Appropriability Conditions and Why U.S. Manufacturing Firms Patent (or Not)', NBER Working Paper Series, no. 7552.
- Comanor, William S. & Thomas A. Wilson (1979), 'The Effect of Advertising on Competition: A Survey', *17 J. Econ. Lit.*, 453.
- Cooper Feldman, Robin (1999), 'Defensive Leveraging in Antitrust', *87 Geo. L. J.*, 2079, 2087.
- Cornish, William R. & David Llewelyn (1999), *Intellectual Property: Patents, Copyright, Trade marks and Allied Rights*, London, Sweet & Maxwell.
- Correia, Edward O. (1995), 'Antitrust and Liberalism', *40 Antitrust Bull.*, 99.
- Cotter, Thomas F. (2007), 'Misuse', *44 Hous. L. Rev.*, 901.
- Cotter, Thomas F. (2006), 'The Procompetitive Interest in Intellectual Property Law', *48 Wm. & Mary L. Rev.*, 483.
- Cotter, Thomas (1999), 'Intellectual Property and the Essential Facilities Doctrine', *44 Antitrust Bulletin*, 211, 214 and 250.
- Cowling, Keith & Dennis C. Mueller (1978), 'The Social Costs of Monopoly', *88 Econ. J.*, 727.
- Crandall, Robert W. & Clifford Winston (2003), 'Does Antitrust Policy Improve Consumer Welfare? Assessing the Evidence', *17 J. Econ. Perspectives*, 3.
- Crane, Daniel A. (2002), 'Exit Payments in Settlement of Patent Infringement Lawsuits: Antitrust Rules and Economic Implications', *54 Fla. L. Rev.*, 747.
- Crew, Eugene (1998), 'Foreword: Symposium on Antitrust and the Suppression of Technology in the United States and Europe: Is There a Remedy?', *66 Antitrust L. J.*, 415.
- Curtis Eaton, B. and Richard G. Harris (eds.) (1997), *Trade, Technology and Economics*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Curtis Eaton, B. & Richard G. Lipsey (1979), 'The Theory of Market Preemption: The Persistence of Excess Capacity and Monopoly in Growing Spatial Markets', *46 Economica*, 149.
- Cseres, Katalin J. (2005), *Competition Law and Consumer Protection*, The Hague, Kluwer Law International.
- Cucinotta, Antonio, Roberto Pardolesi & Roger Van den Bergh (eds.) (2002), *Post-Chicago Developments in Antitrust Law*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Czapracka, Katarzyna A. (2007), 'Where Antitrust Ends and IP Begins – On The Roots Of The Transatlantic Clashes', *9 Yale J. L. & Tech.*, 44.
- Damich, Edward J. (1988), 'The Right of Personality: A Common Law Basis for the Protection of Moral Rights', *23 Ga. L. Rev.*, 1.

- David, J. (1988) 'Rethinking the Monopolist's Duty to Deal: a Legal and Economic Critique of the Doctrine of Essential Facilities', *74 Van. L. Rev.*, 1069, 1089 and 1093.
- David, Paul (2002), 'Does the Economy Need All the Old IPR Institutions? Digital Information Goods and Access to Knowledge for Economic Development', Address at the Wider Conference on The New Economy in Development (May 11, 2002).
- David, Paul (1993), 'Intellectual Property Institutions and the Panda's Thumb: Patents, Copyrights, and Trade Secrets in Economic Theory and History', in Mitchell B. Wallerstein, Mary E. Mogege and Roberta A. Schoen (eds), *Global Dimensions of Intellectual Property Rights in Science & Technology* 19, 54–57.
- David, Paul A. (1985), 'Clio and the Economics of QWERTY', *75 Am. Econ. Rev.*, 332.
- Davis, Daniel J. (2002), 'The Fraud Exception to the Noerr-Pennington Doctrine in Judicial and Administrative Proceedings', *U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 325.
- Davis, Ronald W. (2008), 'The Antitrust Division's Report on Section 2: Firm Foundations for Enforcement or a Bridge to Nowhere?', *23 Antitrust*, 42.
- Driscoll, Ronald W. & Jennifer M. 'The Urge to Convergence – The New EU Discussion Paper on Abuse of a Dominant Position', *20 SPG Antitrust*, 82.
- Decker, Christopher (2009), *Economics and the Enforcement of European Competition Law*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Delrahim, Makan (2004), 'Forcing Firms to Share the Sandbox: Compulsory Licensing of Intellectual Property Rights and Antitrust', available at <http://www.justice.gov/atr/public/speeches/203627.htm>
- Demsetz, Harold (1982), 'Barriers to Entry', *72 Am. Econ. Rev.*, 47.
- Demsetz, Harold (1967), 'Toward a Theory of Property Rights', *57 Am. Econ. Rev.*, 347.
- Denicolò, Vincenzo (2000), 'Compatibility and Bundling with Generalist and Specialist Firms', *2 Journal of Industrial Economics*, 177.
- Denicolò, Vincenzo (1996), 'Patent Races and Optimal Patent Breadth and Length', *44 Journal of Industrial Economics*, 249.
- Derzko, Natalie M. (2005), 'The Impact of Recent Reforms of the Hatch-Waxman Scheme on Orange Book Strategic Behavior and Pharmaceutical Innovation', *45 IDEA*, 165.
- De Smet, Dieter (2008), 'The Diametrically Opposed Principles of US and EU Antitrust Policy', *29 E. C. L. R.*, 356.
- Devlin, Alan & Michael Jacobs (2009), 'Antitrust Divergence and the Limits of Economics', available at www.ssrn.com.

- Devlin, Alan (2009), 'The Stochastic Relationship between Patents and Antitrust', *5 Journal of Competition Law and Economics*, 75.
- Devlin, Alan (2007), 'A Neo-Chicago Perspective on the Law of Product Tying', *44 Am. Bus. L.J.*, 521.
- Dieny, Emmanuel (2007), 'The Pharmaceutical Industry and Competition Law between the Present and the Future', *28 E.C.L.R.*, 223.
- Dixit, Avinash (1980), 'The Role of Investment in Entry-Deterrence', *90 Economic Journal*, 95.
- Dolmans, Maurits & Thomas Graf (2004), 'Analysis of Tying under Article 82 EC: The European Commission's Microsoft Decision in Perspective', *27 World Competition Law & Economics Review*, 225.
- Dorfman, Nancy S. (1987), *Innovation and Market Structure: Lessons from the Computer and Semiconductor Industries*, Cambridge, Ballinger Publishing Company.
- Dosi, Giovanni, Luigi Marengo, Corrado Pasquali and Marco Valente (2009), 'Appropriability, Patents, and Rates of Innovation in Complex Products Industries', LEM Papers Series 2009/05, Laboratory of Economics and Management (LEM), Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Pisa, Italy.
- Dosi, Giovanni et al. (1988), *Technical Change and Economic Theory*, London, Pinter Publishers.
- Dosi, Giovanni, Luigi Marengo, & Corrado Pasquali (2007), 'Knowledge, Competition and Innovation: Is Strong IPR Protection Really Needed for More and Better Innovations?', *13 Mich. Telecomm. Tech. L. Rev.*, 471.
- Dosi, Giovanni, Luigi Marengo and Corrado Pasquali (2006), 'How Much Should Society Fuel the Greed of Innovators? On the Relations Between Appropriability, Opportunities and Rates of Innovation', available at ideas.repec.org/p/ssa/lemwps/2006-17.html.
- Dosi, Giovanni (1982), 'Technological Paradigms and Technological Trajectories: A Suggested Interpretation of the Determinant and Direction of Technological Change', *11 Res. Pol'y*, 147.
- Drexel, Josef, Laurence Idot, Joel Moneger (eds.) (2009), *Economic Theory and Competition Law*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Drexel, Joseph (2009), 'Real Knowledge is To Know the Extent of One's Own Ignorance: on the Consumer Harm Approach in Innovation-Related Competition Cases', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Drexel, Josef (ed.) (2008), *Research Handbook on Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Dunford, Richard (1987), 'The Suppression of Technology as a Strategy for Controlling Resource Dependence', *32 Admin. Sci. Q.*, 512, 513.
- Dusollier, Severine (2005), 'Technology as an Imperative for Regulating

- Copyright: From the Public Exploitation to the Private Use of the Work', **27** *European Intellectual Property Review*, 201.
- Dusollier, Severine (2003), 'Exceptions and Technological Measures in the European Copyright Directive of 2001 – An Empty Promise', **34** *IIC*, 62.
- EAGCP, 'An Economic Approach to Article 82', available at <http://ec.europa.eu/comm/competition/antitrust/art82/index.html>.
- Easterbrook, Frank H. (1990), 'Intellectual Property is Still Property', **13** *Harv. J. L. Pub. Pol.*, 1.
- Easterbrook, Frank H. (1986), 'Workable Antitrust Policy', **84** *Mich. L. Rev.*, 1696.
- Easterbrook, Frank H. (1984a), 'Foreword: The Court and the Economic System in The Supreme Court 1983 Term', **98** *Harv. L. Rev.*, 4.
- Easterbrook, Frank H. (1984b), 'The Limits of Antitrust', **63** *Texas L. Rev.*, 1, 2.
- Easterbrook, Frank H. (1981), 'Predatory Strategies and Counterstrategies', **48** *U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 263.
- Easterbrook, Frank H. (1980), 'An Economical and Legal Analysis of Physical Tie-Ins', **89** *Yale L. J.*, 769.
- Economides, Nicolas (2007), 'Hit and Miss: Leverage, Sacrifice, and Refusal to Deal in the Supreme Court Decision in Trinko', **10** *Vand. J. Ent. & Tech. L.*, 121.
- Economides, Nicholas (2006), 'Public Policy in Network Industries', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Economides, Nicholas & Lawrence J. White (1994), 'Networks and Compatibility: Implications for Antitrust', **38** *European Economic Review*, 651.
- Economides, Nicholas & Steven C. Salop (1992), 'Competition and Integration Among Complements, and Network Market Structure', **40** *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 105.
- Economides, Nicholas (1989), 'Desirability of Compatibility in the Absence of Network Externalities', **79** *American Economic Review*, 1165.
- Edquist, Charles, Leif Hommen & Maureen McKelvey (2001), *Innovation and Employment, Process versus Product Innovation*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Ehlermann, Claus-Dieter & Isabela Atanasiu (eds.) (2006), *European Competition Law Annual 2005: The Interaction between Competition Law and Intellectual Property Law*, Oxford, Hart Publishing.
- Ehlermann, Claus-Dieter & Isabela Atanasiu (eds.) (2003), *European Competition Law Annual 2003: What is an Abuse of Dominant Position?*, Oxford, Hart Publishing.
- Ehlermann, Claus-Dieter & Laraine L. Laudati, (eds.) (1998), *European Competition Law Annual 1997: Objectives of Competition Policy*, Oxford, Hart Publishing.

- Eilmansberger, Thomas (2005), 'How to Distinguish Good from Bad Competition under Article 82 EC: In Search of Clearer and More Coherent Standards for Anti-Competitive Abuses', *42 Common Market Law Review*, 129.
- Eisenach, Jeffrey A. & Thomas M. Lenard (eds.) (1999), *Competition, Innovation and the Microsoft Monopoly: Antitrust in the Digital Marketplace*, Norwell, Ma, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Elhaug, Einer R. (2003), 'Defining Better Monopolization Standards', *56 Stan. L. Rev.*, 253.
- Elhaug, Einer R. (2003), 'Why Above-cost Price Cuts to Drive Out Entrants are Not Predatory', *112 Yale L. J.*, 681.
- Elhaug, Einer (1992), 'Making Sense of Antitrust Petitioning Immunity', *80 Cal. L. Rev.*, 1177.
- Ellig, Jerry (ed.) (2001), *Dynamic Competition and Public Policy: Technology, Innovation, and Antitrust Issues*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Elzinga, Kenneth G. & David E. Mills (1999), 'PC Software', *44 Antitrust Bull.*, 739.
- Epstein, Richard (1998), *Principles for a Free Society: Reconciling Individual Liberty with the Common Good*, New York, Basic Books.
- Epstein, Richard A. (1985), *Takings, Private Property and the Power of Eminent Domain*, Boston, Ma, Harvard University Press, 65.
- Erramouspe, Matthew (1996), 'Comment, Staking Patent Claims on the Human Blueprint: Rewards and Rent-dissipating Races', *43 UCLA L. Rev.*, 961.
- Etzkowitz, Henry (1984), 'Solar Versus Nuclear Energy: Autonomous or Dependent Technology?', *31 Soc. Probs.*, 417.
- Evans, David (2005), 'How Economists Can Help Courts Design Competition Rules: An EU and US Perspective', *28 World Competition*, 93.
- Evans, David S. & Michael Salinger (2005), 'Why Do Firms Bundle and Tie? Evidence from Competitive Markets and Implications for Tying Law', *22 Yale J. Reg.*, 37.
- Evans, David S. & A. Jorge A. Padilla (2005), 'Designing Antitrust Rules for Assessing Unilateral Practice: A Neo Chicago Approach', *72 U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 27.
- Evans, David S. & A. Jorge Padilla (2004), 'Tying under Article 82 EC and the Microsoft Decision: A Comment on Dolmans and Graf', *27 World Competition Law & Economics Review*, 503.
- Evans, David S., Jorge A. Padilla & Michele Polo (2002), 'Tying in Platform Software: Reasons for a Rule-of-Reason Standard in European Competition Law', *25 World Competition Law & Economics Review*, 509.
- Evans, David S. & Richard Schmalensee (1995), 'Economic Aspects of

- Payment Card Systems and Antitrust Policy Toward Joint Ventures', **63** *Antitrust L.J.*, 861.
- Ezrachi, Ariel (ed.) (2009), *Article 82 EC: Reflections on its Recent Evolution*, Oxford, Hart Publishing.
- Fagerberg, Jan, David C. Mowery & Richard R. Nelson (2005), *The Oxford Handbook of Innovation*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Farrell, Joseph & Robert P. Merges (2004), 'Incentives To Challenge And Defend Patents: Why Litigation Won't Reliably Fix Patent Office Errors And Why Administrative Patent Review Might Help', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Farrell, Joseph, Hunter K. Monroe & Garth Saloner (1998), 'The Vertical Organization of Industry: Incompatibility versus Compatibility', **7** *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 143.
- Farrell, Joseph & Garth Saloner (1986), 'Installed Base Compatibility: Innovation, Product Preannouncement, and Predation', **76** *The American Economic Review*, 940.
- Farrell, Joseph (1986), 'How Effective is Potential Competition', **9** *Econ. Letters*, 67.
- Farrell, Joseph & Garth Saloner (1985), 'Standardization, Compatibility, and Innovation', **16** *Rand J. Econ.*, 70.
- Fellmeth, Robert C. (1981), 'Suppression and Other Antitrust Concerns', in John H. Minon & William H. Lawrence (eds), *Legal Aspects of Solar Technology*, Lexington Ma, Lexington Books, 197.
- First, Harry & Andrew I. Gavil (2006), 'Re-framing Windows: The Durable Meaning of the Microsoft Antitrust Litigation', *2006 Utah L. Rev.*, 641.
- First, Harry (2006), 'Microsoft and the Evolution of the Intellectual Property Concept', *Wis. L. Rev.*, 1369.
- Fischel, Daniel R. (1977), 'Antitrust Liability for Attempts to Influence Government Action: The Basis and Limits of the Noerr-Pennington Doctrine', **45** *U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 80.
- Fisher, Franklin M., Daniel L. Rubinfeld, Richard L. Schmalensee & David S. Evans (2000), *Did Microsoft Harm Consumers? Two Opposing Views*, Washington, DC, AEI Press.
- Fisher, Franklin M. (2000), 'The IBM and Microsoft Cases: What's the Difference', **90** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 180.
- Fisher, Franklin M., John J. McGowan & Joen E. Greenwood (1983), *Folded, Spindled, and Mutilated: Economic Analysis and U.S. v. IBM*, Boston, The MIT Press, 165.
- Flynn, John J. (1998), 'Antitrust Policy, Innovation Efficiencies, and the Suppression of Technology', **66** *Antitrust L. J.*, 487.
- Flynn, John J. (1988), 'The Reagan Administration's Antitrust Policy,

- “Original Intent” and the Legislative History of the Sherman Act’, 33 *Antitrust Bull.*, 259.
- Flynn, John J. (1977), ‘Introduction, Antitrust Jurisprudence: A Symposium on the Economic, Political and Social Goals of Antitrust Policy’, 125 *U. Pa. L. Rev.*, 1182.
- Forrester, Ian C. (2005), ‘Regulating Intellectual Property Via Competition? Or Regulating Competition Via Intellectual Property? Competition and Intellectual Property: Ten Years on, the Debate Still Flourishes’, available at <http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Research/Competition/2005/200510-CompForrester.pdf>.
- Forrester, Ian S. (2005), ‘Article 82: Remedies in Search of Theories?’, *Fordham Int’l L. J.*, 919, 920.
- Foss, Nicolai J. (2000), *The Theory of the Firm*, London, Routledge.
- Foss, Nicolai J. (1994), ‘The Biological Analogy and the Theory of the Firm: Marshall and Monopolistic Competition’, 28 *Journal of Economic Issues*, 1115.
- Foss, Nicolai J. (1993), ‘Theories of the Firm: Contractual and Competence Perspectives’, 9 *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 127.
- Fox, Eleanor M. (2005), ‘Is There Life After Trinko? The Silent Revolution of Section 2 of the Sherman Act’, 73 *Antitrust L.J.*, 153.
- Fox, Eleanor M. (2003), ‘We Protect Competition, You Protect Competitors’, 26 *World Competition*, 149.
- Fox, Eleanor M., Lawrence A. Sullivan (1987), ‘Antitrust – Retrospective and Prospective: Where Are We Coming From? Where Are We Going?’, 62 *N. Y. U. L. Rev.*, 936.
- Fox, Eleanor M. (1986), ‘The Politics of Law and Economics in Judicial Decision Making: Antitrust as a Window’, 61 *N. Y. U. L. Rev.*, 554.
- Friedman, Aryeh S. (1986), ‘Law and the Innovative Process: Preliminary Reflections, 1986’, *Colum. Bus. L. Rev.*, 1.
- Frischmann, Brett & Spencer Weber Waller (2008), ‘Revitalizing Essential Facilities’, 75 *Antitrust L.J.*, 1.
- Frost, George E. (1946), ‘Legal Incidents of Non-Use of Patented Inventions Reconsidered’, 14 *Geo. Wash. L. Rev.*, 273.
- Fudenberg, Drew et al. (1983), ‘Preemption, Leapfrogging and Competition in Patent Races’, 22 *The European Economic Review*, 3.
- Furse, Mark (2004), *Competition Law of the EC and UK*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Gal, Michal S. (2004), ‘Monopoly Pricing as an Antitrust Offense in the U.S. and the EC: Two Systems of Belief About Monopoly?’, 49 *Antitrust Bull.*, 343.
- Gallini, Nancy (2002), ‘The Economics of Patents: Lessons from Recent U.S. Patent Reform’, 16 *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 131.

- Gambrell, James B. (2000), 'The Evolving Interplay of Patent Rights and Antitrust Restraints in the Federal Circuit', *9 Tex. Intell. Prop. L.J.*, 137.
- Gandal, Neil, Michael Kende & Rafael Rob (2000), 'The Dynamics of Technological Adoption in Hardware/Software Systems: The Case of Compact Disc Players', *31 Rand J. Econ.*, 43.
- Gandal, Neil (1995), 'Competing Compatibility Standards and Network Externalities in the PC Software Market', *77 Rev. Econ. & Stat.*, 599.
- Garza, Deborah A. (2001), 'A Comparative Analysis of the Clinton Antitrust program and Suggestion of Changes to Come', *15 Antitrust*, 64.
- Gavil, Andrew I. , William E. Kovacic, & Jonathan B. Baker (2008), *Antitrust Law in Perspective: Cases, Concepts and Problems in Competition Policy*, St. Paul, Mn, Thomson-West.
- Gavil, Andrew I. (2004), 'Exclusionary Distribution Strategies by Dominant Firms: Striking a Better Balance', *72 Antitrust L. J.*, 3.
- Gaynor, Daniel E. (2006), 'Technological Tying', available at www.ftc.gov/bel/workpapers/wp284.pdf.
- Genevaz, Simon(2004), 'Against Immunity for Unilateral Refusals to Deal in Intellectual Property: Why Antitrust Law Should Not Distinguish Between IP and Other Property Rights', *19 Berkeley Technology L. J.*, 741.
- Gerardin, Damien (2004), 'Limiting the Scope of Article 82 EC: What Can the EU Learn from the US Supreme Court's Judgment in Trinko, in the Wake of Microsoft, IMS and Deutsche Telekom?', *41 C.M. L. Rev.*, 1519.
- Gerber, David J. (2007), 'The Future of Article 82: Dissecting the Conflict 12–13', paper presented at the 12th Annual Competition Law and Policy Workshop, Robert Schuman Centre EUI, Florence, available at [http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Research/Competition/2007\(papers\).shtml](http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Research/Competition/2007(papers).shtml).
- Gerber, David J. (2005), 'Competition Law' chapter in the *Oxford Handbook of Comparative Law* 1, available on <http://ssrn.com>.
- Gerber, David J. (1998), 'Law and the Abuse of Economic Power in Europe', *62 Tulane L. Rev.*, 85.
- Gerber, David J. (1988), *Law and Competition in Twentieth-Century Europe* Gerber, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Geroski, Paul A. (1990), 'Innovation, Technological Opportunity, and Market Structure', *42 Oxford Econ. Papers*, 586.
- Ghidini, Gustavo (2006), *Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Ghosal, Vivek & John Stennek (eds.) (2007), *The Political Economy of Antitrust*, Amsterdam, Elsevier.
- Glader, Marcus (2006), *Innovation Markets and Competition Analysis*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.

- Gifford, Daniel J. (2002), 'The Antitrust/Intellectual Property Interface: An Emerging Solution to an Intractable Problem', **31** *Hofstra L. Rev.*, 363, 409.
- Gilbert, Richard J. (2007), 'Holding Innovation to an Antitrust Standard', **3** *Competition Policy International*, 47.
- Gilbert, Richard J. & Michael H. Riordan (2007), 'Product Improvement and Technological Tying in a Winner-Take-All Market', **15** *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, 113.
- Gilbert, Richard J. & Willard K. Tom (2001), 'Is Innovation King at the Antitrust Agencies? The Intellectual Property Guidelines Five Years Later', **69** *Antitrust L. J.*, 43.
- Gilbert, Richard J. & Carl Shapiro (1996), 'An Economic Analysis of Unilateral Refusals to License Intellectual Property', **93** *Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci.*, 12749, 12754, available at <http://pnas.org/cgi/reprint/93/23/12749.pdf>.
- Gilbert, Richard J. & Steven C. Sunshine (1995), 'Incorporating Dynamic Efficiency Concerns in Merger Analysis: The Use of Innovation Markets', **63** *Antitrust L. J.*, 569.
- Gilbert, Richard J. (1992), 'Symposium of Compatibility: Incentives and Market Structure', **40** *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, 1.
- Gilbert, Richard & Carl Shapiro (1990), 'Optimal Patent Length and Breadth', **21** *Rand Journal of Economics*, 106.
- Gilbert, Richard & Oliver Williamson (1988), 'Antitrust Policy', in Peter Newman (ed) *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics and the Law*, New York, Macmillan, 82.
- Gilbert, Richard J. & David M.G. Newbery (1982), 'Preemptive Patenting and the Persistence of Monopoly', **72** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 514.
- Ginsburg, Douglas H. (2006), 'An Introduction to Bork (1966)', **2** *Competition Pol'y Int'l*, 225.
- Ginsburg, Jane C. (2005), 'Legal Protection of Technological Measures Protecting Works of Authorship: International Obligations and the US Experience', available at <http://lsr.nellco.org/columbia/pllt/papers/0593>.
- Ginsburg, Jane C. (1990), 'Creation and Commercial Value: Copyright Protection of Works of Information', **90** *Colum. L. Rev.*, 1865.
- Ginsburg, Jane C. (1990), 'A Tale of Two Copyrights: Literary Property in Revolutionary France and America', **64** *Tul. L. Rev.*, 991.
- Goldstein, Paul (1971), 'The Competitive Mandate: From Sears Tolear', **59** *Cal. L. Rev.*, 971.
- Gordon, Wendy J. (2002), 'Authors, Publishers, and Public Goods: Trading Gold for Dross', **36** *Loyola L. Rev.*, 159.
- Gordon, Wendy J. (1999), 'Introduction', **108** *Yale L. J.*, 1611.
- Gordon, Wendy J. (1993), 'A Property Right in Self-Expression: Equality

- and Individualism in the Natural Law of Intellectual Property', **102** *Yale L. J.*, 1533.
- Gormsen, Liza Lovdahl (2010), *A Principled Approach to Abuse of Dominance in European Competition Law*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Gormsen, Liza Lovdahl (2006), 'Article 82: Where are We Coming from and Where are We Going To?', **2** *Comp. L. Rev.*, 5.
- Gould, John P. (1973), 'The Economics of Legal Conflicts', **2** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 279.
- Grady, Mark F. & Jay I. Alexander (1992), 'Patent Law and Rent Dissipation', **78** *Vand. L. Rev.*, 305.
- Green, Jerry R. & Suzanne Scotchmer (1995), 'On the Division of Profit in Sequential Innovation', **26** *Rand J. Econ.*, 20.
- Griliches, Zvi (1992), 'The Search for R&D Spillovers', **94** *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 29.
- Gruca, Thomas S. & D. Sudharshan (1995), 'A Framework for Entry Deterrence Strategy: The Competitive Environments, Choices, and Consequences', **59** *Journal of Marketing*, 44.
- Gual, Jorde (2007), 'Time to Rethink Merger Policy', **3** *Competition Policy International*, available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=987093>.
- Gunther, Jacques-Philippe, Charlotte Breuvert (2005), 'Misuse of Patent and Drug Regulatory Approval Systems in the Pharmaceutical Industry: An Analysis of US and EU Converging Approaches', **26** *E.C.L.R.*, 669.
- Hall, Bronwyn & Rose Marie Ham (1989), 'The Patent Paradox Revisited: Determinants of Patenting in the US Semiconductor Industry, 1980–94', NBER Working Paper Series, no. 7062.
- Ham, Rosemarie Ziedonis & Bronwyn H. Hall (2001), 'The Effects of Strengthening Patent Rights on Firms Engaged in Cumulative Innovation: Insights from the Semiconductor Industry', **32** *Rand Journal of Economics*, 101.
- Hamilton, Walton H. (1941), *Patents and Free Enterprise. Investigation of Concentration of Economic Power*, Washington, U.S. Govt. Print. Off.
- Handler, Milton & Richard A. De Sevo (1984), 'The Noerr Doctrine and Its Sham Exception', **6** *Cardozo L. Rev.*, 1.
- Hardin, Garrett (1968), 'The Tragedy of the Commons', **162** *Science*, 1243.
- Harris, Gardiner, Chris Adams (2001), 'Drug Manufacturers Step Up Legal Attacks That Slow Generics', *WALL ST. J.*, July 12 2001, at A1.
- Hart, David M. (2001), 'Antitrust and Technological Innovation in US: Ideas, Institutions, Decisions, and Impacts, 1890–2000', **30** *Research Policy*, 923.
- Hart, Robert J. (2006), 'Interoperability Information and the Microsoft Decision', **28** *E.I.P.R.*, 361.

- Hatch, Orrin G. & Thomas R. Lee (2002), “‘To Promote the Progress of Science’”: The Copyright Clause and Congress’s Power to Extend Copyrights’, **16** *Harv. J. L. & Tech.*, 1.
- Hatch, Orrin G. (1998), ‘Toward a Principled Approach to Copyright Legislation at the Turn of the Millennium’, **59** *U. Pitt. L. Rev.*, 719.
- Hay, Donald A. (1976), ‘Sequential Entry and Entry-Deterring Strategies in Spatial Competition’, **28** *Oxford Economic Papers*, 240.
- Hayek, Friederich A. (1937), ‘Economics and Knowledge’, **4** *Economics* 33.
- Heiner, David A. (2005), ‘Assessing Tying Claims in the Context of Software Integration: A Suggested Framework for Applying the Rule Of Reason Analysis’, **72** *U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 123.
- Heller, Michael & Rebecca Eisenberg (1998), ‘Can Patents Deter Innovation? The Anticommons in Biomedical Research’, **280** *Science*, 698.
- Hettinger, Edwin C. (1989), ‘Justifying Intellectual Property’, **18** *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, 31, 37.
- Heyer, Ken (2005), ‘A World of Uncertainty: Economics and the Globalization of Antitrust’, **72** *Antitrust L.J.*, 375.
- Hodgson, Geoffrey M. (1993), *Economics and Evolution*, Ann Arbor, Mi, University of Michigan Press.
- Hoerner, Robert J. (1986), ‘Bad Faith Enforcement of Patents – Antitrust Considerations’, **55** *Antitrust L.J.*, 421.
- Hotelling, Harold (1929), ‘Stability in Competition’, **39** *Economic Journal*, 41.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert, Mark D. Janis & Mark A. Lemley (2009), *IP and Antitrust. An Analysis of Antitrust Principles Applied to Intellectual Property Law*, New York, Aspen.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert (2007), ‘The Legal Periphery of Dominant Firm Conduct’, available at <https://ssrn.com>.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert J. (2008), ‘Innovation and the Domain of Competition Policy’, available at <https://ssrn.com>.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert (2005), ‘Exclusion and the Sherman Act’, **72** *U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 147.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert (2005), *The Antitrust Enterprise: Principle and Execution*, Cambridge, Ma, Harvard University Press.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert (2005), ‘IP and Antitrust Policy: A Brief Historical Overview’, 5, available at <https://ssrn.com>.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert (2001), ‘Post-Chicago Antitrust: A Review and Critique’, 2001 *Colum. Bus. L. Rev.*, 257.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert (1993), ‘The Marginalist Revolution in Legal Thought’, **46** *Vand. L. Rev.*, 305.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert (1989), ‘The Antitrust Movement and the Rise of Industrial Organization’, **68** *Tex. L. Rev.*, 105.

- Hovenkamp, Herbert (1989), 'The Sherman Act and the Classical Theory of Competition', **74** *Iowa L. Rev.*, 1019.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert (1985), 'Antitrust Policy after Chicago', **84** *Mich. L. R.*, 213.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert (1982), 'Distributive Justice and the Antitrust Laws', **51** *Geo. Wash. L. Rev.*, 1.
- Howarth, David & Kathryn McMahon (2008), "'Windows Has Performed an Illegal Operation': The Court of First Instance's Judgment in Microsoft v. Commission", **29** *E. C. L. R.*, 117.
- Hughes, Justin (1988), 'The Philosophy of Intellectual Property', **77** *Geo. L. J.*, 287.
- Hunt, Robert M. (2006), 'When Do More Patents Reduce R&D?', available at <http://ideas.repec.org/e/phu40.html>.
- Hunt, Robert M. (1999), 'Patent Reform: A Mixed Blessing for the U.S. Economy?', available at <http://ideas.repec.org/a/fip/fedpbr/y1999inovp15-29.html>.
- Hurt, Robert M. & R. Schuchman (1966), 'The Economic Rationale for Copyright', **56** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 421.
- Hurwitz, James D (1985), 'Abuse of Governmental Processes, the First Amendment, and the Boundaries of Noerr', **74** *Georgetown Law Journal*, 65.
- Hurwitz, James D. & William E. Kovacic (1982), 'Judicial Analysis of Predation: The Emerging Trends', **35** *Vand. L. Rev.*, 63.
- Hylton, Keith N. (1991), 'Economic Rents and Essential Facilities', in 1991 *B. Y. U. L. Rev.*, 1243.
- Immordino, Giovanni & Michele Polo (2008), 'Judicial Errors and Innovative Activity', CSEF Discussion Paper no. 196.
- Jacobs, Jonathan M. (2001), 'Do We Need a "New Economy" Exception for Antitrust?', **16** *Antitrust*, 89.
- Jacobs, Michael S. (1996), 'An Essay on the Normative Foundations of Antitrust Economics', **74** *North Carolina L. Rev.*, 219.
- Jaffe, Adam B., Josh Lerner & Scott Stern (eds.) (2006), *Innovation Policy and the Economy*, Boston, Ma, The MIT Press.
- Jaffe, Adam B. (2000), 'The U.S. Patent System in Transition: Policy Innovation and the Innovation Process', **29** *Research Policy*, 531.
- Jebson, Per & Robert Stevens (1996), 'Assumptions, Goals and Dominant Undertakings: The Regulation of Competition under Article 86 of the European Union', **64** *Antitrust L. J.*, 443.
- Johnson, William R. (1985), 'The Economics of Copying', **93** *J. Pol. Econ.*, 158, 172.
- Joliet, René (1970), *Monopolization and Abuse of Dominant Position*, The Hague, Martinus Nijhoff.

- Jones, Alison & Brenda Sufrin (2008), *EC Competition Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Jones, Charles I. & John C. Williams (1998), 'Measuring the Social Return to R&D', **113** *Quarterly J. Econ.*, 1119.
- Jorde, Thomas M. & David J. Teece (1993), 'Rule of Reason Analysis of Horizontal Arrangements: Agreements Designed to Advance Innovation and Commercialized Technology', **61** *Antitrust L. J.*, 579.
- Jorde, Thomas M. & David J. Teece (eds.) (1992), *Antitrust, Innovation, and Competitiveness*, Oxford, The Oxford University Press.
- Joskow, Paul L. (1991), 'The Role of Transaction Cost Economics in Antitrust and Public Utility Regulatory Policies', **7** *J. L. Econ. & Organ.*, 53.
- Jovanovic, Boyan (1982), 'Selection and the Evolution of Industry', **50** *Econometrica*, 649.
- Kallay, Dina (2004), *The Law and Economics of Antitrust and Intellectual Property. An Austrian Approach*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Kanter, Daniel (2006), 'IP and Compulsory Licensing on Both Sides of the Atlantic – An Appropriate Antitrust Remedy or Cutback to Innovation', **27(7)** *E.C.L.R.*, 351, 355.
- Kaplow, Louis (1985), 'Extension of Monopoly Power Through Leverage', **85** *Colum. L. Rev.*, 515.
- Karp, Larry S. & Jeffrey Perloff (1996), 'The Optimal Suppression of a Low-Cost Technology by a Durable-Good Monopoly', **27** *Rand Journal of Economics*, 346.
- Katz, Ariel (2002), 'Intellectual Property Rights and Antitrust Policy: Four Principles for a Complex World', **1** *Journal of Telecommunications & High Technology Law*, 325, 351.
- Katz, Michael L. & Joseph Farrell (2000), 'Innovation, Rent Extraction, and Integration in System Markets', **48** *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 413.
- Katz, Michael L. & Carl Shapiro (1994), 'Systems Competition and Network Effects', **5** *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 93.
- Katz, Michael L. & Carl Shapiro (1986), 'Product Compatibility Choice in a Market with Technological Choices', **38** *Oxford Economic Series*, 146.
- Katz, Michael L. & Carl Shapiro (1986), 'Network Externalities, Competition, and Compatibility', **75** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 93.
- Katz, Michael L. & Carl Shapiro (1986), 'Technology Adoption in the Presence of Network Externalities', **94** *J. Pol. Econ.*, 822.
- Katz, Michael L. & Howard A. Shelanski (2005), "'Schumpeterian" Competition and Antitrust Policy in High-Tech Markets', available at <http://ssrn.com>.

- Katz, Michael (1951), 'The Doctrine of Moral Rights and American Copyright Law – A Proposal', **24** *S. Cal. L. Rev.*, 375, 391–409.
- Kaufman, Bruce W. et al. (1970), 'Suppressing Technology: The Automobile Air Pollution Case', **3** *Antitrust Law and Economics Review*, 111.
- Kaufmann, Jack (1998), 'Afterword: Symposium on Antitrust and the Suppression of Technology in the United States and Europe: Is There a Remedy?', **66** *Antitrust L. J.*, 527.
- Kaysen, Carl, Donald F. Turner (1959), *Antitrust Policy; An Economic and Legal Analysis*, Cambridge, Ma, Harvard University Press.
- Kieff, F. Scott (2001), 'Facilitating Scientific Research: Intellectual Property Rights and the Norms of Science – A Response to Rai and Eisenberg', **95** *Nw. U. L. Rev.*, 691.
- Kintner, Earl W. & Joseph P. Bauer (1984), 'Antitrust Exemptions for Private Requests for Governmental Action: A Critical Analysis of the Noerr-Pennington Doctrine', **17** *U.C. Davis L. Rev.*, 549.
- Kirzner, Israel (ed.) (1982), *Methods, Process and Austrian Economics*, Lexington, Ma, Lexington Books.
- Kirzner, Israel (1973), *Competition and Entrepreneurship*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Kitch, Edmund W. (2000), 'Elementary and Persistent Errors in the Economic Analysis of Intellectual Property', **53** *Vand. L. Rev.*, 1727, 1740.
- Kitch, Edmund W. (1977), 'The Nature and Function of the Patent System', **20** *J. L. & Econ.*, 265.
- Klein, Christopher C. (1989), 'The Economics of Sham Litigation: Theory, Cases, and Policy', Bureau of Economics Staff – Report to the Federal Trade Commission 20, available at www.ftc.gov.
- Klein, Christopher C. (1986), 'Strategic Sham Litigation: Economic Incentives in the Context of the Case Law', **6** *International Review of Law and Economics*, 241.
- Klein, Joel & Preeta Bansal (1996), 'International Antitrust Enforcement in the Computer Industry', **41** *Vill. L. Rev.*, 173.
- Klein, Peter (2000), 'New Institutional Economics', in Boudewijn Bouckaert & Gerrit De Geest (eds.) *Encyclopedia of Law and Economics*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 456.
- Klemperer, Paul (1990), 'How Broad Should the Scope of Patent Protection Be?', **21** *Rand Journal of Economics*, 113.
- Kobak, James B., Jr., and Robert P. Reznick (2007), 'Federal Circuit Ruling Portends Changes to Generic Drug Patent Infringement Litigation and Walker Process Antitrust Claims', **19** *Intellectual Property & Technology Law Journal*, 1.
- Kobak, James B. Jr. (2001), 'The Federal Circuit as a Competition

- Law Court', available at www.hugheshubbard.com/files/tbl_s20NewsPring%5CPDFUpload103%5C701%5CJournal_Patent_Trademark_August_2001.pdf.
- Kobak, James B., Jr. (1994), 'Professional Real Estate Investors and the Future of Patent-Antitrust Litigation: Walker Process and Handgards Meet Noerr-Pennington', **63** *Antitrust L.J.*, 185.
- Kobak, James B., Jr. (1996), 'Running the Gauntlet: Antitrust and Intellectual Property Pitfalls on the Two Sides of the Atlantic', **64** *Antitrust L. J.*, 341.
- Kobayashi, Bruce H. (2005), 'Does Economics Provide a Reliable Guide to Regulating Commodity Bundling by Firms? A Survey of the Economic Literature', **1** *Journal of Competition Law & Economics*, 707.
- Kobayashi, Bruce H. (1997), 'Game Theory and Antitrust: A Post-Mortem', **5** *Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 411.
- Kolay, Sreya & Greg Shaffer (2003), 'Bundling and Menus of Two-Part Tariffs', **51** *J. Ind. Econ.*, 383.
- Kolasky, William J. (2004), 'What Is Competition? A Comparison of the U.S. and European Perspectives', **49** *Antitrust Bull.*, 29.
- Korah, Valentine (2002), 'The Interface between Intellectual Property and Antitrust: The European Experience', **69** *Antitrust L. J.*, 801.
- Kovacic, William (2007), 'The Intellectual DNA of Modern U.S. Competition Law for Dominant Firm Conduct: The Chicago/Harvard Double Helix', **2007** *Colum. Bus. L. Rev.*, 1.
- Kovacic, William E. (2003), 'The Modern Evolution of U.S. Competition Policy Enforcement Norms', **71** *Antitrust L.J.*, 377, 448–60.
- Kovacic, William E. & Carl Shapiro (2000), 'Antitrust Policy: A Century of Economic and Legal Thinking', **14** *J. Econ. Persp.*, 43.
- Kovacic, William E. (1992), 'The Influence of Economics on Antitrust Law', **30** *Econ. Inquir.*, 294.
- Krafft, Jackie (ed.) (2000), *The Process of Competition*, Cheltenham, *Edward Elgar*.
- Krueger, Anne O. (1974), 'The Political Economy of the Rent-Seeking Society', **64** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 291.
- Kukkonen, Carl A. (1998), 'The Use of Patent Licensing Center as an Intermediary for Facilitating the Licensing of Commercially Viable, Unused Patents', **3** *Va. J. L. & Tech.*, 10.
- Kwall, Roberta R. (1985), 'Copyright and the Moral Right: Is an American Marriage Possible?', **38** *Vand. L. Rev.*, 1.
- Kwoka, John E. & Lawrence J. White (eds.) (2003), *The Antitrust Revolution*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Lachmann, L. M. (1986), *The Market as an Economic Process*, New York, Basil Blackwell.

- Laffont, Jean-Jacques & Jean Tirole (2000), *Competition in Telecommunications*, Cambridge, Ma, The MIT Press.
- Lancaster, Kelvin (1990), 'The Economics of Product Variety: A Survey', **9** *Marketing Science*, 189.
- Lande, Robert H. (1988), 'The Rise and (Coming) Fall of Efficiency as the Ruler of Antitrust', **33** *Antitrust Bulletin*, 429.
- Lande, Robert H. (1982), 'Wealth Transfers as the Original and Primary Concern of Antitrust: The Efficiency Interpretation Challenged', **34** *Hastings L. J.*, 65.
- Landers, Amy L. (2006), 'Liquid Patents', **84** *Denver University L. Rev.*, 199.
- Landes, William M. (2004), 'Empirical Analysis of Intellectual Property Litigation: Some Preliminary Results', **41** *Hous. L. Rev.*, 749.
- Landes, William M. & Richard A. Posner (2003), *The Economic Structure of Intellectual Property Law*, Cambridge, Ma, Harvard University Press.
- Landes, William M. & Richard A. Posner (2003), 'Indefinitely Renewable Copyright', **70** *U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 471.
- Landes, William M. & Richard A. Posner (1989), 'An Economic Analysis of Copyright Law', **18** *J. Legal Studies*, 325.
- Landes, William M. & Richard A. Posner (1981), 'Market Power in Antitrust Cases', **94** *Harv. L. Rev.*, 937.
- Landes, William M. (1971), 'An Economic Analysis of the Courts', **14** *Journal of Law and Economics*, 61.
- Langer, Jurian (2007), *Tying and Bundling as a Leveraging Concern under EC Competition Law*, The Netherlands, Kluwer Law International, 49.
- Langlois, Richard N. (1986), *Economics as a Process: Essays in the New Institutional Economics*, New York, Cambridge University Press.
- Lao, Marina (2009), 'Networks, Access, and "Essential Facilities": From Terminal Road to Microsoft', **62** *SMU L. Rev.*, 557.
- Lao, Marina (2005), 'Aspen Skiing and Trinko: Antitrust Intent and Sacrifice', **73** *Antitrust L. J.*, 171.
- Lao, Marina (2003), 'Reforming the Noerr-Pennington Antitrust Immunity Doctrine', **55** *Rutgers L. Rev.*, 965.
- Lee, Kirby W. (2003), 'Permitted Use of Patented Inventions in the United States: Why Prescription Drugs Do Not Merit Compulsory Licensing', **36** *Ind. L. Rev.*, 175.
- Lehmann, Michael (1985), 'The Theory of Property Rights and the Protection of Intellectual and Industrial Property', **16** *International Review of Industrial Property and Copyright Law*, 525.
- Leibenstein, Harvey (1966), 'Allocative Efficiency vs. "X-Efficiency"', **56** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 392.
- Lemley, Mark A. (2005), 'Property, Intellectual Property, and Free Riding', **83** *Tex. L. Rev.*, 1031.

- Lemley, Mark A. & Carl Shapiro (2005), 'Probabilistic Patents', **19** *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 75.
- Lemley, Mark A. (2004), 'Ex ante versus ex post Justifications for Intellectual Property', **71** *U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 129.
- Lemley, Mark A. & David McGowan (1998), 'Legal Implications of Network Economic Effects', **86** *Cal. L. Rev.*, 479.
- Lemley, Mark A. (1997), 'The Economics of Improvement in Intellectual Property Law', **75** *Tex. L. Rev.*, 989.
- Leslie, Christopher R. (2006), 'The Anticompetitive Effects of Unenforced Invalid Patents', **91** *Minn. L. Rev.*, 101.
- Levey, Robert A. (2005), 'Microsoft and the Browser Wars', **31** *Conn. L. Rev.*, 1321.
- Lévêque, François & Howard Shelanski (eds.) (2005), *Antitrust and IPRs. EU and US Perspectives*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Lévêque, François (2005), 'Innovation, Leveraging and Essential Facilities: Interoperability Licensing in the EU Microsoft Case', in *Antitrust, Patents, and Copyright* 103, 107–08, available at www.ssrn.com.
- Lévêque, François and Yann Ménière (2004), *The Economics of Patents and Copyright*, Berkeley Electronic Press.
- Levin, Richard C. et al. (1987), 'Appropriating the Returns from Industrial Research and Development', **3** *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 783.
- Levin, Richard C. et al. (1985), 'R&D Appropriability, Opportunity and Market Structure: New Evidence on Some Schumpeterian Hypotheses', **75** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 20.
- Lewin, Peter (2007), 'Creativity or Coercion: Alternative Perspectives on Rights to Intellectual Property', **71** *Journal of Business Ethics*, 441.
- Liebowitz, Stan J. and Stephen E. Margolis (1995), 'Path Dependence, Lock-In and History', **11** *J. L. Econ. & Org.*, 205.
- Liebowitz, Stan J. and Stephen E. Margolis (1994), 'Network Externality: An Uncommon Tragedy', **8** *J. Econ. Persp.*
- Liebowitz, Stan J. and Stephen E. Margolis (1990), 'The Fable of the Keys', **33** *J. L. & Econ.*, 1.
- Lipsky, Abbott B. & J. Gregory Sidak (1999), 'Essential Facilities', **51** *Stan. L. Rev.*, 1187, 1248.
- Liu, Joseph P. (2002), 'Copyright and Time: A Proposal', **101** *Mich. L. Rev.*, 409.
- Loewenthal, Paul-John (2005), 'The Defence of "Objective Justification" in the Application of Article 82 EC', **28** *World Competition*, 455.
- Lofaro, Andrea & Derek Ridyard (2002), 'Beyond Bork: New Economic Theories of Exclusion in Merger Cases', **23** *European Competition Law Review*, 153.

- Lopatka, John E. (2000), 'United States v. IBM: A Monument to Arrogance', *68 Antitrust L.J.*, 145.
- Lopatka, John E. & William H. Page (1999), 'Antitrust on Internet Time: Microsoft and the Law of Economics and Exclusion', *7 Sup. Ct. Econ. Rev.*, 157.
- Lopatka, John E. & William H. Page (1995), 'Microsoft, Monopolization, and Network Externalities: Some Abuses of Economic Theory in Antitrust Decision Making', *40 Antitrust Bull.*, 317.
- Lundvall, Bengt-Åke (1992), *National Systems of Innovation: Towards a Theory of Innovation and Interactive Learning*, London: Pinter Publisher.
- Lowe, Philip & Lucas Peeperkorn (2005), 'Intellectual Property: How Special is it For the Purposes of Competition Law Enforcement?', available at [http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Research/Competition/2005\(papers\).shtml](http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Research/Competition/2005(papers).shtml).
- Lowe, Philip (2004), *DG Competition's Review of the Policy on Abuse of Dominance*, in Barry E. Hawk (ed) *International Antitrust & Policy: Annual Proceedings of the Fordham Corporate Law Institute 2003*, New York, Juris Publishing, 170.
- Lugard, Paul & Leigh Hancher (eds.) (2005) *On The Merits: Current Issues In Competition Law and Policy*, Mortsel, Intersentia .
- Machovec, Frank M. (1995), *Perfect Competition and the Transformation of Economics*, London, Routledge.
- Mackie-Mason, Jeffrey K. (2006), 'What to do about Unilateral Refusals to License?', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Malerba, Franco & Stefano Brusoni (eds.) (2007), *Perspectives on Innovation*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Marenco, Giuliano (2002), 'The Birth of Modern Competition Law in Europe', in Armin von Bogdandy, Petros C. Mavroidis, Yves Mény (eds), *European Integration and International Coordination: Studies in Transnational Economic Law in Honour of Claus Dieter Ehlermann*, The Hague, Kluwer International Law.
- Marengo, Luigi & Simonetta Vezzoso (2006), 'Dynamic Inefficiencies of Intellectual Property Rights from an Evolutionary/Problem-Solving Perspective: Some Insights on Computer Software and Reverse Engineering 2', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Marshall, Alfred (1920), *Principles of Economics*, London, Macmillan
- Mason, Edward S. (1949), 'The Current State of the Monopoly Problem in the United States', *62 Harv. L. Rev.*, 1265.
- Martin, Stephen (2007), 'The Goals of Antitrust and Competition Policy', available at <http://ssrn.com>.
- Matutes, Carmen & Pierre Regibeau (1996), 'A Selective Review of the Economics of Standardization. Entry Deterrence, Technological

- Progress and International Competition', **12** *European Journal of Political Economy*, 183.
- Matutes, Carmen & Pierre Regibeau (1988), 'Mix and Match Product Compatibility without Network Externalities', **19** *Rand Journal of Economics*, 221.
- May, James (1989), 'Antitrust in the Formative Era: Political and Economic Theory in Constitutional and Antitrust Analysis, 1880–1918', **50** *Ohio St. L. J.*, 257.
- Mazzoleni, Roberto & Richard R. Nelson (1998), 'The Benefits and Costs of Strong Patent Protection: A Contribution to the Current Debate', **27** *Research Policy*, 273.
- McAfee, Preston R, John McMillan, & Michael D. Whinston (1989), 'Multiproduct Monopoly, Commodity Bundling, and Correlation of Values', **104** *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 371.
- McAfee, Preston R., Hugo M. Mialon, Sue H. Mialon (2005), 'Private Antitrust Litigation: Procompetitive or Anticompetitive?', available at <http://userwww.service.emory.edu/~hmialon/PrivateAntitrustEnforcementProOrAntiCompetitivMcDonald>.
- McDonald, J. Bruce (2005), 'Section 2 and Article 82: Cowboys and Gentlemen', available at www.usdoj.gov/atr/public/speeches/210873.htm.
- McDonough III, James F. (2006), 'The Myth of the Patent Troll: An Alternative View of the Function of Patent Dealers in an Idea Economy', **56** *Emory L. J.*, 189.
- McFetridge, Donald G. & Douglas A. Smith (1980), 'Patents, Prospects, and Economic Surplus: A Comment', **23** *J. L. & Econ.*, 197.
- McGowan, David (1996), 'Regulating Competition in the Information Age: Computer Software as an Essential Facility Under the Sherman Act', **18** *Hastings Comm. & Ent. L.J.*, 771.
- McGowan, David & Mark A. Lemley (1994), 'Antitrust Immunity: State Action and Federalism, Petitioning and the First Amendment', **17** *Harv. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y*, 293.
- McNulty, Paul J. (1968), 'Economic Theory and the Meaning of Competition', **82** *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 639.
- McNulty, Paul J. (1967), 'A Note on the History of Perfect Competition', **75** *J. Pol. Econ.*, 395.
- McNutt, Patrick A.. (2005), *Law, Economics and Antitrust towards a New Perspective*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Meinberg, Henrik (2006), 'From Magill to IMS Health: The New Product Requirement and the Diversity of Intellectual Property Rights', **28(7)** *E.I.P.R.*, 398.
- Meinhardt, Peter (1946), *Inventions, Patents and Monopoly*, London, Stevens & Sons.

- Melamed, Douglas (2005), 'Exclusionary Conduct under the Antitrust Laws: Balancing, Sacrifice and Refusals to Deal', **20** *Berkeley Tech. L. J.*, 1247.
- Melamed, A. Douglas & Ali M. Stoeppelwerth (2002), 'The CSU Case: Facts, Formalism and the Intersection of Antitrust and Intellectual Property', **10** *George Mason L. Rev.*, 407.
- Melissa, K., 'Monopolistic Tendencies of Brand-Name Drug Companies in Pharmaceutical Industry', **15** *J.L. & COM.*, 357.
- Merges, Robert P. (2000), 'One Hundred Years of Solicitude: Intellectual Property Law', 1900–2000, **88** *Cal. L. Rev.*, 2187.
- Merges, Robert P. (2000), 'Intellectual Property Rights and the New Institutional Economics', **53** *Vand. L. Rev.*, 1857.
- Merges, Robert P. & Glenn H. Reynolds (2000), 'The Proper Scope of the Copyright and Patent Power', **37** *Harv. J. on Legis.*, 45.
- Merges, Robert P. (1994) 'Of Property Rules, Coase, and Intellectual Property', **94** *Colum. L. Rev.*, 2655.
- Merges, Robert P. and Richard R. Nelson (1994), 'On Limiting or Encouraging Rivalry in Technical Progress: the Effects of Patent Scope Decisions', **25** *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 1, 2.
- Merges, Robert P. (1992), 'Rent Control in the Patent District: Observations on the Grady-Alexander Thesis', **78** *Vand. L. Rev.*, 359.
- Merges, Robert P. & Richard R. Nelson (1990), 'On the Complex Economics of Patent Scope', **90** *Colum. L. Rev.*, 839.
- Merrill, Thomas W. (1998), 'Property and the Right to Exclude', **77** *Neb. L. Rev.*, 730.
- Mertikopoulou, Victoria (2007), 'DG's Competition Discussion Paper on the Application of Article 82 of the EC Treaty to Exclusionary Abuses: the Proposed Economic Reform from a Legal Point of View', **28** *ECLR*, 241.
- Meurer, Michael J. (2003), 'Controlling Opportunistic and Anti-Competitive Intellectual Property Litigation', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Meyer, David L. (1986), Note, 'A Standard for Tailoring Noerr-Pennington Immunity More Closely to the First Amendment Mandate', **95** *Yale L.J.* . 832.
- Michelman, Frank I. (1967), 'Property, Utility, and Fairness: Comments on the Ethical Foundations of "Just Compensation" Law', **80** *Harv. L. Rev.*, 1165.
- Miller III, James C. & Paul Pautler (1985), 'Predation: The Changing View in Economics and the Law', **28** *Journal of Law and Economics*, 495.
- Millon, David S. (1988), 'The Sherman Act and the Balance of Power', **61** *S. Cal. L. Rev.*, 1219, 1255.
- Minda, Gary (1995), 'Antitrust at Century's End', **48** *S.M.U. L. Rev.*, 1749.

- Minda, Gary (1990), 'Interest Groups, Political Freedom, and Antitrust: A Modern Reassessment of the Noerr-Pennington Doctrine', **41** *Hastings L.J.*, 905.
- Montagnani, Maria Lillà (2006), 'Predatory and Exclusionary Innovation: Which Legal Standard for Software Integration in the Context of the Competition v. Intellectual Property Rights Clash?', **37** *IIC*, 304.
- Monti, Girogio (2007), *EC Competition Law*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Moore, Adam D. (2001), *Intellectual Property & Information Control. Philosophic Foundations and Contemporary Issues*, Piscataway, NJ, Transaction Publishing Rutgers.
- Möschel, Wernhard (1989), 'Competition Policy from an Ordo Point of View', in Alan Peacock and Hans Willgerodt, (eds), *German Neo-liberals and the Social Market Economy*, London, MacMillan, 146.
- Moss, Scott (1984), 'The History of the Theory of the Firm from Marshall to Robinson and Chamberlin: The Source of Positivism in Economics', **51** *Economica*, 307.
- Motta, Massimo (2004), *Competition Policy*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Munzer, Stephen R. (ed.) (2001), *New Essays in the Legal and Political Theory of Property*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Muris, Timothy J. (2002), 'Generic Pharmaceuticals: Marketplace Access and Consumer Issues: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Commerce, Science & Transportation, 107th Cong. 23–24 (2002)', available at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/2002/04/pharmatestimony.htm>.
- Muris, Timothy J. (1997), 'Economics and Antitrust', **5** *Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 303.
- Myers, Gary (1992), 'Litigation as a Predatory Practice', **80** *Ky. L.J.*, 565.
- Nalebuff, Barry (2004), 'Bundling as an Entry Barrier', **119** *Quart. J. Bus. Econ.*, 159.
- Nalebuff, Barry (2003), 'Bundling, Tying and Portfolio Effects', available at www.berr.gov.uk/files/file14774.pdf.
- Nelson, Richard R. (1996), *The Sources of Economic Growth*, Cambridge, Ma, Harvard University Press, 87.
- Nelson, Richard R. & Sidney G. Winter (1982), *An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change*, Cambridge, Ma, Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Nelson, Richard R. (1959), 'The Simple Economics of Basic Scientific Research', **67** *J. Pol. Econ.*, 297.
- Netanel, Neil Weinstock (1996), 'Copyright and a Democratic Civil Society', **106** *Yale L. J.*, 283.

- Newcomb Hohfeld, Wesley (1917), 'Fundamental Legal Conceptions as Applied in Judicial Reasoning', **26** *Yale L.J.*, 710.
- Newcomb Hohfeld, Wesley (1913), 'Some Fundamental Legal Conceptions as Applied in Judicial Reasoning', **23** *Yale L.J.*, 16.
- Niels, Gunnar & Adriaan Kate (2004), 'Introduction: Antitrust in the U.S. and the EU – Converging or Diverging Paths?', **49** *Antitrust Bull.*, 1.
- Nordhaus, William D. (1969), *Invention, Growth, and Economic Welfare*, Boston, Ma, The MIT Press.
- O'Donnell, S. W.(2004), 'Unified Theory of Antitrust Counterclaims in Patent Litigation', **9** *Virginia Journal Of Law & Technology*, 1.
- O'Donoghue, Robert & Jorge A. Padilla (2004), *The Law and Economics of Article 82*, Oxford, Hart Publishing.
- OECD (2007), 'Guidance to Business on Monopolization and Abuse of Dominance', available at <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/50/33/40880976.pdf>.
- OECD (2005), 'Competition on the Merits', available at <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/7/13/35911017.pdf>.
- Oettinger, Nicholas (2001), 'In re Independent Service Organizations Antitrust Litigation', **16** *Berkeley Tech. L.J.*, 323.
- Orderover, Janusz A., Garth Saloner & Steven Salop (1990), 'Equilibrium Vertical Foreclosure', **80** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 127.
- Orderover, Janusz A. (1985), 'Economic Foundations and Considerations in Protecting Industrial and Intellectual Property', **53** *Antitrust L. J.*, 503.
- Orderover, Janusz A., Alan O. Sykes, & Robert D. Willig (1983), 'Predatory Systems Rivalry: A Reply', **83** *Colum. L. Rev.*, 1150.
- Orderover, Janusz & Robert Willig (1981), 'An Economic Definition of Predation Pricing and Product Innovation', **91** *Yale L. J.*, 8.
- Owen, Bruce M. & Ronald Braeutigam (1978), *The Regulation Game: Strategic Use of the Administrative Process*, Cambridge, Ma: Ballinger Pub. Co.
- Page, William H. (1996), 'Areeda, Chicago, and Antitrust Injury: Economic Efficiency and Legal Process', **41** *Antitrust Bull.*, 909.
- Page, William H. (1991), 'Ideological Conflict and the Origins of Antitrust Policy', **66** *Tul. L. Rev.* 1.
- Palmer, Tom G. (1990), 'Are Patents and Copyrights Morally Justified?', **13** *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*, 817.
- Palomeras, Neus (2003), 'Sleeping Patents: Any Reason to Wake Up?', Working Paper no. 506, available at www.ebcenter.org.
- Pate, R. Hewitt (2005), 'Exclusionary Conduct: Refusals to Deal and Bundling and Loyalty Discounts', Testimony before the Antitrust Modernization Commission (September 29, 2005).
- Pate, R. Hewitt (2005), 'Competition and Intellectual Property in the US:

- Licensing Freedom and the Limits of Antitrust', available at [http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Research/Competition/2005\(papers\).shtml](http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Research/Competition/2005(papers).shtml).
- Pate, R. Hewitt 2004, 'The Common Law Approach and Improving Standards for Analyzing Single Firm Conduct', in Barry E. Hawk (ed), *International Law Policy: Fordham Corporate Law 2003*, New York, Juris Publishing, 195.
- Pate, R. Hewitt (2002), 'Refusals to Deal and Intellectual Property Rights', **10** *Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 429.
- Patry, William F. (1996), 'Copyright and the Legislative Process: A Personal Perspective', **14** *Cardozo Arts & Ent. L. J.*, 139.
- Patterson, Mark R. (2003), 'The Sacrifice of Profits in Non-Price Predation', **18** *Antitrust*, 37.
- Peltzman, Sam (1996), 'The Handbook of Industrial Organization: A Review Article', **99** *J. Pol. Econ.*, 201.
- Peritz, Rudolph (2001), 'Re-Thinking US v Microsoft in Light of the EC Case', available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=571803>.
- Peritz, Rudolph J. R. (1996), *Competition Policy in America 1888–1992: History, Rhetoric, Law*, New York, Oxford University Press.
- Perrine, James (1995), 'Defining the Sham Litigation to the Noerr-Pennington Antitrust Immunity Doctrine: An Analysis of the Professional Real Estate Investors v. Columbia Pictures Industries Decision', **46** *Ala. L. Rev.*, 815.
- Peterson, Shorey (1957), 'Antitrust and the Classic Model', **47** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 60.
- Piraino, Thomas A. (1998), 'An Antitrust Remedy for Monopoly Leveraging by Electronic Networks', **93** *Nw. U. L. Rev.*, 1.
- Pitofsky, Robert (ed.) (2008), *How the Chicago School Overshot the Mark*, New York, Oxford University Press.
- Pitofsky, Robert (2005), 'Past, Present and Future Antitrust Enforcement at the Federal Trade of Commission', **72** *U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 209.
- Pitofsky, Robert, Donna Patterson & Jonathan Hooks (2002), 'The Essential Facilities Doctrine Under U.S. Antitrust Law', **70** *Antitrust L.J.*, 443.
- Pitofsky, Robert (2001), 'Challenges of the New Economy: Issues at the Intersection of Antitrust and Intellectual Property', **68** *Antitrust L.J.*, 913, 919–23.
- Pitofsky, Robert (2001), 'Antitrust and Intellectual Property: Unresolved Issues at the Heart of the New Economy', **16** *Berkeley Tech. L. J.*, 535.
- Pitofsky, Robert (1979), *The Political Content of Antitrust*, **127** *U. Pa. L. Rev.*, 1051.
- Plant, Arnold (1934), 'The Economic Theory Concerning Patents for Inventions', **1** *Economica*, 67.

- Polanyi, Michael (1958), *Personal Knowledge. Towards a Post Critical Philosophy*, London, Routledge.
- Ponsoldt, James F. & Christopher D. David (2007), 'A Comparison between U.S. and E.U. Antitrust Treatment of Tying Claims against Microsoft: When should the Bundling of Computer Software be Permitted', *27 Nw. J. Int'l L. & Bus.*, 421.
- Posner, Richard A. (2000), 'Antitrust in the New Economy 3', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Posner, Richard A. (2005), 'Intellectual Property: The Law and Economics Approach', *19 Journal of Economic Perspectives* 57.
- Posner, Richard A. (2003), *Economic Analysis of Law*, New York, Aspen Law & Business.
- Posner, Richard A. (1979), 'The Chicago School of Antitrust Analysis', *127 U. Pa. L. Rev.*, 925.
- Posner, Richard A. (1976), *Antitrust Law*, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- Posner, Richard (1975), 'The Social Costs of Monopoly and Regulation', *83 J. Pol. Econ.*, 807.
- Posner, Richard A. (1973), 'An Economic Approach to Legal Procedure and Judicial Administration', *2 Journal of Legal Studies*, 399.
- Priest, George L. (1986), 'What Economists Can Tell Lawyers About Intellectual Property: Comment on Cheung', *8 Res. L. & Econ.*, 19.
- Radin, Margaret Jane (1982), 'Property and Personhood', *34 Stan. L. Rev.*, 957.
- Rahnasto, Ilkka (2002), *Intellectual Property Rights, External Effects and Antitrust Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 49.
- Rasmussen, Eric (1991), 'Naked Exclusion', *81 Am. Econ. Rev.*, 1137.
- Reinganum, Jennifer F. (1983), 'Uncertain Innovation and the Persistence of Monopoly', *73 Am. Econ. Rev.*, 741.
- Reitzig, Marcus et al. (2006), 'On Sharks, Trolls, and Other Patent Animals – "Being Infringed" as a Normatively Induced Innovation Exploitation Strategy', available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=885914>.
- Rey, Patrick & Jean Tirole (2006), 'A Primer on Foreclosure 1', available at <http://idei.fr/doc/by/tirole/primer.pdf>.
- Rey, Patrick, Paul Seabright, & Jean Tirole (2001), 'The Activities of a Monopoly Firm in Adjacent Competitive Markets: Economic Consequences and Implications for Competition Policy', available at <http://ideas.repec.org/p/ide/wpaper/660.html>.
- Ridyard, Derek (2004), 'Compulsory Access Under EC Competition Law – A New Doctrine of "Convenient Facilities" and the Case for Price Regulation', *25(11) European Competition L. Rev.*, 670.
- Ritter, Cyril (2005), 'Refusal to Deal and "Essential Facilities": Does

- Intellectual Property Require Special Deference Compared to Tangible Property?', 28(3) *World Competition*, 281.
- Robledo, Julio R. (2005), 'Strategic Patents and Asymmetric Litigation Costs as Entry Deterrence Instruments', **15** *Economics Bulletin*, 1, 2.
- Roeder, Martin A. (1940), 'The Doctrine of Moral Rights: A Study in the Law of Artists, Authors and Creators', **53** *Harv. L. Rev.*, 554.
- Röller, LarsHendrik & Oliver Stehmann (2005), 'The Year 2005 at DG Competition: The Trend towards a more Effects-based Approach', **29** *Review of Industrial Organization*, 281.
- Rommer, Paul (1992), 'When Should We Use Intellectual Property Rights', **92** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 213.
- Rose, Mark (1993), *Authors and Owners: The Invention of Copyright*, Cambridge, Ma, Harvard University Press.
- Rousseva, Ekaterina (2010), *Rethinking Exclusionary Abuses in EU Competition Law*, New York, Hart Publishing.
- Rousseva, Ekaterina (2006), 'The Concept of "Objective Justification" of an Abuse of a Dominant Position: Can It Help to Modernize the Analysis under Article 82 EC?', **2** *Comp. L. Rev.*, 27.
- Rubinfeld, Daniel L. (2001), 'Antitrust Policy', in Neil J. Smelser and Paul B. Baltes (ed.), *1 International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences* 553.
- Rubinfeld, Daniel L. (1998), 'Antitrust Enforcement in Dynamic Network Industries', **43** *Antitrust Bull.*, 859.
- Sakakibara, Mariko & Lee Branstetter (2001), 'Do Stronger Patents Induce More Innovation? Evidence from the 1988 Japanese Patent Law Reforms', **32** *Rand Journal of Economics*, 77.
- Salant, Stephen (1984), 'Preemptive Patenting and the Persistence of Monopoly: Comment', **74** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 247.
- Salinger, Michael A. (1995), 'A Graphical Analysis of Bundling', **68** *J. Bus.*, 85.
- Salop, Steven C. (2010), 'An Administrable and Efficient Standard for Refusals to Deal and Price Squeezers by an Unregulated, Vertically Integrated Monopolist', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Salop, Steven C. (2006), 'Exclusionary Conduct, Effect on Consumers, and the Flawed Profit-Sacrificed Standard', **73** *Antitrust L. J.*, 311.
- Salop, Steven C. & R. Craig Romaine (1999), 'Preserving Monopoly: Economic Analysis, Legal Standards, and Microsoft', **7** *Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 617.
- Salop, Steven C. (1986), 'Measuring Ease of Entry', **31** *Antitrust Bull.*, 551.
- Salop, Steven C. and Lawrence J. White (1986), 'Economic Analysis of Private Antitrust Litigation', **74** *Geo. L.J.*, 1001.

- Salop, Steven C. & David Scheffman (1983), 'Raising Rivals' Costs', *73 Am. Econ. Rev.*, 267.
- Salop, Steven C. (ed.) (1981), *Strategy, Predation, and Antitrust Analysis*, Washington, Federal Trade Commission, Bureau of Economics.
- Samsung X. Shi (2008), 'Knowledge and Its Inventors/Carriers in Evolutionary Economics: Towards A Relational Theory of Authorship and Copyright 2', available at www.ssrn.com.
- Samuelson, Pam (2003), 'Copyright and Freedom of Expression in Historical Perspective', *10 J. Intell. Prop. L.*, 319.
- Samuelson, Pamela et al. (1994), 'A Manifesto Concerning the Legal Protection of Computer Programs', *94 Colum. L. Rev.*, 2308.
- Sanders, Barkey S. (1964), 'Patterns of Commercial Exploitation of Patented Inventions by Large and Small Corporations', *8 Pat., Trademark, & Copyright J. Res. & Educ.*, 51.
- Sanfilippo, Luca (1995), 'Abuse of Freedom of Conduct: Neighbouring Markets and Application of Article 86', *EBLR*, 6.
- Sankaran, Sri Krishna (2000), 'Patent Flooding in the United States and Japan', *40 IDEA, The Journal of Law and Technology*, 393.
- Saunders, Kurt M. & Linda Levine (2002), 'Better, Faster, Cheaper – Later: What Happens When Technologies Are Suppressed', *11 Mich. Telecomm. & Tech. L. Rev.*, 1.
- Saunders, Kurt M. (2002), 'Patent Nonuse and the Role of Public Interest as a Deterrent to Technology Suppression', *15 Harv. J.L. & Tech.*, 389.
- Schanzenbach, Max (2002), 'Network Effects and Antitrust Law: Predation, Affirmative Defenses, and the Case of U.S. v. Microsoft', *2002 Stan. Tech. L. Rev.*, 4.
- Schauer, Frederick (1978), 'Fear, Risk and the First Amendment: Unraveling the "Chilling Effect"', *58 B.U. L. Rev.*, 685.
- Scheffman, David T. & Richard S. Higgins (2003), 'Twenty Years of Raising Rivals' Costs: History, Assessment, and Future', *12 Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 371.
- Schelling, Thomas C. (1960), *The Strategy of Conflict*, Cambridge Ma, Harvard University Press.
- Scherer, Frederic M. (2005), *Patents. Economics, Policy and Measurement*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Scherer, Frederic M. (2005), 'Technological Innovation and Monopolization', available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1103594>.
- Scherer, Frederic M. & Dietmar Harhoff (2000), 'Technology Policy for a World of Skew-distributed Outcomes', *29 Res. Policy*, 559.
- Scherer, Frederic M. (1992), 'Schumpeter and Plausible Capitalism', *30 Journal of Economic Literature*, 1416.

- Scherer, Frederic M. & David Ross (1990), *Industrial Market Structure and Economic Performance*, Boston, Houghton-Mifflin Company.
- Scherer, Frederic M. (1987), 'Antitrust, Efficiency, and Progress', **62** *N. Y. U. L. Rev.*, 998.
- Scherer, Frederic M. (1979), 'The Welfare Economics of Product Variety: An Application to the Ready-to-Eat Cereals Industry', **28** *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, 113.
- Scherer, Frederic M. (1972), 'Nordhaus's Theory of Optimal Patent Life: A Geometric Reinterpretation', **62** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 422.
- Scherer, Frederic M. (1967), 'Market Structure and the Employment of Scientists and Engineers', **57** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 524.
- Schlesinger Jr., Arthur (1986), *The Cycles of American History*, Boston, Houghton Mifflin.
- Schmalensee, Richard A. (2000), 'Antitrust Issues in Schumpeterian Industries', **90** *Am. Econ. Rev.*, 192.
- Schmalensee, Richard & Robert D. Willig (eds.) (1989), *Handbook of Industrial Organization*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- Schmalensee, Richard (1984), 'Gaussian Demand and Commodity Bundling', **57** *Journal of Business*, 211.
- Schmalensee, Richard (1982), 'Commodity Bundling by Single Product Monopolies', **25** *J. L. & Econ.*, 67.
- Schmalensee, Richard (1978), 'Entry Deterrence in the Ready-to-Eat Breakfast Cereal Industry', **9** *The Bell Journal of Economics*, 305.
- Schmookler, Jacob (1966), *Invention and Economic Growth*, Cambridge, Ma, Harvard University Press, 166.
- Schumpeter, Joseph (1942), *Capitalism, Socialism, and Democracy*, London, Routledge.
- Screpanti, Ernesto & Stefano Zamagni (2005), *An Outline of the History of Economic Thought*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Scotchmer, Suzanne (1991), 'Standing on the Shoulders of Giants: Cumulative Research and the Patent Law', **5** *J. Econ. Persp.*, 29.
- Scotchmer, Suzanne & Jerry Green (1990), 'Novelty and Disclosure in Patent Law', **21** *Rand J. Econ.*, 131.
- Schwartz, Louis B. (1979), "'Justice" and other Non-Economic Goals of Antitrust', **127** *U. Pa. L. Rev.*, 1076.
- Shavell, Steven & Tanguy van Ypersele (2001), 'Rewards versus Intellectual Property Rights', **44** *J. L. & Econ.*, 525. Sidak, Joseph G. (2001), 'An Antitrust Rule for Software Integration', **18** *Yale Journal on Regulation*, 1, 15–20.
- Shavell, Steven (1982), 'Suit, Settlement, and Trial: A Theoretical Analysis under Alternative Methods for the Allocation of Legal Costs', **2** *Journal of Legal Studies*, 55.

- Shughart II, William F. (2000), 'The Fleeting Reagan Antitrust Revolution', **45** *Antitrust Bulletin*, 271.
- Shughart II, William F. (1990), 'Private Antitrust Enforcement: Compensation, Deterrence, or Extortion?', **13** *Regulation*, 53.
- Sidak, Joseph G. (1983), 'Debunking Predatory Innovation', **83** *Colum. L. Rev.*, 1121.
- Silbey, Jessica (2008), 'The Mythical Beginnings of Intellectual Property', **15** *Geo. Mason L. Rev.*, 319.
- Simmons, Ian, Patrick Lynch, & Theodore H. Frank (2002), "'I Know It When I See It": Defining And Demonstrating "Blocking patents"', **16** *Antitrust*, 48.
- Sinclair, Duncan (2004), 'Abuse of Dominance at a Crossroads – Potential Effect, Object and Appreciability under Article 82 EC', **8** *E.C.L.R.*, 491.
- Smith, Neil A. (1971), 'Fraud upon the Patent Office as a Violation of the Sherman Antitrust Law', **53** *J. Pat. and Trademark Off. Soc'y*, 423.
- Sobel, Gerald (1984), 'The Antitrust Interface with Patents and Innovation: Acquisition of Patents, Improvement Patents and Grant-Backs, Non-Use, Fraud on the Patent Office, Development of New Products and Joint Research', **53** *Antitrust L. J.*, 681.
- Solow, Robert M. (1957), 'Technical Change and the Aggregate Production Function', **39** *Rev. of Economics and Statistics*, 312.
- Solow, Robert M. (1956), 'A Contribution to the Theory of Economic Growth', **70** *Quarterly J. Econ.*, 65.
- Soma, John T. & Kevin B. Davis (2000), 'Network Effects in Technology Markets: Applying the Lessons of Intel and Microsoft to Future Clashes between Antitrust and Intellectual Property', **8** *J. Intell. Prop. L.*, 1.
- Sosnick, Stephen (2006), 'A Critique of the Concept of Workable Competition', **72** *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 380.
- Steinhauer, Esther H. (2006), 'Is Noerr-Pennington Immunity Still a Viable Defense Against Antitrust Claims Arising From Hatch-Waxman Litigation?', **61** *Food and Drug Law Journal*, 679.
- Steinman, David R. & Danielle S. Fitzpatrick (2001), 'Antitrust Counterclaims in Patent Infringement Cases: A Guide to Walker Process and Sham-Litigation Claims', **10** *Tex. Intell. Prop. L.J.*, 95.
- Stemple, Dayton R. (1952), 'Nonuser of Paper Patents', **34** *J. Pat. Off. Soc'y*, 23.
- Sterk, Stewart E. (1996), 'Rhetoric and Reality in Copyright Law', **94** *Mich. L. Rev.*, 1197.
- Stigler, George 1987, 'Competition', in John Eatwell, Murray Milgate & Peter Newman (eds), *The New Palgrave. A Dictionary of Economics*, London, Macmillan Press, 51.

- Stigler, George J. (1968), *The Organization of Industry*, Chicago, The University of Chicago Press, 67.
- Stigler, George J. (1964), 'US v. Loew's Inc.: A Note on Block Booking', **1963** *Supreme Court Review*, 152.
- Stigler, George J. (1957), 'Perfect Competition. Historically Contemplated', **65** *Journal of Political Economy*, 1.
- Stigler, George J. (1946), *The Theory of Price*, New York, Macmillan.
- Stiglitz, Joseph (1987), 'Technological Change, Sunk Costs, and Competition', *Brookings Papers on Econ. Activity*, 883.
- Stratakis, Alexandros (2006), 'Comparative Analysis of the US And EU Approach and Enforcement of the Essential Facilities Doctrine', **27(8)** *E. C. L. R.*, 434, 436.
- Stremersch, Stefan & Gerard J. Tellis (2002), 'Strategic Bundling of Products and Prices: A New Synthesis for Marketing', **66** *J. Mkt'g*, 55.
- Sullivan, E. Thomas (1990), *The Political Economy of the Sherman Act: The First One Hundred Years*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Sullivan, Lawrence A. (1980), 'Antitrust, Microeconomics, and Politics: Reflection on Some Recent Relationships', **68** *Cal. L. Rev.*, 1, 2.
- Sunder, Madhavi (2006), 'IP³', **59** *Stanford L. R.*, 257.
- Symeonides, George (1996), 'Innovation, Firm Size and Market Structure: Schumpeterian Hypotheses and Some New Themes § 44', Economics Department Working Papers No. 161, London School of Economics.
- Szulanski, Gabriel (2000), 'Appropriability and the Challenge of Scope: Banc One Routinizes Replication', in Giovanni Dosi, Richard R. Nelson, and Sidney G. Winter, (eds), *The Nature and Dynamics of Organizational Capabilities*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 69.
- Teece, David J. & Mary Coleman (1998), 'The Meaning of Monopoly: Antitrust Analysis in High-Technology Industries', **18** *Antitrust Bull.*, 801.
- Teece, David J. (1986), 'Profiting from Technological Innovation: Implications for Integration, Collaboration, Licensing and Public Policy', in **15** *Research Policy*, 285.
- Temple Lang, John (2004), 'Anticompetitive Non-Pricing Abuses Under European and National Antitrust Law', in Barry E. Hawk (ed.), *2003 Fordham Corporate Law Institute 235–240*, New York, Juris Publishing.
- Temple Lang, John (2003), 'Anticompetitive Abuses Involving Intellectual Property Rights', available at, <http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Research/Competition/2003/200306COMP-TempleLang-sIII.pdf>.
- Temple Lang, John & Robert O'Donoghue (2002), 'Defining Legitimate Competition: How to Clarify Pricing Abuses Under Article 82 EC', **26** *Fordham International Law Journal*, 83.
- Thorelli, Hans B. (1955), *The Federal Antitrust Policy: Origination of an American Tradition*, Baltimore, Md.: The Johns Hopkins Press.

- Tirole, Jean (2004), 'The Analysis of Tying Cases: A Primer 15', available at <http://www.ssrn.com/>.
- Tirole, Jean (1988), *The Theory of Industrial Organization*, Boston, The MIT Press.
- Torremans, Paul (2008), *Intellectual Property Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Touffut, Jean-Phillipe (ed.) (2003), *Institutions, Innovation and Growth: Selected Economic Papers*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Tullock, Gordon (1967), 'The Welfare Costs of Tariffs, Monopolies and Theft', *5 W. Econ. J.*, 224.
- Ullrich, Hanns (1989), 'The Importance of Industrial Property Law and Other Legal Measures in the Promotion of Technological Innovation', *28 Industrial Property* 102–103.
- Van Bael, Ivo, & Jean-Francois Bellis (2004), *Competition Law of the European Community*, The Hague, Kluwer Law International.
- Van Cayseele, Patrick, & Roger Van den Bergh (1999), 'Antitrust Law', in Boudewijn Bouckaert & Gerrit De Geest (eds.), *Encyclopedia of Law and Economics*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 467.
- Van Cayseele, Patrick (1998), 'Market Structure and Innovation: A Survey', *146 De Economist*, 391.
- Van den Bergh, Roger J. & Peter D. (2006), *Camesasca, European Competition Law and Economics: A Comparative Perspective*, London, Sweet and Maxwell.
- Van den Bergh, Roger (1996), 'Modern Industrial Organization versus Old-Fashioned European Competition Law', *17 European Competition Law Review*, 75.
- Venit, James S. (2005), 'Article 82: The Last Frontier – Fighting Fire with Fire?', *28 Fordham Int'l L. J.*, 1157.
- Vezzoso, Simonetta (2006), 'The Incentives Balance Test in the EU Microsoft Case: a Pro-Innovation "Economic-Based" Approach', *27 E.C.L.R.*, 382.
- Vickers, John (1995), 'The Concepts of Competition', *47 Oxford Economic Papers*, 1.
- Vickers, John (1985), 'Pre-Emptive Patenting, Joint Ventures, and the Persistence of Oligopoly', *3 International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 261.
- Viscusi, W. Kip, John M. Vernon & Joseph E. Harrington, Jr (2005), *Economics of Regulation and Antitrust*, Boston, The MIT Press.
- Völcker, Sven (2004), 'Developments in EC Competition Law in 2003: An Overview', *41 Common Market Law Review*, 1027.
- von Hippel, Eric (1998), *The Sources of Innovation*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 53.

- von Mises, Ludwig (1949), *Human Action: A Treatise on Economics*, New Haven: Yale University Press.
- von Neumann, John & Oskar Morgenstern (1944), *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*, Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press.
- von Weizsacker, Carl C. (1980), *Barriers to Entry. A Theoretical Treatment*, Berlin, Springer-Verlag.
- Vossestein, Adrian J. (2000), 'Corporate Efforts to Influence Public Authorities, and the EC rules on Competition', *37 Common Market Law Review*, 1383.
- Walker, Mike & Simon Bishop (2002), *The Economics EC Competition Law: Concepts, Application and Measurement*, London, Sweet and Maxwell.
- Wallerstein, Mitchel B., Mary Ellen Mogee, & Roberta Schoen (eds.) (1993), *Global Dimensions of Intellectual Property Rights in Science & Technology*, Washington, National Academies Press.
- Watt, Richard (2000), *Copyright and Economic Theory: Friends or Foes?*, Cheltenham, Elgar Edward Publishing.
- Weber Waller, Spencer (2008), 'Areeda, Epithets, and Essential Facilities', *2008 Wis. L. Rev.*, 359.
- Weeds, Helen (1999), 'Sleeping Patents and Compulsory Licensing: An Options Analysis', available at <http://privatewww.essex.ac.uk/~hfweeds/sleeping%20patents.pdf>.
- Weinreb, Lloyd (1998), 'Copyright for Functional Expression', *111 Harv. L. Rev.*, 1149.
- Werden, Gregory J. (2006), 'Identifying Single-Firm Exclusionary Conduct: From Vague Concepts to Administrable Rules', 33rd Annual Conference on International Antitrust Law & Policy, Fordham Corporate Law Institute, New York, September 14–15.
- Werden, Gregory J. (2001), 'Network Effects and Conditions of Entry, Lessons from the Microsoft Case', *69 Antitrust L. J.*, 87.
- Werden, Gregory J. (1987), 'The Law and Economics of the Essential Facility Doctrine', *32 St. Louis U. L.J.*, 433.
- Whinston, Michael D. (1990), 'Tying, Foreclosure, and Exclusion', *80 Am. Econ. Rev.*, 837, 845.
- Whish, Richard (2008), *Competition Law*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Willard K. Tom & Joshua A. Newberg (1997), 'Antitrust and Intellectual Property: From Separate Spheres to Unified Field', *66 Antitrust L.J.*, 167.
- Williamson, Oliver (1987), 'Delimiting Antitrust', *76 Geo. L. J.*, 271.
- Williamson, Oliver E. (1972), 'Dominant Firms and the Monopoly Problem: Market Failure Considerations', *85 Harv. L. Rev.*, 1512.
- Winter, Ralph (1984), 'The Use of Adjudicative Processes to Injure

- Competitors', in *The Political Economy of Regulation: Private Interests in the Regulatory Process* 278 (FTC Law & Econ. Conf.,)
- Winter, Sydney G. (2006), 'The Logic of Appropriability: From Schumpeter to Arrow to Teece', **35** *Research Policy*, 1110.
- Wood, Diane P. (2004), 'The U.S. Antitrust Laws in a Global Context', **2004** *Colum. Bus. L. Rev.*, 265.
- Yen, Alfred C. (1990), 'Restoring the Natural Law: Copyright as Labor and Possession', **91** *Ohio St. L. J.*, 517.
- Zerbe, Jr, Richard O. & William Kovacic (eds.) (1995), *Research in Law and Economics*, Amsterdam, Elsevier.

