Index

Aassve, A. 49
active labour market policy 269, 273–4
and poverty reduction 203–4, 269, 272–3
Sweden 46
activities of daily living (ADL) 146–7, 148
adequate pension income 69
see also pensions
affective solidarity 17
age
age limits and child allowance 168
age orientation of welfare states 6–8
pension bias 56–9
of child and child allowance 167–8
of child and employment of mother 231–4
and elder care from outside the household 151–2
groups 24–5
attitudes to the welfare state 247–57
retirement age 73, 74, 75, 113
and risk of poverty 107–8
age-specific benefits comparison approach 7–8
ageing population 1, 47, 48
AGIRC (Association générale des institutions de retraite des cadres) 72
Alber, J. 68, 125
Albert, M. 51
Albrecht, J.W. 209
allocation parentale d’éducation (APE) 211–12
allocation personnalisée d’autonomie (APA) 130–1, 132, 133–4, 137, 160
Anderson, K.M. 76
Andersson, G. 213
Anglo-Saxon means-tested model 42
Anttonen, A. 42, 125, 231
ARRCO (Association des régimes de retraite complémentaire) 71–2
associative solidarity 17
Atkinson, A.B. 32
Attias-Donfut, C. 194, 225, 260
attitudes
towards the family 63–7
towards the welfare state 247–60, 285–6
data and methods 248–9, 250
financing care-giving 247–8, 250, 253–6, 260, 285
pension system 247, 250, 256–7, 260, 285
perception of generational conflict 250, 257–9
reconciliation of family work and employment 249–52
availability of public childcare 218–21
average gross income 170–1
Baclet, A. 173, 174
Bahle, T. 130, 144, 166, 173, 178, 217, 219
Baldwin, P. 247
Baltes, P.B. 17
Barbagli, M. 198
Barbier, J.-C. 45
Barrett, M. 19
Bastagli, F. 84
Beck-Gernsheim, E. 244
Beckmann, P. 216
Behrendt, C. 100, 118
beneficiaries, contributors and 1–2
Bengtson, V.L. 17, 60
Berg, S. 135
Berger, B. 278
Berger, P.L. 278
‘best practice’ models, difficulty in transferring 262–5
Index

Bettio, F. 135
Beveridge Report 67
Beveridgian countries 38–9
Bhaumik, S.K. 9, 195
Bianchi, S. 228
Bien, W. 221
birth order 167–70
birthrates, falling 1, 244
  see also fertility rates
Bismarckian countries 38–9
Blau, F.D. 208
Blome, A. 252
Blossfeld, H.-P. 54
Bothfeld, S. 211, 216
Bowles, S. 195
Bradshaw, J. 165, 175
calculation of pension 73, 75–6, 76–8, 113
Campbell, L.D. 149, 154
care 18
  childcare see childcare
defining 127
elder care see elder care
  exchange relations 9–10
care arrangements 147–55
care vouchers 137
cash payments for family care 141
central European subsidiarity model 42
child allowance 166–71
child deduction 174
child poverty see poverty
childcare 23, 29, 165, 208–42, 280
by grandmothers 226–8
continuation of gender-specific division of labour 240–1
coordination of family policy and resolution of compatibility dilemma 239
data 37
in the family see family
family policy and intergenerational relations 239–40
and gainful employment of mothers 224–38
  childcare options and mothers’ employment participation 229–38
public childcare see public childcare
state family-oriented benefits 208–24
  parental leave 165, 208–9, 210–17, 271, 272, 275
women’s old-age security 78–80
children
  elder care 153, 154
  female employment and 55, 56
  household structures 49–50
  leaving the parental home 49–50
  private transfers to 9, 23, 194–202, 205, 246, 277, 282
church-based care services 134
Chvojka, E. 62
comparable data 31–2
compatibility problem 13, 208–42, 274–6
attitudes to state support to combine family obligations and employment 249–52
non-contributory periods to pension 73, 78–81, 113, 114–15
compensatory mechanisms 73, 78–81, 113, 114–15
crisis, generational see generational conflict
Conrad, C. 244
conservative-corporatist welfare state regime 39–41
contact between generations 60–2, 156–9
contribution sociale généralisée 45
contributors, and beneficiaries 1–2
coordination of family policy 239, 273–4
costs, childcare 221–4
coverage 30
  rate for pensions 91–3
  rate for public childcare 219–20
crowding in 16
crowding out 15–16
  of family in elder care 155–9
Dallinger, U. 248
data 31–7
comparability 31–2
Datta Gupta, N. 209
daughters-in-law, care by 153, 154
decommodification 11
defamilialism 15, 42
Index

defamilialization 11, 13‒16
elder care 155‒9, 159‒60
Sweden 277‒8
defamilializing welfare regime 42
democratic socialism 43
demographic factor (pensions) 280, 287
demographic transition 1‒2, 47, 48, 62 and potential caregivers for the elderly 123‒4
Deven, F. 208, 213
Dingeldey, I. 47, 165
direct transfers 166‒72
child allowance 166‒71
other financial support 171‒2
and poverty risks 202‒3
Döhner, H. 140
Drobnic, S. 54
dual employment 46, 178‒80
and poverty reduction 203‒4, 272‒3
education 238, 284
and risk of poverty in old age 107, 108, 109
Eggebeen, D.J. 19
Ehrenberg, R.G. 208
Eisenstadt, S.N. 24
erlder care 2, 23, 123‒64, 265‒6, 280
attitudes to 247, 250, 252‒3, 259‒60, 285‒6
financing care-giving 247‒8, 250, 253‒6, 260, 285
family care see family
public care see public care provisions
public-private partnership in care-giving 162‒3, 278‒9
shrinking of country-specific differences and convergence 159‒60
women’s old age security and revaluation of 78, 79, 80‒1
as women’s work 161‒2
eligibility criteria
erlder care 132‒5
pensions 74‒8
employment 46
active labour market policy see active labour market policy
attitudes to state support to combine family obligations and employment 249‒52
of both parents see dual employment compatibility problem see compatibility problem
erlder care and increasing employment rate 125
fathers and childcare 228‒9, 230
interrupted and pensions 73, 78‒81, 113, 114‒15, 119
parental leave see parental leave
structures 52‒6
transfers to families
employment status and child allowance 166‒7
household structure and family income 178‒82
income position and employment pattern 188, 189
women see women
of younger household members and poverty of older persons 107, 108
enabling state 273‒4
Engstler, H. 24
equivalence principle 39, 76‒8
strengthening of 78, 112, 118, 281
Esping-Andersen, G. 11, 13, 14‒15, 38, 39‒41, 42, 119, 283
Eurobarometer 35, 248‒9, 250
European Commission 264, 269
parental leave 210‒11
European Community Household Panel (ECHP) 33, 34, 178‒88, 195
European index of family orientation 63, 64
European Quality of Life Survey (EQLS) 33, 34‒5, 249, 250, 252, 257‒8, 259
European Social Survey 35
European Union (EU) 32
Lisbon Accords 264
projected replacement rates 86‒9
‘risk of poverty’ line 105
exchange relationships 18
in the family 8‒10, 22‒3
explicit familialism 15, 42
extended family 27, 28
Fagnani, J. 216
Fahey, T. 55, 244
familial generations 2, 24
exchange relations between 8–10, 22–3
familialism 13–16, 42–3, 277–8
family 2–3, 27–8
attitudes to state support to combine family obligations and employment 249–52
attitudes towards the family 63–7
childcare in the family 224–9, 277
occupation and hours worked by fathers 228–9, 230
provided by grandmothers 225–8, 239–40
compatibility problem see compatibility problem
complementarity of state and 245–6
decaying solidarity between generations 244–5
der elder care 145–59, 159–60, 277
care arrangements 147–55
main providers 267–9
family work and non-contributory periods to pension 73, 78–81, 113, 114–15, 119
intergenerational solidarity between state and family 5–19
new family forms 27–8
relationship between state and 10–16, 276–9
transfer payments for see transfer payments for families
family allowance funds 45
family care model 42
family income see household income
family/kinship solidarity model 41–2, 45
family networks 60–2
family-oriented state benefits 208–24
childcare 217–24, 271, 273
parental leave 165, 208–9, 210–17, 271, 272, 275
family policy 13, 269–76
affects intergenerational relations 239–40
coordination of 239, 273–4
see also childcare; transfer payments for families
family tax splitting 173–4
fathers
employment, working hours and childcare 228–9, 230, 240
factors in taking advantage of parental leave 213–14
feminism 11
typology of welfare states 41–2
Ferrarini, T. 210
Ferrario, P. 136
Ferrera, M. 45
fertility rates 1, 47, 48
and female employment 55, 56
financial support
care-giving 135–41
for family members who provide care 126, 138–41
long-term care services 136–8
family policy 166–72
financing care giving 128–31, 136–8
attitudes to and generational conflict 247–8, 250, 253–6, 260, 285
Finch, N. 165, 175
Flaquer, L. 167
flexible entitlement 119
Florea, A. 134
folkhem (people's home) 46
Fondo pensioni lavoratori dipendenti (Fpld) 71
formal labour contract for care-giving 138–41
fragmentation of pension schemes, organizational 71–2
Freeman, R. 210
frequency of contact between generations 60–2, 156–9
Fridberg, T. 127, 137, 140
functional solidarity 17
future retiree generations 266–7
Gangl, M. 273
gender/gender differences
attitudes towards gender roles 63–5, 66
attitudes towards the welfare state 249–57
division of labour 240–1
ever care 154
employment participation and number of children 180–2
employment patterns 52–5
equality and active labour market policy 269
income positions of older persons 104–5
pension benefits 96–8, 117
poverty in old age 107–9
gerentational accounting 7
attitudinal differences 249–57, 285–6
financing care-giving 247–8, 250, 253–6, 260, 285
pension system 247, 250, 256–7, 260, 285
public support to reconcile family work and employment 249–52
data and methods 248–9, 250
perceived tensions between generations 250, 257–9
possible lines of conflict 243–8
gerentational inequity 6–7
gerentational mainstreaming 284–5
generations, defining 2, 24–5
Gerlach, I. 171, 211
Gershuny, J.I. 228
Giddens, A. 272
gifts 9, 194–8, 205, 246
Gintis, H. 195
Glendinning, C. 19
Goodin, R.E. 10, 28
Gori, C. 137
Gornick, J.C. 209
Götz, M. 71
grandchildren, private transfers to 196–7
grandmothers
childcare provided by 225–8, 239–40
presence in the household and employment of mothers 234–8
Greece 11
gross pension levels 89
Gruescu, S. 90
Häcker, J. 123
Hagestad, G.O. 62
Hakim, C. 65
handicapped children 171
Hank, K. 217
Hansson, P.A. 46
Harper, S. 55
Hauser, K. 210
Hauser, R. 7
health insurance funds 130, 137
Hentilä, S. 263
Herlth, A. 12
Hoem, B. 92
Hoem, J.M. 231
Hogan, D.P. 19
Hondrich, K.O. 6
household care arrangements 147–55
household income
retired persons 94, 95–6, 116
income sources 98–9
significance of pension income 98–102
transfer payments for families 178–88, 202–3
household structures and gainful employment 178–82
income composition and distribution 182–8, 189
household structures
and family income 178–82
welfare regime comparison 47–52
housing subsidies 165
Hurrelmann, K. 51
Ikkink, K.K. 9, 195
illegally-employed carers 142
Ilmakunnas, S. 209
Immergut, E.M. 21, 76
implicit familialism 15, 42
implicit income tax approach 7
income
child allowance and average gross income 170–1
household income see household income
gender income gap and pensions 97–8
significance of pensions for various income levels 102–5
income position
household types and 187–8, 189
and likelihood of inheritance 199–202
older persons 104–5
and private transfers to children 197, 198
Index

income poverty see poverty
income replacement rates see replacement rates
indexing of pensions 73, 81, 82, 114
indirect transfers 173–5
  family-related tax allowances and tax deductions 174–5
tax assessment 173–4
individual outcomes see life circumstances
individual retirement income 69
  see also pensions
industrial achievement-performance model 39
inequality, dismantling family-caused 14
inheritance 5, 9, 198–202, 205, 246
inpatient care 125, 127
institutional redistributive model 39
institutional regulations
care obligations 128–35
  linked to individual outcomes 30
  pensions 70–90
institutionally-based generations 2, 24–5
institutions
  attitudes and 65–6
  comparison 29–30
instrumental support 9–10
intergenerational relations 1, 2–3, 27–8
  family policy affecting 239–40
  public care-giving and 155–9
welfare regime comparison 60–7
  attitudes toward the family 63–7
  physical proximity and contacts between the generations 60–2
intergenerational solidarity 5–19
  age orientation of different welfare states 6–8
  exchange relations between familial generations 8–10
  relationship between state and family 10–16
intergenerational transmission 16–19
  internal rate of return 7
International Social Survey Programme (ISSP) 35
intimacy at a distance 60, 246–7

Jani-Le Bris, H. 128, 130, 131, 134, 160
Jegermalm, M. 127, 131
Johansson, L. 131, 135, 138, 142, 144
Joshi, H. 208–9
Kauffman, F.-X. 10, 12, 29, 263
Keck, W. 62, 252
Keister, L.A. 9
Koch-Arzheimer, C. 6
Kofahl, C. 140
Kohl, J. 248
Kohler, F. 85
Kohl, M. 5, 25, 110
Kolberg, J.E. 155
Korpi, W. 13, 30, 42
Künemund, H. 153
Kurtz, B. 216
Kvist, J. 45
Lamura, G. 141
leaving the parental home 49–50
Lechevalier, A. 44
Leisering, L. 2, 25
Leitner, S. 14, 15, 16, 42, 90
Lepsius, R. 6, 25, 247
Lewis, J. 41, 147
liberal welfare state regime 39–41
life circumstances
  analysing impacts on 30–1
  linking institutional analysis and 31, 32–3
  state benefits based on 28
life expectancy 1, 47, 48
limitations in activities of daily living (ADL) 146–7, 148
Lisbon Accords 264
Litwak, E. 197
living alone 50, 51, 52
long-term care insurance 80, 130, 132, 136, 139–40, 267–8, 278, 280
  financial benefits for family care providers 161, 163, 278
low-income families 172
  see also poverty
Luxembourg Income Study (LIS) 33, 34, 195
Lynch, J. 7, 8
male breadwinner model 41
‘Mama month’ 213
Mannheim, K. 24
many-children families 172
poverty risk 191–2
transfers to 184, 185–6, 187–8
marital status, and risk of poverty 107
marital tax splitting 173–4
market economy 272
marriage 28, 51, 238, 283
Martin, C. 134
Martin-Matthews, A. 149, 154
‘Matthew Principle’ 195
Maucher, M. 166, 173, 178
McIntosh, M. 19
McLaughlin, E. 19
Mediterranean-type welfare state regime 20, 41

see also Italy
Menning, S. 24
Menniti, A. 172
Meyer, M. 123, 130, 136
Meyers, M.K. 209
Millar, J. 11, 126
Minguzzi, P. 134
minimum contribution period 73, 75, 76, 113
minimum income provision (pensions) 72, 73, 82–6, 114
weakening 115–16, 118
minimum vieillesse 85
Mittelstraß, J. 17
model cases 30
comparison of tax burdens and benefits for model families 175–7
model pension see standard pensioner
Montanari, I. 166
Moss, P. 208, 213
Motel-Klingbiel, A. 135
mothers, employment of see women
multi-generational households 27, 28, 51, 61
multilocal families 246
multiple births 172
Myles, J. 8
Myrdal, A. 263
Myrdal, G. 263
Naldini, M. 28, 41–2, 45, 51
Natali, D. 70
Naumann, I.K. 28, 154, 263
Neumann, W. 212, 223
non-contributory periods 73, 78–81, 113, 114–15, 119
notional defined contribution (NDC) principle 112
nuclear family type 28
Nullmeier, F. 78

obligations, care 128–31
occupational pensions 70, 71–2, 89–90, 99–102, 281, 282, 283
OECD
Employment Outlook 209
projected replacement rates 86–9
old age dependency ratio 47, 48
older persons
elder care see elder care
integration in labour market and old age security 274
material living conditions 90–110
expenditure share of pensions and 265–9
significance of pension income in household income 98–102
significance of pensions for various income levels 102–5
theoretical pension level and empirical replacement rate 93–6
pensions see pensions
poverty see poverty
private transfers to 110–11, 282
opening hours, public childcare and 220–1
optional familialism 15, 42
organizational fragmentation 71–2
Ostner, I. 41, 130, 132, 140
outpatient care services, development of 127–8
outside-household care 151–3
Oxley, H. 189
Palme, J. 30, 72
‘Papa month’ 213
parental leave 165, 208–9, 210–17, 271, 272, 275
parental leave allowance 211–16, 272, 275
part-time work 54
partners, care-giving by 153, 154, 162
Paxton, P. 156
pensions 23, 69–122, 274
attitudes to pension system and generational conflict 247, 250, 256–7, 260, 285
contract between generations 243–4
data 33
expenditure share of pensions and living conditions of pensioners 265–9
future directions 280–3
gender-specific differences in benefits 96–8, 117
institutional regulations and reforms 70–90
basis for calculations 73, 75–6, 76–8, 113
benefit levels 86–90, 116
eligibility criteria 74–8
income and social security tax burdens 73, 81–2, 83, 114
indexing benefits 73, 81, 82, 114
minimum security 72, 73, 82–6, 114, 115–16, 118
minimum years of contribution 73, 75, 76, 113
required waiting periods 73, 75, 76, 113
retirement age 73, 74, 75, 113
women’s old age security and family work 73, 78–81, 113, 114–15, 119
intensity of reforms 112, 113–14
occupational pensions 70, 71–2, 89–90, 99–102, 281, 282, 283
pension bias and age orientation of the welfare state 56–9
private pensions 70, 71, 89–90, 205, 281–2, 283
receipt rates 91–3
reforms’ effect on future retiree generations 266–7
reforms weaken solidarity 111–20
refamilialization tendencies 119–20
reform suggestions for working against declining solidarity 119
return of old age poverty 117–19
strengthening equivalence 78, 112, 118, 281
significance of pension income in household income of older persons 98–102
significance for various income levels 102–5
theoretical level of model pension and empirical replacement rate 93–6
perception of tension between generations 250, 257–9
persistence of poverty
families with children 190
old age 105–7
Pesarsi, F. 137
Pfau-Effinger, B. 46
physical proximity 246–7
childcare by grandmothers 225–8
and contacts between the generations 60–2
Plantenga, J. 135
Polverini, F. 131, 134, 137, 140, 142, 149
population ageing 1, 47, 48
population policy 12
poverty
families with children 172, 188–94, 270–2
active labour market policy and reducing poverty 203–4, 269
employment of both parents as best protection against 272–3
private transfers and poverty risk 205
single parents and vicious poverty circle 204
transfer payments and reducing poverty risk 202–3, 270–2
old age 116, 267–9
and its causes 105–10
return of 117–19
reduction in poverty risk 14
poverty gap
families with children 190–1
old age poverty 105–7
poverty rate
families with children 190–2
old age poverty 105–7
prestation d'accueil du jeune enfant
(PAJE) 212

prestation spécifique dépendance (PSD)
130–1, 132, 144, 159–60
private pensions 70, 71, 89–90, 281–2, 283
and risk of poverty 205
private transfers
to children 9, 23, 194–202, 205, 246, 277, 282
to older persons 110–11, 282
privatization, pensions and 72, 111, 118
projected replacement rates 86–9
pro-natalist policy 45, 264, 273
proximity, physical see physical proximity
public care provisions (elder care)
126–45, 159–60, 245, 266, 267–9, 273, 280
eligibility criteria 132–5
and family care in care arrangements 149–50
financial support for care-giving 135–41
interaction between public care-giving and relationship between parents and children 155–9
scope of publicly-supported care-giving 141–5
statutory regulations in care obligations 128–31
public childcare 217–24, 271, 273
availability 218–21
costs 221–4
and family care 239–40
and employment of mothers 234–8, 274–5
public-private partnership in care-giving 162–3, 278–9
Pylkkänen, E. 209
qualifying period 73, 75, 76, 113
quasi-wage 138–41

Raffelhüschen, B. 123
Ragin, C.R. 30
receipt rates for pensions 91–3
reconciliation see compatibility problem
refamilialization 16
dependence and pensions 193–4
‘relief’ hypothesis 16
replacement rates 86–9
empirical rates and theoretical level of model pension 93–6
gender differences 96–7
required waiting periods 73, 75, 76, 113
research design 20–37
data 31–7
definition and delimitation of the object of research 24–8
age groups and generations 24–5
family and intergenerational relationships 27–8
welfare state 26–7
methods 29–31
analysing impacts on individual life circumstances 30–31
comparing institutions 29–30
linking institutional regulations to individual outcomes 30
research questions 22–3
residual welfare model 39
retirement age 73, 74, 75, 113
retraite complémentaire 71
Roberts, R.E.L. 17, 60
Rossi, A.S. 259
Rostgaard, T. 127, 137, 140
Rothstein, B. 263
Rüb, F.W. 78
Rubery, J. 54
Rürup, B. 90
Sacchi, S. 84
Sachße, C. 13
salaire minimum interprofessionnel de croissance (SMIC) 85
sandwich generation 25, 153, 247–8
Saraceno, C. 27, 51, 62, 86, 98, 184
Scandinavian model of public services 42
Schettkat, R. 210
Schmidt, D. 10, 28
Schütze, Y. 24
service sector, expansion of 14
signalling effect 209
Siim, B. 153
Silverstein, M. 197
Simonsen, M. 210
single-earner households 180, 181
single-parents 171–2
poverty risk 191, 192
exclusion from labour market
dependence on transfer payments 204
tax deduction 174–5
transfers to families 184, 185–6,
187–8, 270
Sipilä, J. 42, 125, 231
Smith, N. 209
’social balance’ components 39
social democratic welfare state regime
20, 39–41, 263
see also Sweden
social expenditures 56–9
social market economy 43
social partnership 162–3, 278–9
social policy approaches 42–7
social security contributions 73, 81–2,
83, 114
social state 43–4, 45
socio-historical generations 24
solidarity 5–6
intergenerational solidarity between
state and family 5–19
relationship between family and state 10–16, 276–9
Statistics on Income and Living
Conditions (SILC) 33
subsidiarity principle 10, 13, 20, 128
’substitution’ hypothesis 16
Sundström, M. 209
Survey of Health, Ageing and
Retirement (SHARE) 34
elder care 146–55
private transfers to children 195–202
sustainability 269
symbolic payments 138–41
Szebehely, M. 135
Szydlik, M. 17
tax-based welfare policy 38–9
taxation 47
and pensions 73, 81–2, 83, 114
tax breaks and childcare 221–3
and transfers to families 283–4
comparison of tax burdens and benefits 175–7
tax allowances and tax deductions
173, 174–5
tax assessment 173–4
Théret, B. 45
Thomas, C. 127
Thum, M. 7
time-series data 31, 32
Titmuss, R.M. 39
Tomassini, C. 60, 197
transfer payments for families 165–207,
273–4, 280, 283–4
active labour market policy and reducing poverty 203–4
burdens and benefits in comparative perspective 175–7
child poverty 188–94
data 36
differing support and differing poverty risks 202–3
direct transfers 166–72
child allowance 166–71
other financial support 171–2
family income 178–88
composition and distribution
182–8, 189
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household structures and employment</th>
<th>178–82</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indirect transfers</td>
<td>173–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax allowances and tax deductions</td>
<td>174–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax assessment</td>
<td>173–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private transfers to children</td>
<td>194–202, 277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and risk of poverty</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of poverty risk</td>
<td>270–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single parents and vicious poverty</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferring ‘best practice’ models</td>
<td>262–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trifiletti, R.</td>
<td>61, 134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ungerson, C.</td>
<td>139, 145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal child allowance systems</td>
<td>166–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value orientation</td>
<td>275–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veil, M.</td>
<td>212, 223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Versorgungsklassen</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vouchers, care</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waerness, K.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wage work see employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages, gender differences in 54–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiting periods, required</td>
<td>73, 75, 76, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldfogel, J.</td>
<td>208–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warman, A.</td>
<td>11, 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weizsäcker, J. von</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare policy</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare regime comparison</td>
<td>38–68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics and principles</td>
<td>38–56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment patterns</td>
<td>52–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household structures</td>
<td>47–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population ageing</td>
<td>47, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social policy approaches</td>
<td>43–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergenerational relations</td>
<td>60–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes toward the family</td>
<td>63–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical proximity and contacts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between the generations</td>
<td>60–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension bias and age orientation</td>
<td>56–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare state</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age orientation of different welfare states</td>
<td>6–8, 56–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisis in the 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typologies</td>
<td>38–43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenger, C.G.</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolff, F.-C.</td>
<td>194, 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double dependency</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder care</td>
<td>153, 154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care-giving as women’s work</td>
<td>161–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>53, 54–5, 229–38, 240–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes towards mothers’ employment</td>
<td>63–5, 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childcare in the family</td>
<td>224–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors encouraging employment of mothers</td>
<td>234–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertility rates and 55, 56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence of childcare options on mother’s employment</td>
<td>229–38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional differences in mothers’ employment participation</td>
<td>275–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and intergenerational transmission</td>
<td>18–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old age security and revaluation of family work</td>
<td>73, 78–81, 113, 114–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of poverty in old age</td>
<td>107–9, 267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare state policy and 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fathers’ and childcare</td>
<td>229, 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and employment of mothers</td>
<td>234–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers’ and age of child</td>
<td>231–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Values Survey</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeung, W.-J.J.</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziefl e, A.</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziegler, A.</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>