
Index

- act of state doctrine 158
- administration of class actions 345–6
 - claims processing 347
 - communication with class members 346–7
 - data concerns 346
- advertising 48
 - paid media notice for class actions 340–45
- affirmative defenses 121–2
- alternatives to courts 96
 - arbitration 99–100
 - Department of Justice 97
 - Federal Trade Commission 96
 - settlements *see* pre-litigation settlements
 - state regulators 97–8
- American Antitrust Institute (AAI) private enforcement study
 - compensation 4–6
 - deterrence 6–9
 - purpose and design 3–4
- American Bar Association Model Rules of Professional Conduct 48, 264
- American Law Institute (ALI) principles of law of aggregate litigation 360–62
- amnesty program 183–4
- anticipating defenses 57–62
- anticompetitive litigation 34–5
- Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Act (ACPERA) 52, 183–4, 308, 385–6
- antitrust violations 14–15, 42
 - exempt industries 39–41, 170–71
 - parties to claim *see* parties entitled to pursue claim
 - restraints of trade 15–16
 - affecting interstate or foreign commerce 18
 - cartels 6, 7, 9, 21–3
 - concerted refusals to deal 27
 - contract, combination or conspiracy 16–18
 - horizontal restraints 6, 16, 21–8
 - hub-and-spoke price-fixing agreements 20
 - joint ventures 23–6
 - mergers and acquisitions 27–8
 - non-price vertical agreements 30–31
 - resale price maintenance 29–30
 - rule of reason versus *per se* analysis 18–21
 - tying arrangements 16, 31–2, 35
 - unreasonably restraining competition 18
 - vertical restraints 16, 29–32, 35
- single firm conduct
 - attempted monopoly 36–7
 - exclusionary conduct 34–6
 - monopoly 32–4
 - price discrimination 37–8
 - state law 38–9
- arbitration
 - as alternative to courts 99–100
 - class actions and 99, 133–4, 369–73
 - agreements that are silent as to class arbitration 137–8
 - background 134–5
 - emergence of arbitration agreements as shield against class proceedings 135–7
 - future 138–44
 - coordinated action among private and public plaintiffs 300–301
- attempted monopoly 36–7
- before-and-after method 242
- benchmarking 230–31
- benefits of private enforcement 1–3, 10–13, 289–91
 - AAI private enforcement study
 - compensation 4–6
 - deterrence 6–9
 - purpose and design 3–4
 - good cases 9–10
- billable hours 266–7
- bundling 35
- Canadian Bureau of Competition (CBC) 52
- Capper-Volstead Act 40
- cartels 6, 7, 9, 21–3
 - defining claim to be asserted 55–7
 - inference of cartel conduct 226–7
- champerty 258
- claims reduction 376–7
- Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) 101–2, 110, 295–6, 311, 327, 345
- class actions 2, 53, 109, 275, 338
 - administration 345–6
 - claims processing 347
 - communication with class members 346–7
 - data concerns 346

- ALI principles of law of aggregate litigation 360–62
- arbitration and 99, 133–4, 369–73
 - agreements that are silent as to class arbitration 137–8
 - background 134–5
 - emergence of arbitration agreements as shield against class proceedings 135–7
 - future 138–44
- class certification decision 128–32
 - expert economists and 216–17
- class notification 339–40, 374–8
- common case leadership 110–11
- common claim resolution 111
- consolidation of cases 109–10, 318
- coordinated action among private and public plaintiffs 294–6
- costs of litigation 55
- cy pres distribution and 330–31, 349–51, 364
 - ALI principles of law of aggregate litigation 360–62
 - as competition-enhancing remedy 358–9
 - conflicts within the nexus 356–7
 - importance of nexus between case and remedy 353–6
 - recommended best practices 362–3
 - review standard 357–8
 - when it is appropriate 351–2
- deciding where to file the case 62–3
- distribution of damages 242–3
- diversity claims 154–5
- ethical restrictions 48
- federal jurisdiction 101–2
- joint ventures with other law firms 49
- meaning of antitrust class actions 112–13
- meeting clients 43–7
 - individual notice 340
 - paid media notice 340–45
- notification in class action litigation 339–40, 347–8
- pre-litigation settlements 310–11, 319
 - allocation among disparate groups and subclasses 325–7
 - approval 332–3
 - attorneys' fees and expenses 333–6
 - claims-made settlements 329
 - cooperation agreements 330
 - coupon settlements 327–9
 - cy pres distribution 330–31
 - injunctive relief 330
 - opt-outs 336–7
 - scope of claims settled and released 319–25
- requirements for certification under Rule 23
 - actions principally seeking damages 113–27
 - actions principally seeking equitable or declaratory relief 127–8
 - adequacy of representation 116, 127
 - commonality 114–15, 127
 - numerosity 113–14, 127
 - predominance 117–23
 - standing requirements over and above those of Rule 23 124–7
 - superiority 124
 - typicality 115, 127
 - waivers 369–73
- clients
 - information from 50
 - meeting 43–7
- coerced agreements 16
- commencement of action *see* initiation of private action
- compensation, AAI private enforcement study results 4–6
- competition
 - agreements restricting 15–16
 - affecting interstate or foreign commerce 18
 - contract, combination or conspiracy 16–18
 - horizontal restraints 6, 16, 21–8
 - rule of reason *versus per se* analysis 18–21
 - unreasonably restraining competition 18
 - vertical restraints 16, 29–32, 35
- anti-competitive litigation 34–5
- cy pres as competition-enhancing remedy 358–9
- economists as experts on anticompetitive effects 228
- exclusionary conduct 34–6
- Competitor Collaboration Guidelines 25–6
- competitors as party entitled to pursue claim 89–90
 - new entrants to market 90
- confidentiality clauses 307
- confidentiality orders 207–8
- conflicts of interest 48
- conscious parallelism 17
- consolidation of cases 109–10
- constitutional issues, challenges to Article III jurisdiction 149–52
- consultants 202
- contingency fee arrangements 48–9, 245, 279, 333
 - 100% contingent agreements 263–6
 - considerations before funding a contingent fee case 260–62

- history 258–60
 - partial contingent agreements 267
- continuing-violation injury 255–6
- contribution 244
 - reform proposals 376–7
- cooperation agreements 330
- costs of litigation 54–5
 - award of 247
 - experts 213–14
 - pre-trial discovery 54, 262–3
- coupon settlements 327–9
- courts 101
 - in camera* proceedings 209–10
 - challenges to jurisdiction *see* jurisdictional issues
 - considerations 104
 - federal court 101–2
 - Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation 103–4
 - selecting venue 103
 - state court 102
- criminal sanctions 281–2
- critical loss analysis 232–3
- customer restrictions 31
- cy pres* doctrine 300–301
 - pre-litigation settlements and 330–31
 - as remedy in private antitrust litigation 349–51, 364
 - ALI principles of law of aggregate litigation 360–62
 - as competition-enhancing remedy 358–9
 - conflicts within the nexus 356–7
 - importance of nexus between case and remedy 353–6
 - recommended best practices 362–3
 - review standard 357–8
 - when it is appropriate 351–2
- damages
 - distribution 242–3
 - economists as experts on 228–30
 - interest on 243–4
 - joint-and-several liability 237–8
 - measurement 238–9
 - just-and-reasonable-measurement standard 239–42
 - overcharge damages 75, 80
 - single *versus* treble damages 236–7
 - standing for damages claims 69–71
 - indirect purchasers 84–9
 - for supra-competitive prices from non-conspirators 76–7
- dealers as party entitled to pursue claim 92–3
- declaratory relief 250
- defenses
 - affirmative 121–2
 - anticipating 57–62
 - joint defense and judgment sharing agreements 315–16
 - procedural defenses short of trial 146–7
 - dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction 148–55
 - pre-answer defenses 155–75
 - summary proceedings 175–9, 220–21
- defining claim to be asserted 55–7
- denial of access to an essential facility 35
- Department of Justice (DOJ)
 - as alternative to courts 97
 - amnesty program 183–4
 - cartel prosecution 6, 7, 9, 21–2
 - enforcement by 6
 - deterrence and 7–8
 - information from 52
 - pre-trial discovery and 182–3
 - see also* public and private enforcement, interaction of
- depositions 54, 198–9
 - experts 203
 - economists 219–20
 - rule 30(b)(6) depositions 199–201
 - trial depositions 201
- deregulation 157
- deterrence 1
 - AAI private enforcement study results 6–9
 - deterrence from DOJ criminal anti-cartel enforcement program 7–8
 - deterrence from private antitrust litigation 9–10
- direct purchasers as party entitled to pursue claim 72–4
 - damages for supra-competitive prices from non-conspirators 76–7
 - determining direct purchaser status 74–5
 - overcharge damages 75
 - reduction in output and quality 79–80
 - standing in cases alleging fraud on patent office 77–9
- discovery *see* pre-trial discovery
- Robinson-Patman Act 382–5
- disgorgement, indirect purchasers and 83
- dismissal
 - dismissal for insufficient process or service 175
 - economists as experts and 214–15
 - for improper venue 174
 - for lack of antitrust standing 166
 - for lack of personal jurisdiction 172–4
 - for lack of subject matter jurisdiction 148–9

- challenges to Article III jurisdiction 149–52
- challenges to diversity jurisdiction 154–5
- challenges to federal question jurisdiction 152–3
- challenges to supplemental jurisdiction 155
- motion to dismiss for failure to join required party 171–2
- motion to dismiss for failure to state claim 156–71
- “disproportionality” test 36
- distributors
 - exclusive distribution agreements 31
 - terminated distributors as party entitled to pursue claim 92–3
- distribution of damages 242–3
- diversion analysis 233
- diversity claims 154–5
- diversity of citizenship 154
- econometric analysis 231–2
- economists as experts 51, 212–13, 234
 - class certification 216–17
 - complaint and motion to dismiss 214–15
 - depositions 219–20
 - discovery 215, 217–18, 217–19
 - framing the issues 214
 - gatekeeper motions 221–3
 - post-trial briefing and argument 225
 - rebuttal expert and report 219
 - report of testifying expert 217–19
 - selection 213–14
 - summary judgment motions 220–21
 - trial preparation 223–4
 - trial testimony 224–5
 - types of economic analysis 225–6
 - anticompetitive effects 228
 - antitrust injury 228
 - critical loss 232–3
 - damages 228–30
 - diversion analysis and upward pricing pressure 233
 - inference of cartel conduct 226–7
 - market definition and market power 227–8
 - natural experiments and benchmarking 230–31
 - statistical and econometric analysis 231–2
- electronic discovery 193–5, 262–3
- employees
 - as experts 202
 - as party entitled to pursue claim 93
 - pre-trial discovery and talking with employees/former employees of possible violators 184–6
- ethical restrictions 48–9, 184
 - attorney investment 268–9
- European Union (EU) 6, 52
 - foreign claimants bringing EU-law claim 105–6
- evidentiary issues 161–2
- exclusionary conduct 34–6
- exclusive distribution agreements 31
- exempt industries 39–41, 170–71
- experiments 230–31
- experts
 - costs 213–14
 - depositions 203
 - economists 219–20
 - economists as *see* economists as experts
 - expert discovery 201–4
 - economists 215, 217–19
 - limits 209
 - initial expert analysis 186–7
 - pre-litigation settlements and 312–13
 - testimony
 - admissibility of 204–6
 - economists 224–5
- export activities 41, 171
- Export Trading Company Act (ETCA) 41, 171
- express immunities 170–71
- facilitators, pre-litigation settlements and 313
- factual issues
 - establishing fact of damage 238
 - failure to allege facts sufficient to state a claim 158–66
- Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) 369
- federal courts 101–2
- Federal Trade Commission (FTC)
 - as alternative to courts 96
 - information from 52
 - pre-trial discovery and 182–3
 - see also* public and private enforcement, interaction of
- fees
 - award of attorney’s fees 245–7, 270–74
 - pre-litigation settlements and 333–6
 - recovery awarded as attorney’s fees 274–9
 - fee arrangements 257–8, 279
 - attorney investment 267–9
 - billable hours 266–7
 - contingency fee *see* contingency fee arrangements
 - incentive-based fee arrangements 266
 - mixed arrangements 267
 - task-based and flat fee arrangements 267
- filed rate doctrine 157

- filing complaint 107
- financial considerations 54–5
- flat fee arrangements 267
- foreign plaintiffs 105–7
- Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act (FTAIA) 41, 105, 151–2, 167–70
- forum non conveniens* 106
- fraud
 - fraudulent-concealment doctrine 253–5
 - fraudulently obtained patents 35
 - standing in cases alleging fraud on patent office 77–9
- Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests 187–8
- funding litigation 257–8
 - fee arrangements 257–8, 279
 - attorney investment 267–9
 - billable hours 266–7
 - contingency fee *see* contingency fee arrangements
 - incentive-based fee arrangements 266
 - mixed arrangements 267
 - task-based and flat fee arrangements 267
- gatekeeper motions 205–6, 221–3
- “global peace” 316–19
- group boycotts 27
- harm *see* injury
- horizontal agreements 6, 16, 21–8
 - cartels 6, 7, 9, 21–3
 - concerted refusals to deal 27
 - joint ventures 23–6
 - mergers and acquisitions 27–8
- Horizontal Merger Guidelines 28
- hub-and-spoke price-fixing agreements 20
- immunities
 - express 170–71
 - implied 156–8
- implied immunity 156–8
- in camera* proceedings 209–10
- incentive-based fee arrangements 266
- indemnification 244
- indirect purchasers as party entitled to pursue
 - claim 46–7, 80–82
 - injunctive relief 82–3
 - reform proposals 373–6
 - restitution and disgorgement 83
 - state law 39, 84–9
- initiation of private action 95–6
 - alternatives to courts 96
 - arbitration 99–100
 - Department of Justice 97
 - Federal Trade Commission 96
 - settlements *see* pre-litigation settlements
 - state regulators 97–8
- choosing between state and federal court 101
- considerations 104
- federal court 101–2
- Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation 103–4
- selecting venue 103
- state court 102
- preparing and serving complaint 107
- suits by foreign plaintiffs 105–7
- injunctions
 - class action pre-litigation settlements and 330
 - permanent injunctive relief 247–8
 - preliminary injunctive relief 248–50
 - standing for injunctive relief 68–9, 82–3
- injury 67–8, 89
 - continuing-violation injury 255–6
 - economists as experts on 228
 - establishing fact of damage 238
 - overcharges 75, 80
 - reduction in output and quality 79–80
 - supra-competitive prices from non-conspirators 76–7
- insurance industry 40–41, 171
- interest on damages 243–4
- interim class counsel 45–6
- international comity 106
- Internet, information from 50
- interrogatories 190–91
- investigative tools 49–54
- investment
 - attorney investment 267–9
 - other investment 269–70
- Japanese Fair Trade Commission (JFTC) 52
- joint defense and judgment sharing agreements 315–16
- joint ventures 23–6
 - law firms 49
- joint-and-several liability 237–8
- judgment sharing agreements 315–16
- Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation 103–4, 109–10
- judicial review of arbitration 100
- jurisdictional issues
 - dismissal for lack of personal jurisdiction 172–4
 - dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction 148–9
 - challenges to Article III jurisdiction 149–52
 - challenges to diversity jurisdiction 154–5

- challenges to federal question jurisdiction 152–3
- challenges to supplemental jurisdiction 155
- multiple jurisdictions and “global peace” 316–19
- primary jurisdiction doctrine 157
- Korean Fair Trade Commission (KFTC) 52
- labor unions 41, 171
 - as party entitled to pursue claim 93–4
- laches 251
- law and economics 119
- leniency program *see* amnesty program
- limitation periods 153, 250–51
 - laches 251
 - tolling
 - continuing-violation injury 255–6
 - equitable tolling and fraudulent-concealment doctrine 253–5
 - pendency of government action 252–3
 - trigger 251
 - under Clayton Act 250
- location, deciding where to file the case 62–3
- lodestar method 271–2
- lost profits method *see* yardstick method
- McCarran-Ferguson Act 40, 171
- Manual for Complex Litigation 110
- market definition 227–8
- mergers and acquisitions 27–8
- minimum resale price maintenance 380–82
- monopoly 32–4
 - acquired/enhanced/maintained through exclusionary conduct 34
 - attempted monopoly 36–7
 - defining claim to be asserted 55–7
 - monopoly power in relevant market 33–4
 - state law 38–9
- most favored nation (MFN) provisions 316
- multiple defendants
 - joint-and-several liability 237–8
 - “picking from” and “picking off” 313–15
- multiple jurisdictions and “global peace” 316–19
- multiple plaintiffs
 - distribution of damages 242–3
 - see also* class actions
- National Cooperative Research and Production Act (NCRPA) 26
- natural experiments 230–31
- negotiated settlements *see* pre-litigation settlements
 - challenges to federal question jurisdiction 152–3
 - challenges to supplemental jurisdiction 155
 - multiple jurisdictions and “global peace” 316–19
 - primary jurisdiction doctrine 157
 - Korean Fair Trade Commission (KFTC) 52
 - labor unions 41, 171
 - as party entitled to pursue claim 93–4
 - laches 251
 - law and economics 119
 - leniency program *see* amnesty program
 - limitation periods 153, 250–51
 - laches 251
 - tolling
 - continuing-violation injury 255–6
 - equitable tolling and fraudulent-concealment doctrine 253–5
 - pendency of government action 252–3
 - trigger 251
 - under Clayton Act 250
 - location, deciding where to file the case 62–3
 - lodestar method 271–2
 - lost profits method *see* yardstick method
 - McCarran-Ferguson Act 40, 171
 - Manual for Complex Litigation 110
 - market definition 227–8
 - mergers and acquisitions 27–8
 - minimum resale price maintenance 380–82
 - monopoly 32–4
 - acquired/enhanced/maintained through exclusionary conduct 34
 - attempted monopoly 36–7
 - defining claim to be asserted 55–7
 - monopoly power in relevant market 33–4
 - state law 38–9
 - most favored nation (MFN) provisions 316
 - multiple defendants
 - joint-and-several liability 237–8
 - “picking from” and “picking off” 313–15
 - multiple jurisdictions and “global peace” 316–19
 - multiple plaintiffs
 - distribution of damages 242–3
 - see also* class actions
 - National Cooperative Research and Production Act (NCRPA) 26
 - natural experiments 230–31
 - negotiated settlements *see* pre-litigation settlements
 - new entrants to market as party entitled to pursue claim 90
 - non-cognizable claims 156–8
 - non-price vertical agreements 30–31
 - notification of class actions 339–40, 347–8
 - individual notice 340
 - paid media notice 340–45
 - no-win no-pay *see* contingency fee arrangements
 - opt-outs from pre-litigation settlements 336–7
 - output, reduction in 79–80
 - overcharge damages 75, 80
 - parens patriae* authority 97–8, 282, 293–4
 - parties entitled to pursue claim 64–6, 94
 - class actions 124–7
 - competitors 89–90
 - new entrants to market 90
 - damages claims 69–71
 - indirect purchasers 84–9
 - demonstration of antitrust injury 67–8, 89
 - overcharges 75, 80
 - reduction in output and quality 79–80
 - supra-competitive prices from non-conspirators 76–7
 - direct purchasers 72–4
 - damages for supra-competitive prices from non-conspirators 76–7
 - determining direct purchaser status 74–5
 - overcharge damages 75
 - reduction in output and quality 79–80
 - standing in cases alleging fraud on patent office 77–9
 - dismissal for lack of antitrust standing 166
 - dismissal for lack of personal jurisdiction 172–4
 - employees 93
 - indirect purchasers 46–7, 80–82
 - damages claims 84–9
 - injunctive relief 82–3
 - restitution and disgorgement 83
 - state law 39, 84–9
 - injunctive relief 68–9, 82–3
 - labor unions and trade associations 93–4
 - motion to dismiss for failure to join required party 171–2
 - shareholders 91
 - suppliers 91–2
 - terminated dealers and distributors 92–3
 - patents
 - fraudulently obtained 35
 - standing in cases alleging fraud on patent office 77–9
 - reverse payments 378–80

- per se* analysis 18–21
- personal jurisdiction, dismissal for lack of
 - 172–4
- pleadings
 - motion for judgment on the pleadings 176
 - reform of Federal pleading standards 366–9
- pre-answer defenses 155–6
 - dismissal for insufficient process or service 175
 - dismissal for lack of personal jurisdiction 172–4
 - motion to dismiss for failure to join required party 171–2
 - motion to dismiss for failure to state claim 156–71
 - motion to dismiss or transfer for improper venue 174
- pre-complaint activities 43, 63
 - anticipating defenses 57–62
 - coordinated action among private and public plaintiffs 298–9
 - deciding where to file the case 62–3
 - defining claim to be asserted 55–7
 - ethical restrictions and fee arrangements 48–9
 - financial considerations 54–5
 - investigative tools 49–54
 - meeting clients 43–7
- predatory pricing 34
- preliminary injunctive relief 248–50
- pre-litigation settlements 100–101, 123, 306–8
 - attorneys’ fees and expenses 333–6
 - class actions 319
 - allocation among disparate groups and subclasses 325–7
 - approval 332–3
 - attorneys’ fees and expenses 333–6
 - claims-made settlements 329
 - cooperation agreements 330
 - coupon settlements 327–9
 - cy pres distribution 330–31
 - injunctive relief 330
 - opt-outs 336–7
 - scope of claims settled and released 319–25
 - coordinated action among private and public plaintiffs 300–301
- players involved 312
 - businesspersons and experts 312–13
 - facilitators 313
 - settlement counsel 313
- strategic complications
 - joint defense and judgment sharing agreements 315–16
 - most favored nation (MFN) provisions 316
 - multiple jurisdictions and “global peace” 316–19
 - “picking from” and “picking off” multiple defendants 313–15
- windows of opportunity 308
 - after close of discovery but before trial 311
 - breaking the ice 310–11
 - pre- and post-motion to dismiss or class certification stage 309–10
 - pre-complaint settlements 308–9
- pre-trial discovery 181, 210
 - amnesty program 183–4
 - in arbitration 100
 - compelling discovery 206–7
 - coordinated action among private and public plaintiffs 298–9
 - costs 54, 262–3
 - depositions 198–9
 - experts 203, 219–20
 - rule 30(b)(6) depositions 199–201
 - trial depositions 201
 - expert discovery 201–4
 - economists 215, 217–19
 - limits 209
 - FOIA requests/state law equivalent 187–8
 - initial expert analysis 186–7
 - limits
 - in camera* proceedings 209–10
 - expert stipulations 209
 - privilege logs 208–9
 - protective/confidentiality orders 207–8
 - public enforcement agencies 182–3
 - talking with employees/former employees of possible violators 184–6
 - theory of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 181–2
 - written discovery 188
 - electronic discovery 193–5, 262–3
 - initial disclosures 188–90
 - interrogatories 190–91
 - requests for admissions 196
 - requests for production of documents 191–3
 - supplements to discovery 197
 - third-party discovery 196–7
- price discrimination 37–8
- prices and pricing 23
 - hub-and-spoke price-fixing agreements 20
 - predatory pricing 34
 - price discrimination 37–8
 - Robinson-Patman Act 382–5
 - state law 39

- resale price maintenance 29–30
 - reform proposals 380–82
 - state law 39
- upward pricing pressure 233
- private investigators 51
- privilege 208–9
- privileged communications 208–9
- procedural defenses short of trial 146–7
 - dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction 148–9
 - challenges to Article III jurisdiction 149–52
 - challenges to diversity jurisdiction 154–5
 - challenges to federal question jurisdiction 152–3
 - challenges to supplemental jurisdiction 155
- pre-answer defenses 155–6
 - motion to dismiss for failure to join required party 171–2
 - motion to dismiss for failure to state claim 156–71
 - motions objecting to personal jurisdiction, venue and service of process 172–5
- summary proceedings 175–6
 - economist experts and 220–21
 - motion for judgment on the pleadings 176
 - motion for summary judgment or adjudication of issues 176–9
- product disparagement 34
- product displacement 34
- “profit sacrifice” test 36
- profits, yardstick method 241
- protective orders 207–8
- public and private enforcement, interaction of 280–81, 302–3
 - coordinated action among private and public plaintiffs 292–3
 - coordination problems and solutions 298–301
 - examples of overlapping lawsuits 296–8
 - multiple layers creating unique antitrust enforcement landscape 302
 - parens patriae* actions 293–4
 - private class actions 294–6
 - qualitative and quantitative results of multiple layers of enforcement 301–2
- private plaintiffs taking lead in initiating some cases 288–9
 - advantages of private enforcement 289–91
 - difficulties faced by private plaintiffs 291–2
- public enforcers initiating cases before private plaintiffs 283–5
 - applicability of rulings in prior government cases 285–7
 - limits on private plaintiffs’ use of materials and information acquired in government’s suits 287–8
 - varying incentives and concerns 282–3
 - varying remedies 281–2
- quality, reduction in 79–80
- “quick look rule of reason” standard 20–21, 24–5
- raids 52–3
- Railroad Antitrust Enforcement Act 385
- rebuttal expert and report 219
- reduction in output or quality 79–80
- reform proposals 365–6, 386
 - Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Act (ACPERA) 385–6
 - claims reduction and contribution 376–7
 - class action waivers 369–73
 - federal pleading standards 366–9
 - indirect purchaser litigation 373–6
 - minimum resale price maintenance 380–82
 - Railroad Antitrust Enforcement Act 385
 - reverse payments 378–80
 - Robinson-Patman Act 382–5
- refusal to deal 27, 34
- regression analysis 241–2
- regulatory schemes 157
- remedies 235–6
 - award of attorney’s fees 245–7, 270–74
 - pre-litigation settlements and 333–6
 - recovery awarded as attorney’s fees 274–9
 - award of costs 247
 - cy pres* as remedy in private antitrust litigation 349–51, 364
 - ALI principles of law of aggregate litigation 360–62
 - as competition-enhancing remedy 358–9
 - conflicts within the nexus 356–7
 - importance of nexus between case and remedy 353–6
 - recommended best practices 362–3
 - review standard 357–8
 - when it is appropriate 351–2
- declaratory relief 250
- interaction of public and private enforcement and 281–2
- time limits *see* limitation periods *see also* damages; injunctions
- requests for admissions 196
- requests for production of documents 191–3
- resale price maintenance 29–30
 - reform proposals 380–82
 - state law 39
- restitution, indirect purchasers and 83

- restraints of trade 15–16
 - affecting interstate or foreign commerce 18
 - contract, combination or conspiracy 16–18
 - horizontal restraints 6, 16, 21–8
 - cartels 6, 7, 9, 21–3
 - concerted refusals to deal 27
 - joint ventures 23–6
 - mergers and acquisitions 27–8
 - rule of reason *versus per se* analysis 18–21
 - unreasonably restraining competition 18
 - vertical restraints 16, 29–32, 35
 - hub-and-spoke price-fixing agreements 20
 - non-price vertical agreements 30–31
 - resale price maintenance 29–30
 - tying arrangements 16, 31–2, 35
- reverse payments 378–80
- Robinson-Patman Act 37, 382–5
- “rule of reason” standard 18–21, 24–5, 30, 35, 57

- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) 52
- service of process, insufficient 175
- serving complaint 107
- settlements *see* pre-litigation settlements
- shareholders as party entitled to pursue claim 91
- single firm conduct
 - exclusionary conduct 34–6
 - monopoly 32–4
 - attempted monopoly 36–7
 - price discrimination 37–8
- Standards Development Organization
 - Advancement Act (SDOA) 26
- standing *see* parties entitled to pursue claim
- state courts 102
- state law
 - antitrust violations and 38–9
 - indirect purchasers as parties entitled to pursue claim 39, 84–9
- state regulators as alternative to courts 97–8
- statistical analysis 231–2

- subsidiary companies 16
- summary proceedings 175–6
 - economist experts and 220–21
 - motion for judgment on the pleadings 176
 - motion for summary judgment or adjudication of issues 176–9
- summonses 107
- supplemental jurisdiction, challenges to 155
- suppliers as party entitled to pursue claim 91–2

- task-based fee arrangements 267
- taxation, award of attorney’s fees and 278–9
- territorial restrictions 31
- third-party discovery 196–7
- time limits *see* limitation periods
- trade associations as party entitled to pursue claim 94
- transfer, motion to transfer for improper venue 174
- tying arrangements 16, 31–2, 35

- unions *see* labor unions
- upward pricing pressure 233

- venue 103
 - motion to dismiss or transfer for improper venue 174
- vertical agreements 16, 29–32, 35
 - hub-and-spoke price-fixing agreements 20
 - non-price vertical agreements 30–31
 - resale price maintenance 29–30
 - tying arrangements 16, 31–2, 35
- violation-free-market method 241
- violations *see* antitrust violations

- waivers, class actions 369–73
- whistleblowers 44
- witnesses
 - depositions *see* depositions
 - expert *see* experts

- yardstick method 241

