

# Index

---

- academic entrepreneurship
  - in 1990s Finland 122, 124
  - in 1990s Russia 123–4
  - equity-based collaborative interactions within 172
  - as important contemporary research theme 3
  - theoretical perspective within 186
  - see also* entrepreneurs, role
    - identity construction
- Adam, A. 148, 157
- agency theory 42, 44
- Ahuja, G. 96–7, 110
- Ajzen, I. 146–50, 153, 158
- alliances, asymmetrical
  - concepts and research questions 94–5
  - conceptual frame of reference 95–8
  - cross-case analysis
    - influence of proximity 104–8
    - limitations and future research 111–12
  - size asymmetry 102–4
  - study conclusions and implications 110–11
  - study discussion 108–10
- methodology
  - case presentation 100–102
  - data analysis 99–100
  - research design and data collection 99
- Alvarez, S.A. 96, 110
- ambiguous legitimacy 22
- Amit, R. 41, 77
- amplified immediacy 12–13, 20–21
- Anderson, B.B. 8–9
- Andersson, S. 78, 80
- Arthurs, J.D. 32, 44
- Asheim, B.T. 108, 174
- asymmetrical alliances *see* alliances, asymmetrical
- attitudes
  - towards behaviour, as determinant of intention 148–9
  - towards entrepreneurship
    - creating index of 153
    - as having little effect on entrepreneurial behaviour 150, 155–6
- Audretsch, D.B. 168, 174
- Autio, E. 72, 74, 88, 148–9, 185
- Avdeitchikova, S. 49, 53
- B2B markets to B2C markets 57–60
- Balland, P.-A. 94, 97
- Barr, C. 169, 176–8, 181, 184
- Bathelt, H. 173–5, 184
- BE Energy 181, 186
- Becker, G.S. 52–3
- behaviour, entrepreneurial *see* intention–behaviour link of graduates
- behaviour theory 40, 44
- Belderbos, R. 96, 173
- Belleflamme, P. 31–4, 36–41
- Berglund, K. 6–7
- Bornstein, D. 8–9
- Boschma, R.A. 95, 97–8, 174–6, 184, 186
- Bosse, D.A. 96, 110
- Bourdieu, P. 10–11, 13
- Brabham, D.C. 32–3
- Busenitz, L.W. 32, 44
- business angels (BAs)
  - case narrative
    - from B2B markets to B2C markets 57–60

- towards strategic cooperation 60–63
  - case study
    - analysis and discussion 63–5
    - findings 65–6
  - defining 49–51
  - event-driven processual approach 54–5
  - factors precluding investment in emerging growth companies 35
  - impact of crowdfunding acts 38
  - literature review 50–54
  - methodology 55–7
  - non-financial contributions 52–4
  - prior research on 49–50
  - as traditional investors 30, 35
  - unable to apply due diligence process 40
- Carsrud, A. 146–7
- Centre for Materials Discovery (CMD)
- access to, as main benefit of small firms 186–7
  - contribution to city-regional innovation and entrepreneurship 181–4
  - development of 168–9
  - establishment of
    - catalytic event leading to 179–81
    - themes instrumental in 178
  - funding 178–9, 181, 185
  - highlighting contribution of open innovation partnerships 187
  - highlighting universities' contribution to entrepreneurial efforts 186
  - importance of geography and physical space 184–5
  - individuals instrumental in establishing 177
  - location 176
  - platform technologies 185
  - purpose of 178
  - selection informed by theoretical sampling 176–7
  - as shared technological platform 178, 185–6
  - social proximity as driver of 186
- Certo, S.T. 41–2
- Chao, Y.-C. 94, 112
- Chesbrough, H.W. 168–70, 173
- Chia, R.C.H. 10–11, 14
- Chreim, S. 119, 130
- city-regional innovation 181–4
- collaborative innovation research
  - partnerships, developing 171–3
- collaborative network approach 10
- collective
  - effort 24
  - as subject/actor 17
- commercialization of intellectual property rights 168, 170–71, 187
- commercialization of research 3, 117, 119, 123, 125, 127–8, 131, 133–5
- common industry experience 105, 110–11
- common technology platform *see* Centre for Materials Discovery (CMD)
- Connelly, B.L. 32, 40, 44
- ContentShare 55–66
- control, in equity crowdfunding 42–3
- Cooke, P. 168, 185–6
- crowdfunders
  - areas for future research 40
  - perspective of 36–7
- crowdfunding
  - aims of 31
  - classification into models 33–4
  - conceptualization of 32–3
  - equity model 34–5
  - historical use of 33
  - research interest in 30–31
  - saturation of donation model 34
  - see also* equity crowdfunding
- Cumming, D. 31, 35, 38–9, 41, 43
- 'cunning intelligence' 12
- Dees, J.G. 8–9
- Department of Trade and Industry (DTI) 6, 180
- D'Este, P. 97, 170–71, 174–5
- Dezhina, I. 123–4
- Dowling, A. 172, 187
- Du, J. 173, 184
- dynamic involvement 13, 21

- ecosystem-level studies 170
- Eisenhardt, K.M. 15–16, 25, 99, 173, 176
- Engle, R.L. 149, 151
- entrepreneurial behaviour *see*  
intention–behaviour link of  
graduates; start-up behaviour
- entrepreneurial intentions  
attitude towards behaviour 148–9  
categorizations of previous studies  
147  
intention–behaviour relationship  
149–50, 156–7  
limitations and future research 157–8  
meaning of 146  
perceived behavioural control  
149–51, 153, 155–6  
research methodology 151–4  
results and implications 154–7  
role of gender and entrepreneurial  
role models in 150–51, 153–5,  
157  
subjective norm 148–51, 153, 155–6
- entrepreneurial role models 150–51,  
153–5
- entrepreneurs  
and equity crowdfunding  
areas for future research 40–41  
perspective 37–8  
perspective on business angels 53,  
56–66  
science-based *see* scientpreneurs,  
role identity construction
- entrepreneurship  
contributions to 181–4  
educators 156–7  
social 8–10
- equity crowdfunding  
benefits and risks 36  
crowdfunder perspective 36–7  
founder perspective 37–8  
government perspective 38–9  
as innovative method of  
securitization 31  
research agenda for future studies  
asymmetric information,  
investment readiness and  
networks 41–2  
crowdfunders 40  
entrepreneurs 40–41  
ownership and control 42–3  
regulatory environment 43  
research limitations 44  
research methods 31–2  
risk management 39–43  
vs traditional financing methods 34–5
- Eriksson, P. 55–7
- Etzkowitz, H. 117, 122, 125, 185, 187
- European Commission 150, 157, 168,  
170, 178
- European Regional Development Fund  
(ERDF) 169, 176–8, 181, 183–4,  
186
- event-driven processual approach  
aim of 55  
analysis and discussion 63–5  
case narrative 57–63  
different research designs and  
methodologies 54–5  
intensive case study strategy 55–7  
main interest of 54  
study conclusions 65–6  
‘exploding,’ risk of 10  
‘Explosion’ project 14–15, 17–18, 20
- external financing  
accessing  
difficulties in 30  
informal 30, 33  
traditional methods of 30, 35–6  
business angels as crucial source of  
50–51  
of research activities, academic  
institution as shell for 132  
scientists competing for 117
- Fayolle, A. 6, 146–8, 150, 156–8
- Feldman, M.P. 168, 174
- Fernandez, H. 6, 8
- financial capital 9, 24–5
- financial viability, urgency for 23–4
- Finland  
1990s academic entrepreneurship  
122, 124, 130  
as context for science-based  
entrepreneurship 121–5  
economic situation in 156

- entrepreneurship increasingly accepted 131
- instrument developed in 151, 158
- meaning of being a scientist in 125–6
- scientist becoming entrepreneurs 126–8, 133–4
- universities in entrepreneurship 121–2, 124–5, 127, 132, 134, 138–40
- firm-level studies 169–70
- franchising
  - as commercial expansion strategy 8
  - franchisor–franchisee study 13–25
  - as method of accelerating diffusion of learning and growth 9
  - social 8–9, 14, 24
  - as strategy to control risk of ‘exploding’ 10
- Freear, J. 49, 53
- Frenken, K. 175, 186
- funding
  - academic research
    - in Finland 122, 124, 130–31
    - in Russia 124, 130
  - and business angels 53, 57, 59–61
  - for university–industry collaboration 169, 178–85
  - see also* crowdfunding; equity crowdfunding; external financing
- Gassmann, O. 70–71, 89
- gender, role of
  - as categorical variable 154
  - in entrepreneurial behaviour 150–51
  - as independent variable 153
  - in literature 147
  - value in predicting start-up behaviour 155, 157
- geographical proximity
  - academic and policy focus on 173
  - benefits of, in university–industry study 183–5
  - case study approach 99–100
  - definitions and characteristics 98
  - degree of, in study firms 101
  - impact on innovation 173–4
  - importance for small firms 94–5
  - influence of 104, 106–8
  - influence on transfer of knowledge and technology 174–5
  - limitations 175, 179
  - and ‘local buzz’ 174
  - non-spatial proximity compensating for lack of 111
  - study findings 108–9
- George, G. 70, 72–3, 76
- Gertler, M.S. 174–6
- Ghoshal, S. 52–3
- Giddens, A. 11, 17
- Gimeno, J. 118, 126
- government, and equity crowdfunding 38–9, 43–4
- graduate study *see* intention–behaviour link of graduates
- Graebner, M.E. 99, 176
- Gulati, R. 72, 97, 110
- Hagedoorn, J. 171–2
- Hakala, J. 117, 119, 125
- Hamel, G. 94, 96
- Hansen, T. 109, 111
- ‘hard’ task-centred activities
  - of business angels 53
  - as category of non-financial contributions 50
- Harrison, R.T. 41, 50–51, 53
- Henley, A. 146, 149
- Henriksen, J.T. 95, 97
- Hoang, H. 97, 118, 126
- Holmlund, M. 108, 110
- Holt, R. 10–11
- Howe, J. 30, 32
- HT (high-throughput) technologies 178–86
- Huberman, A.M. 99–100
- human capital
  - case demonstrating 55–66
  - definition 52
- individual agency 175–6, 186
- individuals
  - in open innovation partnerships 177, 182–3, 186
  - as subject/actor 17, 20
- informal financing methods 30, 33

- information asymmetry 41–2  
 Ingram, K. 149, 156  
 innovation, proximity dimensions of 173–6  
 institutional context  
   in open innovation 185  
   role in scientpreneurs study 130–33  
 institutional rules of action 18  
 intellectual property rights,  
   commercialization of 168,  
   170–71, 187  
 intention–behaviour link of graduates  
   context and objectives of study 146–7  
   implications of study 156–7  
   limitations and future research 157–8  
   literature review and hypotheses  
     development  
       entrepreneurial intentions 148–9  
       intention–behaviour relationship  
       149–50  
       role of gender and entrepreneurial  
       role models 150–51  
   research methodology  
     data collection process 151–3  
     testing procedures 154  
     variables 153, 164–7  
   results 154–5  
 internationalization  
   early  
     areas for future research 88, 89–90  
     definition 73  
     discussion 86–7  
     measures 76  
     and resource flexibility 73–4  
     results 80, 82, 84  
     variables 76–9  
   impact of resources  
     data and methods 75–9  
     limitations and future research  
     87–8  
     previous research 70–71  
     results 80–85  
     study discussion and conclusions  
     86–90  
     theory and hypotheses 72–5  
   performance of new ventures  
     areas for future research 88  
     discussion 86–7  
     measures 76  
     and resource flexibility 74–5  
     results 84  
     study implications 89–90  
     variables 76–9  
 Internet  
   crowdfunding via 31, 35–8, 40, 42  
   sales, as control variable 71, 78–83,  
   85  
 investment readiness 41–2  
 Jain, S. 117–19, 128, 133  
 Jarzabkowski, P. 12, 18  
 Joensuu, S. 146, 151, 157  
 Johan, S. 35, 38–9, 41, 43  
 Johannisson, B. 11–15, 17, 19, 25  
 Kaufmann Firm Survey (KFS) 71, 75–6  
 Kaukonen, E. 121–3  
 Kautonen, T. 42, 149–50, 156, 158  
 Keupp, M.M. 70–71, 89  
 Kiseleva, V.V. 123–4  
 Knobens, J. 94–5, 97–8  
 Kock, S. 108, 110  
 Koenig, M. 148–9  
 Kolvereid, L. 150–51  
 Kovalainen, A. 55–6  
 Krueger, N.F. 146, 148–9  
 Lane, P.J. 95, 98  
 Langlely, A. 49, 54  
 Larralde, B. 33–4, 37  
 learning advantages of newness (LAN)  
   72  
 legitimacy  
   ambiguous legitimacy 22  
   in asymmetrical alliances 97, 111  
   awareness of social identity 18  
   of entrepreneurship 131  
   and social goals 9  
 Lehner, O.M. 31, 33–7, 40, 43  
 Letaifa, S.B. 95, 98, 108–9  
 Levinsohn, D.S. 15, 25  
 Li, D. 77, 97  
 liabilities of newness 73  
 liabilities of smallness 103, 108,  
   110–11  
 Libaers, D. 119, 133

- Lin, W.T. 72–3  
 Liñán, F. 146–7, 149–50, 158  
 Lissoni, F. 171, 176  
 Liverpool *see* university–industry  
   collaboration  
 local adaptation 24  
 ‘local buzz’ 174–5  
 lock-in 175  
 Loucks, D. 31, 35–6  
 Lubatkin, M. 95, 98  
 Lundvall, B. 168, 186  
 Lyon, F. 6, 8
- Macht, S.A. 49–50, 52–4, 64  
 Macken Högsby 13–25  
 Macken Växjö 13–25  
 Mair, J. 6, 9  
 Malmberg, A. 173–4  
 Marti, I. 6, 9  
 Maskell, P. 173–4  
 Mason, C.M. 41, 50–51, 53, 63, 65  
 Matlay, H. 6, 146  
 Mattes, J. 109, 111  
 Meister, C. 95, 98  
 mentoring role 52–3  
 Merseyside *see* university–industry  
   collaboration  
 Miles, M.B. 99–100  
 Mollick, E. 31–4, 38, 42–3  
 mutual dependence 110–11
- Nahapiet, J. 52–3  
 Nelson, R.R. 17, 19  
 new logic of innovation 169  
 Nieminen, M. 121–2  
 non-financial contributions  
   case highlighting 55–66  
   literature review 52–4  
 Nonaka, I. 10, 174  
 Northwest Regional Development  
   Agency (NWDA) 177–9, 181,  
   183–4  
 Norwegian oil and gas industry *see*  
   alliances, asymmetrical
- OECD 76, 168  
 Oerlemans, L.A.G. 94–5, 97–8  
 open access facilities 180, 182, 186  
 open innovation (OI)  
   case illustrating evolution of 176–87  
   contribution of crowds 30–31  
   developing collaborative innovation  
     research partnerships 171–3  
   ecosystem-level studies 170  
   firm-level studies 169–70  
   paradigm 169  
   proximity dimensions 173–6  
   role of university–industry  
     collaboration 170–71  
 Ordanini, A. 31, 33, 35–8  
 organizational proximity  
   case study approach 99–100  
   definitions and characteristics 98  
   degree of  
     between alliance partners 97  
     method of categorizing 100  
   functions 95  
   influence of 104–8  
   study findings 109–11  
 ownership, and equity crowdfunding  
   42–3
- Patel, P. 170–71  
 Pentland, B.T. 49, 54  
 perceived behavioural control (PBC)  
   creating index of 153  
   as determinant of intention 149  
   and gender effect on entrepreneurial  
   intentions 151  
   role in actual entrepreneurial  
   behaviour 156  
   start-up behaviour  
     having direct effect on 149–50  
     having value in predicting 155
- Pérez, L. 96, 109  
 Perkmann, M. 168, 170–72, 186  
 personal relationships 105, 110–11  
 personal rules of action 18  
 Pless, N. 8–9  
 Polanyi, M. 14, 18  
 Politis, D. 49, 51–3, 63, 65–6, 117, 119  
 Polymer Ltd 186  
 Porter, M.E. 173, 185  
 practice theory 7, 10–12  
 processual character of social enterprise  
   6

- processual phenomenon,  
     entrepreneurship as 7, 16  
 processual practices 12–13, 19–24  
 professional management approach 10  
 proximity  
     dimensions of innovation 173–6  
     literature, study results contributing  
         to 111  
     non-spatial 100, 110–12  
     perspective  
         benefits 97  
         gaining central position in research  
             streams 94, 97  
     *see also* geographical proximity;  
         organizational proximity; social  
         proximity; technological  
         proximity  
 Pruett, M. 148, 150  
  
 Rabeau, Y. 95, 98, 108–9  
 Rallet, A. 95, 98, 100, 109  
 regional innovation systems 25, 175,  
     185  
 regulatory environment for equity  
     crowdfunding 43  
 RENT Conference XXVIII 4–5  
 resource acquisition role  
     case demonstrating 55–65  
     as role through which non-financial  
         contributions are delivered 52–3  
 resource flexibility  
     conceptualization of 70, 75–6  
     data and methods  
         control variables 78  
         dependent variables 76–7  
         independent variable 77–8  
         sample 75–6  
         variable definitions 79  
     gap in literature on role of 71–2  
     limitations and future research 87–8  
     results 80–85  
     study discussion and conclusions  
         86–90  
     theory and hypotheses  
         and early internationalization 73–4  
         and performance of international  
             new ventures 74–5  
         slack resources 72–3  
  
 Reynolds, P.D. 123, 130  
 Riedl, J. 33, 36–8  
 risk  
     in equity crowdfunding 39–43  
     of ‘exploding’ 10  
     taking, and resource flexibility 72–3  
 Robinson, K.C. 76–7  
 role identity construction *see*  
     scientists, role identity  
     construction  
 Russia  
     1990s academic entrepreneurship  
         123–4, 132, 134  
     as context for science-based  
         entrepreneurship 121–5  
     discussing identity through work  
         content 129  
     meaning of being a scientist in 125–6  
     on role determinants 134  
     scientist becoming entrepreneurs  
         118, 123, 126–8, 130–31, 134  
     self-identification as scientist 132  
     universities in entrepreneurship  
         122–3, 125, 132, 138–40  
     use of snowballing method of  
         research 120  
  
 Sætre, A.S. 49, 53, 63  
 Salamonsen, K. 95, 97  
 Sapienza, H. 71–3, 75, 88  
 Sarasvathy, S.D. 18–19, 74, 117, 119  
 Schatzki, T.R. 10–11, 18  
 Schewienbacher, A. 33–4, 37  
 Schlaegel, C. 148–9  
 Schwartz, A.A. 32–5, 38–42  
 scientists  
     becoming entrepreneurs  
         compatibility between identities  
             128–30, 134  
         in Finland 126–8, 133–4  
         role conflict 118–19  
         in Russia 118, 123, 126–8, 130–31,  
             134  
     contemporary expectations of 117  
     involvement in commercial activities  
         adopting hybrid role identity 119,  
             133

- all-encompassing sample 135, 138–40
- analysis supporting research on 133
- views on 117
- role of, in Finland and Russia 125–6
- self-identification as 132
- scientrepreneurs, role identity
  - construction
  - compatibility between identities as scientist and entrepreneur 128–30
  - context and objectives of study 117–18
  - data and methods 120–21
    - examples of interview codes 140–45
    - profiles of scientrepreneurs 138–40
  - Finland and Russia as contexts for science-based entrepreneurship 121–5
  - meaning of being a scientist in Finland and Russia 125–6
  - meaning of scientist as entrepreneur 126–8
  - role of institutional context in shaping role identities 130–33
  - study conclusions
    - limitations and future research 135
    - research implications 133–4
    - theoretical framing 118–20
- Segal, G. 148–9
- self-enforced heterogeneity 22–3
- self-identity 18–19
- Sequeira, J. 146–7, 149–50
- Shane, S. 30, 50, 117, 119
- Shapiro, A. 148–9
- Shiller, R.J. 30–31, 34, 43
- Sigar, K. 31, 36
- slack resources 70, 72–3, 87, 89
- ‘smallness challenge’ study *see* alliances, asymmetrical
- social bricolage 12, 19–20
- social capital
  - case demonstrating 55–65
  - definition 52
  - and social enterprises 24–5
  - substitution with financial capital 9
- social enterprise
  - compared with commercial enterprise 6–7, 9–10
  - defining 6
  - practicing entrepreneurship as processual phenomenon 7, 16
  - processual character of 6
  - work integrating 7, 9–10, 12, 17
- social entrepreneurship
  - field research
    - background and design 13–14
    - implications for further research 25
    - methodology 14–16
    - processual practices 19–24
    - structural practices 16–19
    - study conclusions 24–5
    - practice theory 10–12
    - previous research 12–13
    - social enterprises 6–7
    - social entrepreneurship 8–10
  - social franchising *see* franchising
  - social identity 18–19
  - social networks
    - mobilizing equity crowdfunding 36
    - and provision of information 38, 42
    - use in accessing start-up finance 30
  - social proximity
    - as driver in CMD development 186
    - and innovation 175–6
  - social relationships 105, 111
  - ‘soft’ people-centred activities of business angels 53
    - case demonstrating 55–64
    - as category of non-financial contributions 50
- Sokol, L. 148–9
- Sorensen, I.E. 31–2, 36
- Sørheim, R. 51–3
- sounding board/strategic role
  - case demonstrating 55–65
  - as role through which non-financial contributions are delivered 52
- Stam, E. 73–4



- start-up behaviour
  - after graduation, as dependent variable 153
  - attitudes and subjective norm having no direct effect on 156
  - gender as factor influencing 151, 155
  - perceived behavioural control
    - having direct effect on 149
    - value in predicting 155
  - statistical significance of intentions explaining 154
- Steyaert, C. 6–7, 16, 54
- structural practices 16–19
- Stuart, T.E. 96, 110, 119
- supervision and monitoring role
  - case demonstrating 55–65
  - as role through which non-financial contributions are delivered 52
- tacit knowledge 14, 173–4, 176, 184, 186
- Takeuchi, H. 10, 174
- Tarrazon, M.-A. 150–51
- technological capabilities 180, 182, 185–7
- technological proximity
  - case study approach 99–100
  - definitions and characteristics 98
  - degree of
    - between alliance partners 97
    - method of categorizing 100
  - functions 95
  - influence of 105–8
  - study findings 110–11
- technology, shared understanding of 105, 110–11
- theory of planned behaviour (TPB) 147–50
- Tödting, F. 173, 175
- Torre, A. 94–5, 98, 100, 109
- traditional financing methods 30, 35–6
- triple helix 181, 185, 187
- Trippel, M. 173, 175
- Unilever PLC 169, 171, 176–87
- universities in entrepreneurship
  - in Finland 121–2, 124–5, 127, 132, 134, 138–40
  - in Russia 122–3, 125, 132, 138–40
- university–industry collaboration
  - context and objectives of study 168–9
  - developing research partnerships 171–3
  - firm-level and ecosystem-level studies 169–70
  - funding 169, 178–85
  - individual agency 175–6, 186
  - individuals 177, 182–3, 186
  - institutional context 185
  - main findings
    - contexts 178–9
    - contribution to city-regional innovation and entrepreneurship 181–4
    - partnership emergence 179–81
  - methodological approach 176–8
  - open access facilities 180, 182, 186
  - proximity dimensions 173–6
  - role of 170–71
  - study conclusions 184–7
  - tacit knowledge 173–4, 176, 184, 186
  - technological capabilities 180, 182, 185–7
- University of Liverpool (UoL) 168–9, 171, 176–87
- urgency for financial viability 23–4
- Van de Ven, A.H. 14, 54
- Venkataraman, S. 42, 117, 119
- venture capitalists
  - equity model enabling 34
  - factors precluding investment in emerging growth companies 35
  - impact of crowdfunding acts 38
  - as traditional investors 30, 35
  - unable to apply due diligence process 40
- Villanueva, J. 97, 110
- Walsh, K. 168, 170–72
- Wang, T. 119, 133
- Weigmann, K. 31, 38
- Wenger, E. 18, 176
- Werker, C. 95, 98
- West, J. 169, 173

- Wieck, E. 31, 33  
Wikhamn, B. 169–70, 173  
Wikhamn, W. 169–70, 173  
Wilson, D.C. 12, 18  
Wilson, F. 149–50  
work integrating enterprises 7, 9–10,  
12, 17
- Yang, H. 94, 96, 108, 110  
Ylinenpää, H. 168, 186  
Yordanova, D. 150–51
- Zaheer, S. 74, 94  
Zahra, S.A. 8, 36, 70, 73, 76  
Zhang, Y. 146, 150