

Index

- 'access points' 48
- Access to Environmental Information Act (Germany) 183
- adaptation to climate change 3, 5
 - and climate litigation 180, 184, 189
 - and drivers of climate change legislation 7
 - and international commitments 193, 195–6, 206
 - and least developed countries 8, 60, 61–2, 66–7, 69–70, 73–4
 - and sub-national level policy 11, 143, 144–5, 146, 147–8, 151–4, 156
- administration (climate litigation objective) 182
- Aghion, P. 129
- alternative legislative agendas 9, 85–6, 96–101, 102
- Amazon Fund (Brazil) 117
- 'anti-fossil fuel' initiatives 85–6, 87, 96–7
- anti-regulatory climate litigation 175, 178–9
- Argentina 203–4
- Ashgar Leghari v. Federation of Pakistan* (2015) 183, 184
- Australia 7, 25, 30, 100, 114, 149–50, 175, 178, 179, 184, 187, 200
- Averchenkova, A. 205

- Bassi, S. 205
- 'beneficiary pays' principle 89
- 'best practices' 120, 144, 146, 199, 205
- Bierbaum, R. 151
- Brazilian Development Bank 117
- Brazilian Superior Court of Justice 186
- BUND and Germanwatch v. Federal Republic of Germany* (2006) 183
- Bush, George H. W. 41
- business cycle (domestic driver of legislation) 22, 24, 35, 36

- Canada 25, 30, 149–50, 175, 179, 197, 199–200
- Cancun Accord 73, 198, 200
- Cancun Climate Change Conference (2010) 66
- cap-and-trade schemes 49, 51, 91, 125–7
- Carbon Disclosure Project 147, 154
- carbon efficiency standards 125
- carbon pricing 3, 10, 47–8, 92, 93, 124–7, 128, 134, 135
- CBA (cost-benefit analysis) 91, 93, 97
- CDM (Clean Development Mechanism) Fund (China) 117
- Chan, S. 150
- Charles & Howard Pty Ltd v. Redland Shire Council* (2007) 187
- China 5, 125, 178, 202
 - climate change legislation and policy 7–8, 37–8, 39–40, 42–5, 53
 - historic dynamics in 39–40
 - five-year-plans 7, 40, 42, 44, 202
 - institutions 114, 116, 117, 119
 - political economy of climate change 42–5, 53
- Clean Air Act (US, 1970, 1990) 8, 30, 41, 49, 178
- Climate Action Plan 2050 (Germany) 201–2
- Climate Action Tracker 194, 196
- Climate Change Act (UK, 2008) 23, 25, 29
- Climate Change Authority (Australia) 114
- Climate Change Justice and Human Rights Task Force Report 184
- Climate Change Laws of the World database 2–4, 7, 12, 13, 20, 21, 61, 176, 194, 196, 204
- climate change legislation
 - in China 7–8, 37–8, 39–40, 42–5, 53

- and climate litigation 175–80, 183, 186–8
- drivers of *see* drivers of climate change legislation
- in EU 7, 8, 37–8, 39, 40–41, 42, 46–9, 53–4
- historic dynamics in 39–42
- and institutions 108, 110
- and international commitments *see* international commitments
- least developed countries *see* LDCs
- and low-carbon policy 123, 124–5, 127, 132, 133, 134–6
- normative foundations of *see* normative foundations
- state and trends in 2–5, 6
- study of 14
- in USA 7, 8, 37–8, 39, 41–2, 49–52, 53, 54
- Climate Change Litigation of the World database 176, 180
- Climate Change Policy Executive Order (Nepal, 2011) 3
- Climate Change Trust Fund Act (Bangladesh, 2009) 3, 65
- climate justice 9, 85, 88–90, 98, 101
- climate litigation 11–12, 175–6, 188–9
 - anti-regulatory 175, 178–9
 - centrality of climate change to cases 12, 186
 - characteristics of 12, 180–86
 - and climate policy 175, 178–80, 183, 188
 - core objective or rationale behind cases 182–4
 - direct 175, 178–9
 - and emission regulation 177, 178–9, 182, 184, 187
 - indirect 175, 178–9
 - plaintiffs and defendants 185
 - pro-regulatory 175, 178
 - relationship with climate regulation 11–12, 175–80, 186–8, 189
- climate policy
 - in China 7–8, 37–8, 39–40, 42–5, 53
 - and climate litigation 175, 178–80, 183, 188
 - domestic drivers of climate change legislation 7, 22–6, 30–31
 - effectiveness/transparency of policy administration 112–13
 - in EU 7, 8, 37–8, 39, 40–41, 42, 46–9, 53–4
 - executive policies 4–5, 62–5, 74, 195, 196
 - implementation through effective coordination 114–16
 - and institutions 9, 38, 44–5, 108–9, 110–20
 - and international commitments 194, 195–6, 198–9, 202–4, 205
 - international drivers of climate change legislation 7, 27–9, 30, 31
 - least developed countries *see* LDCs
 - legislative policies 4–5, 62–5, 74
 - low-carbon policy *see* low-carbon policy
 - mainstreaming climate policies 3, 6, 69, 70–72, 115, 117
 - Paris Agreement 1–2, 13
 - policy continuity 110–11
 - policy gap 13–14
 - policy legitimacy 111–12
 - sub-national level *see* sub-national level policy
 - in USA 7, 8, 37–8, 39, 41–2, 49–52, 53, 54
- Climate Transparency 198
- climate-resilient policy 1, 2, 8, 11, 100, 116
 - in developed countries 60, 62, 64, 71, 195
- CO₂ Act (Switzerland, 2000, revised 2013) 21
- Code for the Environment (Burkina Faso, 2013) 70
- Columbia Law School 2, 3, 180
- commitment devices 96, 111
- Committee on Climate Change (UK) 114
- Compact of States and Regions 144, 147–9
- compensatory payments 134–5
- competitiveness 10, 26, 47, 133–4, 135
- Congress (US) 8, 50, 51
- coordination, effective 114–16
- COP (Conference of the Parties) 29, 143, 147, 153

- Copenhagen Accord 198, 200
country-to-country peer effects
(international driver of legislation)
27, 28–9
courts *see* climate litigation
CPC (Communist Party of China) 39,
40, 44, 45
CRGE (Climate-Resilient Green
Economy) strategy 71
Cultural Revolution (China) 40
- Dechezleprêtre, A. 128
Decision C-035/16 (2016) 184
Decree 140 (Argentina, 2007) 3
democracy 7, 25–6, 35, 36
deployment support (for low-carbon
technology) 129–30
devolution of power 116
diffusion effects 28–9
direct climate litigation 175, 178–9
disaster risk reduction 67, 68, 70,
79–81, 154
domestic drivers of climate change
legislation 7, 22–6, 30–31, 35–6
drivers of climate change legislation 7,
19–20, 29–31, 38
business cycle 22, 24, 35, 36
country-to-country peer effects 27,
28–9
data and analytical methods 20,
21–2
democracy 7, 25–6, 35, 36
domestic drivers 7, 22–6, 30–31,
35–6
electoral cycle 7, 22, 25–6, 31, 35, 36
framework legislation 7, 22, 23, 30,
35, 36
hosting an international climate
summit 27, 35, 36
international drivers 7, 27–9, 30, 31,
35, 36
international treaty obligations 7,
27–8, 31
party-political orientation of
government 7, 22, 24–5, 30, 35,
36
political economy of climate change
7, 19–20, 22, 30
stock of existing legislation 22, 23,
30, 36
strong government 7, 22, 26, 30–31,
35, 36
duty-focused approaches 89
- ECJ (European Court of Justice) 177
economic efficiency 9, 85, 90–93, 98,
101
economic rigidities 133
Economic Vulnerability Index 59
EIA (Environmental Impact
Assessments) 182
electoral cycle (domestic driver of
legislation) 7, 22, 25–6, 31, 35, 36
emission reduction policy/targets 1, 3,
5, 20, 28, 37, 43
and climate litigation 177, 178–9,
182, 184, 187
in EU 46, 48
and institutions 109, 118
international commitments 12–13,
193, 194, 195–9, 200–201,
202–3, 204–6
and low-carbon policy 10, 123–4,
125–7, 128, 130–31, 132, 134–6
normative foundations of climate
legislation 88–9, 90–91, 93, 95
sub-national level policy 11, 144,
146, 147–50, 160–74
in USA 41, 49, 50, 51, 54
emissions gap 13
Emissions Trading Scheme (EU) 24
Energy Act (UK, 2013) 23
energy demand 3, 4, 132
energy efficiency 3, 4, 5, 8, 38, 42, 43,
46, 49, 50, 148, 150
in least developed countries 70–71,
73
and low-carbon policy 10, 123, 127,
128, 130–32, 133, 134–5
‘energy efficiency gap’ 130–31
energy law 67, 68, 71–3, 79–81
energy security 30, 43, 50, 136
energy supply 3, 4
Energy Transition Law (France, 2015)
26, 133
‘enhancement’ cases (climate litigation)
12, 187–8
EPA (Environmental Protection
Agency, US) 41, 49, 119, 177
ETS (Emissions Trading System, EU)

- 8, 24, 48, 95, 125, 127, 134, 182, 185
- EU (European Union)
- carbon efficiency standards 125
 - climate change legislation and policy 7, 8, 37–8, 39, 40–41, 42, 46–9, 53–4
 - drivers of climate change legislation 21
 - Emissions Trading Scheme 8, 24, 48, 95, 125, 127, 134, 182, 185
 - historic dynamics in climate change legislation 40–41
 - and institutions 119
 - international commitments 201–2
 - political economy of climate change 46–9, 53–4
- European Commission 41, 47–8, 53, 199
- European Environmental Protection Agency 41
- Executive Orders 50
- executive policies 4–5, 62–5, 74, 195, 196
- Fankhauser, S. 24, 123
- financial arrangements (institutions) 116–18
- five-year-plans (China) 7, 40, 42, 44, 202
- flagship laws 23, 25, 26, 30, 36
- ‘flexibility mechanisms’ 93, 94, 95
- fossil fuels/fossil fuel industry 38, 45, 46–7, 50, 73, 186, 203
- ‘anti-fossil fuel’ initiatives 85–6, 87, 96–7
 - and drivers of climate change legislation 38
 - fossil fuel subsidies 110, 123
 - and low-carbon policy 10, 132–3, 136
 - and normative foundations of climate legislation 85–6, 87, 91, 92, 96–7, 99
- Framework Act on Low Carbon Green Growth (South Korea, 2009) 26, 30
- framework legislation 7, 22, 23, 30, 35, 36, 69–70, 199
- Fredriksson, P. 20, 26
- free-riding 28–9
- Fukuyama, F. 109
- G8 Summit (Gleneagles, 2005) 29
- Galarraga, I. 145, 146
- Galeotti, M. 128
- General Law on Climate Change (Mexico) 202
- Gerrard, M. B. 179, 180
- GIB (Green Investment Bank) 118
- global public goods 19, 20, 28, 144, 154
- ‘global stocktake’ 193, 198
- Gonzalez-Eguino, M. 146
- ‘good governance’ 109
- Gough, I. 134
- ‘grandfathering’ 89
- Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change (London School of Economics) 2, 3, 21, 180
- ‘green transformations’ 85–6, 97–9
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction Roadmap (South Korea) 200
- ‘hindrance’ cases (climate litigation) 187–8
- historic dynamics in climate change legislation 39–42
- hosting an international climate summit (international driver of legislation) 27, 35, 36
- household incomes 134
- HSBC 24
- Human Assets Index 59
- ICCTF (Indonesia Climate Change Trust Fund) 117–18
- ICJ (International Court of Justice) 177
- IIED (International Institute for Environment and Development) 60
- indirect climate litigation 175, 178–9
- information/disclosure (climate litigation objective) 12, 182–3
- innovation, incentivizing 10, 128–30
- institutional arrangements 3–4
- institutions
- assessment and strategy formulation 113–14

- and climate governance 108–10, 112–13, 118, 119–20
- and climate policy 9, 38, 44–5, 108–9, 110–20
- devolution of power 116
- and drivers of climate change legislation 19, 20, 23
- effectiveness/transparency of policy administration 112–13
- and finance 116–18
- functional approaches 113–19
- and ‘green transformations’ 98–9
- implementation through effective coordination 114–16
- monitoring, reporting and verification systems 9, 118–19
- policy continuity 110–11
- policy legitimacy 111–12
- political economy of climate change 44–5, 47–8, 50–51, 109, 111, 113
- principle-based approaches 110–13
- stakeholder engagement 9, 111–12, 114–15, 119–20
- interest groups 20, 26, 30, 38–9, 45, 48, 51, 52
- international commitments 12, 193–4, 205–6
 - and adaptation to climate change 193, 195–6, 206
 - China 202
 - and climate policy 194, 195–6, 198–9, 202–4, 205
 - effective implementation of targets 198–9
 - emission reduction 13, 193, 194, 195–9, 200–201, 202–3, 204–6
 - EU 201–2
 - increasingly ambitious targets 199–201
 - international and domestic law 194–5
 - Mexico 202–3
 - and mitigation of climate change 12, 193, 195–6, 199, 200, 201–3, 204–5, 206
 - national comparisons 201–4
 - and nationally determined contributions 12, 193, 194, 195–7, 198, 199–200, 201–2, 205
 - Paris Agreement 12, 193–4, 195–7, 198, 199–200, 201, 204, 205, 206
 - USA and Argentina 203–4
- international drivers of climate change legislation 7, 27–9, 30, 31, 35, 36
- international law 9, 85, 93–6, 97, 101, 194–5
- international treaty obligations (international driver of legislation) 7, 27–8, 31
- Ioane Teitiota v. The Chief Executive of Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment* (2015) 183
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) 61, 144, 146, 151, 156, 179
- Jha, V. 112, 115, 117
- judiciary *see* climate litigation
- Juholaa, S. 153
- ‘just transition’ 85–6, 100–101
- ‘Kaldor-Hicks efficiency’ 90
- Kroll, S. 20
- Kyoto Protocol 4, 51, 62, 183
 - and drivers of climate change legislation 7, 19, 26, 27–8, 31, 35, 36
 - and international commitments 194–5, 200, 201
 - and normative foundations of climate legislation 93, 94, 95
- Law Establishing the Framework for Disaster Management (Mozambique, 2014) 70
- LDCs (least developed countries) 8, 59–61, 74–5
 - adaptation to climate change 8, 60, 61–2, 66–7, 69–70, 73–4
 - addressing loss and damage 73–4
 - agriculture and food policies 68, 79–81
 - climate-resilient development 60, 62, 64, 71
 - climate-specific laws and policies 67, 69–70, 79–81
 - disaster risk reduction 68, 79–81
 - energy and climate change 67, 68, 71–3, 79–81

- environmental laws 67, 68, 79–81
- executive and legislative policies 5, 62–5, 74
- forestry laws and policies 67, 68, 79–81
- key focus areas 67–74
- low-carbon development 8, 60, 62, 64, 66–7, 71, 73, 112
- mainstreaming climate change into development agendas 70–71, 72
- mitigation of climate change 8, 60, 62, 64, 66–7, 69–70
- needs and unique challenges 61–2
- Paris Agreement 60, 64, 66, 73, 74
- planning and development policies 68, 79–81
- vulnerability to climate change 8, 59–60, 61–2, 66, 70, 75
- legislation/policies (climate litigation objective) 12, 183
- legislative policies 4–5, 62–5, 74
- liberal egalitarianism 85, 86–7, 88, 92, 98, 101
- Lima Call for Climate Action 11, 143
- Lima-Paris Action Agenda 146
- Lockwood, M. 29
- London School of Economics 1, 2, 3, 21, 180
- loss and damage, addressing 73–4
- low-carbon policies/economies 1–3, 10, 37, 38, 47, 48, 50, 53
 - cap-and-trade schemes 125–7
 - carbon pricing 3, 10, 47–8, 92, 93, 124–7, 128, 134, 135
 - emission reduction 10, 123–4, 125–7, 128, 130–31, 132, 134–6
 - and energy efficiency 10, 123, 127, 128, 130–32, 133, 134–5
 - incentivizing low-carbon innovation 10, 128–30
 - incentivizing reallocation of capital 132–3
 - legislation for 123, 124–5, 127, 132, 133, 134–6
 - low-carbon development of LDCs 8, 60, 62, 64, 66–7, 71, 73, 112
 - low-carbon transport 3
 - and market failure 10, 123, 124, 127–9, 133, 135
 - quota systems 125, 126–7, 134
 - removing associated barriers 10, 127–33
 - socioeconomic consequences 133–5
- LULUCF (Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry) 3
- mainstreaming climate policies 3, 6, 69, 70–72, 115, 117
- Majone, G. 114
- Mao Zedong 40
- Markell, D. L. 177–8, 182
- market failure 10, 90–91, 123, 124, 127–9, 133, 135
- Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency* (2007) 177, 178
- Mexico 202–3
- mitigation of climate change 1, 2, 14, 50, 54
 - and climate litigation 184, 188
 - and international commitments 12, 193, 195–6, 199, 200, 201–3, 204–5, 206
 - and least developed countries 8, 60, 62, 64, 66–7, 69–70
 - and low-carbon policy 128, 135
 - normative foundations of climate legislation 86, 88, 90, 93, 95–6, 98, 100
 - and sub-national level policy 11, 143, 144–5, 146–51, 156
- Moser, S. C. 151
- MRV (monitoring, reporting and verification) mechanisms 9, 12, 92, 95, 118–19, 198, 199, 204, 205
- Muro, M. 50
- Nakhooda, S. 112, 115, 117
- National Action Plan on Climate Change (India) 116
- National Biofuel policy (Mozambique, 2009) 73
- National Climate Change Action Plan (Gabon) 3
- National Climate Change Policy (Myanmar, draft) 71
- national climate funds 117–18
- National Climate Policy (Zambia) 71
- National Development Strategy (Solomon Islands) 71

- National Leading Group on Climate Change (China) 114
- National Strategy on Climate Change and Low Carbon Development (Rwanda, 2011) 26
- NAZCA (Non-State Actor Zone for Climate Action) 11, 143, 147–9
- NDCs (nationally determined contributions) 1, 14, 96, 147
and international commitments 12, 193, 194, 195–7, 198, 199–200, 201–2, 205
and least developed countries 60, 64, 74
- NDRC (National Development and Reform Commission, China) 5, 44, 114
- NECC (National Environmental Conservation Committee) 65
- negative binomial fixed-effect model 21–2
- neoclassical economics 87, 90–93
- Neumayer, E. 20
- NGOs (non-governmental organizations) 45, 47, 111–12, 203
and climate litigation 183, 185, 187
and sub-national level policy 145, 147
- normative foundations of climate legislation 9, 85–6, 101–2
alternative legislative agendas 9, 85–6, 96–101, 102
‘anti-fossil fuel’ initiatives 85–6, 87, 96–7
climate justice 9, 85, 88–90, 98, 101
distribution of well-being 85, 86–7
duty-focused approaches 89
economic efficiency 9, 85, 90–93, 98, 101
emission reduction 88–9, 90–91, 93, 95
fossil fuel industry 85–6, 87, 91, 92, 96–7, 99
‘green transformations’ 85–6, 97–9
international law 9, 93–6, 101
liberal egalitarianism 85, 86–7, 88, 92, 98, 101
mitigation of climate change 86, 88, 90, 93, 95–6, 98, 100
rights-focused approaches 88–9
‘transitional fairness’/‘just transition’ claims 85–6, 99–101
utilitarianism 9, 85, 87, 89–90, 92–3, 98, 101
- NPC (National People’s Congress) 44
- nrg4SD (Network of Regional Governments for Sustainable Development) 144, 153–4
- Obama administration 30, 204
- Osofsky, H. M. 177, 178–9
- Pan-Canadian Framework on Climate Action 197, 199
- ‘paradox of the lent targets’ 145
- Paris Agreement (2016) 1–2, 13, 14, 31, 38, 49
and climate litigation 178, 183, 189
and institutions 118, 119
and international commitments 12, 193–4, 195–7, 198, 199–200, 201, 204, 205, 206
and least developed countries 60, 64, 66, 73, 74
and low-carbon policy 123, 126
and normative foundations of climate legislation 94, 95–6
and sub-national level policy 11, 143, 147, 153, 154
- party politics 7, 22, 24–5, 30, 35, 36, 39, 45, 48, 52
- ‘patronage economy’ 40
- Peel, J. 177, 178–9
- policy continuity 110–11
- policy legitimacy 111–12
- political economy of climate change
in China 42–5, 53
drivers of climate change legislation 7, 19–20, 22, 30
economic factors 43–4, 46–7, 49–50
in EU 46–9, 53–4
and institutions 44–5, 47–8, 50–51, 109, 111, 113
and low-carbon policy 127
public opinion, interest groups and party politics 45, 48–9, 52
in USA 49–52, 54
- ‘polluter pays’ principle 89, 91
- Popp, D. 128

- Presidential Instruction for a
 Moratorium on Forest
 Concessions (Indonesia, 2011) 3
 principle-based approaches 110–13
 proactive climate litigation 175
 pro-regulatory climate litigation 175,
 178
 protection/loss and damage (climate
 litigation objective) 12, 183
 public opinion 38–9, 45, 48–9, 52,
 204
 Public Prosecutor Office (Brazil) 186

 qualitative analysis 20
 quantitative analysis 20
 quota systems 125, 126–7, 134

 R&D (research and development) 128
 reactive climate litigation 175
 Reagan administration 41
 reallocation of capital, incentivizing
 132–3
 REDD+ (Reduced Emissions from
 Deforestation and Forest
 Degradation) 3
 regional climate policy *see* sub-national
 level policy
 RegionsAdapt initiative 144, 153–4,
 155, 156
 renewable energy 3–4, 5, 8, 43, 45, 46,
 49, 86, 93, 129
 international commitments 196, 203,
 204
 in least developed countries 64, 67,
 70, 71, 73
 and sub-national level policy 148–50
 Renewable Energy Act (Gambia, 2013)
 65, 73
 Renewable Energy and Energy
 Efficiency Law (Jordan, 2010) 3
 Renewable Energy Law (Senegal, 2010)
 73
 Renewable Energy Sources Act
 (Germany, 2008) 3, 129–30
 republicanism 87
 research and development 3
 RGGI (Regional Greenhouse Gas
 Initiative) 49
 Ribeiro, M. 153
 rights-focused approaches 88–9

 Royal Decree 233 (Spain) 132
 Ruhl, J. B. 177–8, 182

 Sabin Center on Climate Change Law
 (Columbia Law School) 2, 3, 180
Saul Luciano Lliuya v. RWE (2015)
 183
 Sauquet, A. 28
 SDGs (Sustainable Development
 Goals) 5, 70, 71, 75
 SEA (Single European Act) 40–41
 Shogren, J. 20
 SIDS (small island developing states)
 60, 66
 SOEs (state-owned enterprises) 43, 45
 Special Eurobarometer Report on
 Climate Change 48–9
 Special Fund to Support the
 Implementation of Energy
 Efficiency Targets (Italy, 2010) 3,
 133
 stakeholder engagement 11, 65, 75, 97
 and institutions 9, 111–12, 114–15,
 119–20
 sub-national level policy 147, 154,
 156
 state and trends in climate change
 legislation 2–5, 6
 status quo cases (climate litigation)
 187–8
 Stern, N. 123
*Stern Review of the Economics of
 Climate Change* (2007) 93
 stock of existing legislation 22, 23, 30,
 36
 strong government (domestic driver of
 legislation) 7, 22, 26, 30–31, 35, 36
 sub-national level policy 11, 21, 143–5,
 154, 156
 adaptation to climate change 11,
 143, 144–5, 146, 147–8, 151–4,
 156
 emission reduction 11, 144, 146,
 147–50, 160–74
 mitigation of climate change 11, 143,
 144–5, 146–51, 156
 Paris Agreement 11, 143, 147, 153,
 154
 RegionsAdapt initiative 144, 153–4,
 155, 156

- role of regional governments 11, 143, 144, 145–6, 151, 154
- Supreme Court (US) 51, 178
- Tax Reform Act (Japan, 2012) 3
- Townshend, T. 23, 25, 29
- ‘tragedy of the commons’ 154
- ‘transitional fairness’ 85–6, 99–101
- Trump, Donald 30, 49, 51, 54, 204
- UK (United Kingdom) 23, 29, 202
 - and climate litigation 175, 179, 188
 - and institutions 114, 116, 118
 - and low-carbon policy 126, 134
- UN Climate Summit (2014) 150
- Under2 Coalition 147–9
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme) 198
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) 93–4, 118, 189
 - and drivers of climate change legislation 7, 19, 29
 - and international commitments 12, 194, 195, 197, 200, 205
 - and least developed countries 60, 64, 66
 - and sub-national level policy 143, 147, 153
- Urgenda case (Netherlands) 177, 179, 183
- USA (United States of America)
 - climate change legislation and policy 7, 8, 37–8, 39, 41–2, 49–52, 53, 54
 - and climate litigation 12, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180, 185
 - drivers of climate change legislation 30
 - emission reduction policy/targets 41, 49, 50, 51, 54
 - historic dynamics in climate change legislation 41–2
 - and institutions 116, 119
 - and international commitments 203–4
 - political economy of climate change 49–52, 54
 - sub-national level policy 149–52
 - utilitarianism 9, 85, 87, 89–90, 92–3, 98, 101
- Vanhala, L. 179
- Verdolini, E. 128
- ‘veto points’ 48
- Vienna Convention on International Treaties 195
- virtue ethics 87, 97, 101
- von Stein, J. 20
- well-being, distribution of 85, 86–7
- Westerhoff, L. 153
- Wigan Borough Council v. Secretary of State for Environment, Transport & Regions* (2001) 188
- Wilensky, M. 178, 179, 180, 182
- World Bank 109
- WTP (willingness to pay) 90, 91
- Xi Jinping 40
- Zenghelis, D. 24

